Trauma, Safety, and Recovering Choice · About 4 minutes

You Can Ask for Care Without Telling the Whole Story

Finding support that leaves you a voice in what happens

There are days when company sounds good and the thought of explaining why sounds exhausting.

Wanting company does not always mean wanting to process what hurts. An ordinary meal can be welcome. So can a lift home, help with groceries or the chance to watch something familiar with a friend. The question is what kind of support is wanted and whether someone is willing and able to offer it.

Tomas has had a difficult administrative appointment. In this fictional example, his friend offers to meet for dinner. Tomas would like that, but he does not want to recount the appointment.

“I'd like to see you. I don't want to go through what happened tonight.”

That sentence gives the friend something useful to know. It leaves room for dinner to be dinner. The friend might agree, have a different preference or be unavailable. Tomas cannot know the answer until it comes, and he does not need to imagine a perfectly understanding response before expressing what he wants.

Diana Fosha's experiential work emphasizes meeting difficult experience in responsive company.[1] In ordinary friendship, responsiveness may mean noticing that someone wants a break from the subject. A friend need not become a therapist, and a welcome evening need not be treated as a trauma intervention.

The difficult part may come when someone asks a caring question and you feel obliged to answer. You can appreciate their concern while keeping a limit: “Thank you for asking. I'd rather talk about something else.” If they continue pressing, their behavior becomes part of the problem. A gentle tone does not make unwanted questioning welcome.

Clinical care may require information that a friend does not need. You can ask a clinician why a question matters, how the answer will be used and what choices you have about pace or method. Urgent medical or safety needs should not be postponed to preserve an ideal conversation.

Herman's writing on trauma recovery emphasizes the person's authorship within relationships and the practical conditions of recovery.[2] That includes having some say in what help asks of you. Food, housing, transport and assistance leaving a harmful setting may matter more immediately than an account of your feelings.

If you stay with Tomas's example, consider what his friend would need to do for the meal to fit: respect the requested topic boundary, offer only the time available and let the evening end. That is more concrete than deciding whether the friend is generally “safe” or “supportive.” Actual conduct tells us more.

Some relationships cannot provide the care you want. Cost, disability and limited services can also restrict choices. These obstacles should not be explained away as your difficulty receiving help. You can leave an unwanted or unsafe encounter without first making the other person understand.

Keeping part of a story private while asking for company is one form that choice can take.

What a relief it can be to hear someone say, “I can sit for a while,” and then settle into the chair.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

Companion reading · When the Past Arrives Before You Can Think

Full pathway companion · included with paid learning
Pathway introduction: Trauma, Safety, and Recovering Choice (public introduction; full modules require access).

Sources and further reading

[1] Fosha, D. (2021). Introduction: AEDP after 20 years. In D. Fosha (Ed.), Undoing Aloneness and the Transformation of Suffering Into Flourishing: AEDP 2.0 (pp. 3–23). American Psychological Association. Source.

[2] Herman, J. L. (1998). Recovery from psychological trauma. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 52(Suppl.), S145–S150. Source.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.