

When There Is Room to Feel Alive Again

Aliveness, play, and desire in the therapeutic relationship

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT

Therapist companion | Published 21 October 2026

A client has become less anxious. The difficult conversations are more manageable. They recognize familiar patterns sooner and recover from them with less injury to themselves or the people they love. Much has changed. Yet when we ask about the week, their account remains almost entirely an account of what they handled.

They may be proud of this progress and quietly disappointed by it. Life is easier to manage, but they are unsure what they want to enter. We may recognize that absence and begin offering possibilities. What used to bring pleasure? Is there something they could return to? Could they make time for themselves?

These can be useful questions. They can also arrive as another assignment for someone who has spent much of life becoming the person a situation requires. Before long, the client is working hard at being less defended, more spontaneous, more able to enjoy things. The therapy has acquired a new standard, and the familiar organization remains.

My client article, [A Life That Is More Than Getting Through](#), follows the person who knows what is required more readily than what draws them. It considers compulsory competence, receiving care, grief, play, desire, and the conditions that allow participation. This companion follows the therapist alongside that person. What are we noticing? What are we assuming? What does our presence make possible, and what might it make harder?

When competence enters the room

Dependability often makes therapy go smoothly. A client arrives prepared, remembers our language, considers our interpretations, and tries what we suggest. We may experience them as thoughtful and engaged. They may indeed be both. The question is whether there is also room to disappoint us, arrive confused, dislike an exercise, or want something we would not have chosen.

We need not become suspicious of cooperation. Nor should we dismantle a capacity because it has sometimes helped secure belonging. Intelligence can be pleasurable. Generosity can express love. Ambition can have meaning beyond fear of inadequacy. If we interpret every strength as protection, the client may feel that becoming well requires relinquishing what they most enjoy about themselves.

A more particular inquiry concerns what happens when the capacity is unavailable. Can this person be tired without becoming ashamed? Can they need help without preparing an explanation for why they should not need it? When they cannot make a session useful, do they still experience themselves as welcome?

Consider Rachel, an invented example used throughout this article. She values her work and helps care for her mother. She describes a weekend in which everything necessary was done and nothing felt like hers. The therapist asks what she might enjoy. Rachel suggests learning to identify the birds near her home, then immediately outlines an ambitious course of study. The therapist responds enthusiastically. Rachel begins listing what she could accomplish before the next session.

The interest may be real. So may the familiar move toward becoming impressive. An opening has become a project, helped along by the therapist's pleasure in having found a promising direction.

The next question might concern that transition: "A moment ago you were wondering about something. Now we have quite a plan. What happened between those two places?" Rachel might recognize pressure. She might say that she loves learning systematically. She might tell us our question has made a pleasant idea feel scrutinized. Each answer changes what is needed next.

Rogers's early account of client-centered therapy places responsibility for the client's direction with the client.[1] The useful challenge here concerns ownership of the goal. A therapist can help develop a possibility while remaining willing to discover that the client does not want it. Our interest should leave them more able to find their own response.

Stay close to the point where experience changes

The client article moves from knowing why a pattern exists toward encountering what happens as it unfolds. For the therapist, this asks for attention to sequence. A wish appears, then becomes a justification. Someone offers care, and the client begins explaining how little they need. Pleasure arrives, followed by a glance to see whether we approve.

These transitions are often more useful than a broad description of someone as overcontrolled or disconnected. We can ask what happened just then without deciding in advance that a defense has interrupted a deeper truth.

Rachel says she would like to sit near the water for a while. The therapist asks whether she notices a feeling as she says it. She becomes quiet, then apologizes for having nothing interesting to report. Another inward question might intensify her search for the answer we appear to want. The more useful response may be to acknowledge the pressure taking shape between us.

"I wonder whether my question made this another thing you had to do well."

Rachel answers, "A little. But mostly I'm thinking about who would be with my mother." The therapist's account was only partly right. A practical concern was present before the invitation to explore feeling. Making room for that correction keeps attention attached to her life.

Daniel Stern's work on forms of vitality offers language for the dynamic shape of experience: its movement, timing, and unfolding.[2] Used modestly, this perspective reminds us to attend to how a moment changes, alongside what a person says it means. A pause may contain interest, uncertainty, fatigue, or calculation. Its significance belongs in the conversation; we cannot read it directly from a face or a breath.

Sometimes the client wants to think before feeling. Sometimes careful thought is the experience through which they become more present. Our preference for a visibly emotional session should not decide which kinds of participation count.

Help has to fit the life it enters

Rachel's mother needs reliable care. A suggestion that Rachel give herself permission to enjoy an afternoon misses the fact that somebody must cover it. If the therapist keeps exploring her guilt while leaving that fact untouched, the psychological explanation begins to obscure the problem.

Her sister has offered to help, but previous arrangements have been uncertain. Rachel's reluctance may include difficulty receiving, resentment about an unequal load, and a reasonable doubt about whether the offer can be relied upon. These need distinguishing. Encouraging greater trust does little unless there is something trustworthy to receive.

Together they consider a specific arrangement Rachel is willing to request. Her sister agrees to a particular period of care and confirms what it includes. Rachel chooses a short visit to the waterfront rather than an entire afternoon away. The size of the experience follows the support actually available.

This is where the client article's questions about protection become clinically demanding. Understanding what a response preserves includes identifying the work it does in the present. There may be an old expectation that help will fail. There may also be a current arrangement in which help regularly fails. Often the two coexist.

We should also distinguish low opportunity from a persistent loss of interest across settings. Sleep, pain, illness, medication effects, depression, and the cumulative burden of care deserve appropriate consideration. NIMH's depression guidance identifies loss of interest among possible symptoms and notes that medical conditions and medications can produce similar presentations.[3] An account of protection should remain alongside assessment and coordination with relevant care.

The clinical question becomes more useful when it is specific. Does interest appear when Rachel has dependable cover? Does she want the outing but lack energy for it? Does being alone feel welcome, lonely, or simply uninteresting? The answer may change our formulation more than another explanation of why she finds pleasure difficult.

An experience can be real before it becomes a way of living

In the opening piano scene of chapter III of *A Room with a View*, Lucy Honeychurch participates in music with a freedom that is less available in ordinary social life. Mr. Beebe imagines how exciting it would be if she lived as she played. Lucy, meanwhile, struggles to explain that liking her own playing does not mean claiming to play especially well.[4]

For a therapist, the scene offers two questions. Where is participation already possible? And how quickly does our admiration become a wish that the person live differently? We may glimpse something important without knowing what it should become. Turning the glimpse into an ideal can make an existing pleasure feel insufficient.

Rachel does not need birdwatching to reveal her authentic self. She might enjoy it once, lose interest, or prefer the conversation she has afterward. An activity need not bear the weight of representing recovery. It can give us a particular experience to learn from.

The same applies to play in the consulting room. It may appear in a surprising association, a joke neither person prepared, a thought followed without knowing where it leads. A therapist can participate without making the moment a demonstration. If we immediately announce that the client has become spontaneous, self-observation may replace the freedom we noticed.

Structure can help rather than spoil this. Rachel may enjoy a field guide. Someone else may need a predictable activity before improvisation becomes possible. We are interested in whether a structure supports participation or turns it into an examination, and that distinction requires the person's account.

When something welcome is brought back to us

Rachel returns and says the outing was “fine.” An account of the week's difficulties would be easy to resume. With interest, the therapist asks what fine included. She describes watching a bird repeatedly disappear beneath the water and waiting for it to surface. For a while she forgot to identify it. She wanted to see what it would do.

The therapist enjoys hearing this. That pleasure need not be hidden behind clinical neutrality. But Rachel is already adding that she stayed only briefly and did not learn very much. We can follow her evaluation, or remain interested in the experience she has just described.

“You were caught up in watching it.”

“Yes. I suppose I was.”

There may be room to ask what it is like to remember that now. There may also be enough in the simple exchange. An invitation becomes pressure if we keep pursuing an emotional response after the client has indicated that nothing further is available.

Fosha and Thoma describe metatherapeutic processing as attending to the experience of helpful therapeutic change.[5] The client article introduces that perspective in relation to receiving. Here the therapist's task includes judging whether further attention supports the experience or begins to crowd it. A helpful question can be followed by enough space for the answer to remain ordinary.

Research by Gable and colleagues also gives positive experiences a relational context: across four studies, sharing positive events and perceiving responsive engagement were associated with benefits for well-being or relationship quality.[6] These findings concern everyday relationships, rather than a test of this intervention. They invite a clinical question: when a client brings something welcome, do we receive it with the attention we give their pain?

Rachel may need us to be interested without making the outing evidence that she should now do more. She also tells us she was exhausted afterward. The pleasure remains real, and the fatigue changes the next plan.

When an opening brings sadness, or no sadness at all

Sometimes being received makes a loss more apparent. A client begins to describe how little interest others once took in what delighted them. They may feel sorrow about years organized around usefulness, or anger that even now their time depends on arrangements nobody else has had to negotiate.

The therapist can meet that experience without rushing toward reassurance. What is this sadness about, for this person? What becomes possible when it is shared? Does accompaniment help them remain in contact, or are they becoming strained, distant, or overwhelmed? We can alter the pace, return to ordinary conversation, or attend to what they need after the session.

Yet the client article is careful not to make grief a toll paid before enjoyment. That distinction matters in the room. If we believe every opening should uncover deprivation, pleasure can become a route by which the client is repeatedly returned to pain. They may learn that an uncomplicated good experience is too shallow to interest us.

Rachel might feel sorrow when the therapist listens. She might simply be pleased to tell someone about the bird. Either deserves attention. We can remain available to emotional depth without manufacturing it, and allow a session to contain an enjoyable exchange whose meaning is still modest.

Desire belongs among other lives

As a wish becomes clearer, the therapeutic task changes. A client may need help expressing it, considering consequences, negotiating arrangements, or tolerating a refusal. Feeling entitled to want something and being entitled to another person's participation are different matters.

Rachel wants her sister to take a more regular share of care. Supporting that request includes examining the actual distribution of labor, what was agreed, and what each person can realistically do. It does not require treating every limit her sister names as rejection. Nor should Rachel have to shrink a reasonable request because another person is uncomfortable hearing it.

Sometimes our encouragement to choose a fuller life overlooks who will make that life possible. A partner, relative, or paid worker may be absorbing the consequences. Sometimes the opposite happens: we praise a client's generosity while failing to ask whether anyone else is carrying a fair share. Looking at the relationship arrangement can be as important as exploring the feeling.

There is also disappointment that cannot be negotiated away. An opportunity has ended. A person is unavailable. An activity no longer fits the body or life the client has now. Therapy can provide company for that recognition while remaining curious about what is still wanted. A wish can matter even when its original form cannot be restored.

Robert Frost's *The Tuft of Flowers* places an unexpected encounter with beauty inside a working day. The speaker discovers flowers the earlier mower has spared, and his relationship to the work and the absent worker changes. The line "I left my place to know them by their name" describes attention drawn beyond the task.[7]

The poem offers an image for the client's process: room for interest within a life that still contains work. It also asks something of the therapist. Can we notice what the client has spared or turned toward without insisting that the rest of life must be abandoned to make it count?

The therapist's investment in a more alive life

A client who moves us can awaken a strong wish to help. We may feel protective of an emerging interest or impatient with an arrangement that keeps narrowing it. Our response can carry important information, but it also carries our history, values, and unfinished wishes.

Perhaps we admire adventurousness and miss a client's pleasure in repetition. Perhaps we value service and take their exhaustion for granted. Perhaps their dutifulness resembles our own, and we become unusually invested in their willingness to stop. Their life begins carrying a question that also belongs to us.

Aponte and Carlsen's person-of-the-therapist supervision work links clinical decisions with the therapist's personal responses, including values that shape how a problem is understood.[8] For this work, a useful supervisory question is concrete: what did I do when the client did not become more interested, more expressive, or more hopeful? Did I keep listening, intensify the intervention, withdraw, or explain the absence as protection?

Ryan and Deci's research synthesis distinguishes supportive conditions for motivation from controlling ones, including the pressure of imposed goals and evaluation.[9] It gives context to a practical concern in therapy: a meaningful invitation may become an obligation through how we present and review it. That is a reason to examine our conduct, rather than assume that a warm tone removes our authority.

Rachel should be able to return without having gone out. We can ask what happened without making her reassure us that she still intends to try. If the invitation added burden, that result belongs in the work. If she wanted our approval, we can explore the relationship without treating her wish to matter to us as another failure.

Carrying the pathway into the week

The [Aliveness pathway](#) gives these questions a sequence. Its five modules can support selected work between sessions. Their value depends partly on how the therapist helps the client choose and return to the material.

What Has Gone Quiet in You? begins with a particular encounter. We can help separate absent interest from fatigue, limited opportunity, or the pressure to excel. The client article can give language to something difficult to bring into therapy; disagreement with it can be equally informative.

From Safety to Vitality examines conditions for engagement. Rachel's experience would direct attention to dependable care cover and a manageable duration. A therapist can help identify what support is actually missing before encouraging greater openness.

Curiosity, Play, and Desire gives a wish room to become clearer. The clinical work may concern the moment evaluation arrives, the vulnerability of an invitation, or the difference between wanting beforehand and enjoying what happens. Another person's refusal remains possible.

Making Room for What Is Not Productive considers an optional rule around participation. A client may loosen a demand to finish, document, or justify an activity while keeping the structures that help and the duties that remain. We should notice whether the practice itself becomes another rule.

Choosing a More Alive Life reviews actual encounters. Rachel learned that something could absorb her attention, that care coverage mattered, and that even a short outing used substantial energy. A later attempt might be nearer home. It might be postponed. The useful continuity lies in an account that can change as her life changes.

The full movement is worth preserving: describe what happened, explore a possible explanation, let the client correct it, choose a manageable comparison, name what they expect, and return to the actual result. Then ask what to keep, revise, or leave alone. Completion tells us less than the quality of that return.

The educational pathway can hold a thread of learning between sessions. The therapist remains available to what a platform cannot respond to in the moment: a misunderstood invitation, a difficult emotional response, a changing relationship, or a need that the planned practice did not anticipate. Some weeks the most useful choice will be to read one passage together. Some weeks there will be other work.

We may eventually hear a different kind of account of the week. Alongside what the client managed, there is something they noticed, received, wanted, or enjoyed. They may have been disappointed and remained interested in themselves. They may have asked for help or decided that an old interest no longer belongs to them.

Our task is to help those experiences become knowable and usable, while staying open to the person whose life they concern. We can be moved by a small opening without deciding how far it ought to lead.

References and reading boundaries

Clinical reasoning and the fictional Rachel example are educational synthesis. Research, clinical theory, and literary interpretation have different roles below. The sources do not establish BKL's effectiveness. Access limits are stated where only selected material was consulted.

1. Rogers, C. R. (1946). Significant aspects of client-centered therapy. *American Psychologist*, 1, 415-422. [Original paper, York University archive](#). Selected opening and process passages consulted; client authorship of goals is used here without adopting the paper's historical certainty about predictable outcomes.
2. Stern, D. N. (2010). *Forms of vitality: Exploring dynamic experience in psychology, the arts, psychotherapy, and development*. Oxford University Press. [Publisher overview](#). Publisher overview and indexed chapter 2 abstract consulted, not the complete book. A bounded conceptual contribution about dynamic form, timing, and content; no individual neural inference.
3. National Institute of Mental Health. (2024). *Depression*. NIH Publication No. 24-MH-8079. [Official overview](#). Symptom, assessment, and medical-contributor guidance consulted. Supports maintaining a broader assessment alongside a psychological formulation.
4. Forster, E. M. (1908). *A room with a view*, chapter III, "Music, Violets, and the Letter 'S.'" [Original novel](#). Opening piano scene and Lucy/Mr. Beebe exchange consulted, not the whole novel. Literary interpretation concerning pleasure, capacity, and an observer's hopes.
5. Fosha, D., & Thoma, N. (2020). Metatherapeutic processing supports the emergence of flourishing in psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy*, 57(3), 323-339. [doi:10.1037/pst0000289](https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000289). Primary indexed abstract consulted, not the complete paper. Used for a limited description of attending to helpful change, with the therapist's pacing developed here as clinical synthesis.
6. Gable, S. L., Reis, H. T., Impett, E. A., & Asher, E. R. (2004). What do you do when things go right? The intrapersonal and interpersonal benefits of sharing positive events. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87(2), 228-245. [Original author-institution PDF](#). Abstract and selected discussion passages consulted. Everyday relationship research offers context for a clinical question; it does not test the illustrated therapy process.
7. Frost, R. *The tuft of flowers*. [Complete poem, Academy of American Poets](#). Full poem consulted and short quotation checked. A literary image of interest and connection within continuing work, rather than evidence for a clinical mechanism.
8. Aponte, H. J., & Carlsen, J. C. (2009). An instrument for person-of-the-therapist supervision. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 35(4), 395-405. [doi:10.1111/j.1752-0606.2009.00127.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-0606.2009.00127.x). Original AAMFT-hosted PDF, introduction, model, and selected supervision instructions consulted. Informs examination of the therapist's participation; no instrument or validation claim is reproduced.
9. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68-78. [Original author-hosted PDF](#). Opening and intrinsic-motivation/autonomy-control sections consulted. Supports examining how invitations are framed and reviewed; not evidence of outcomes from BKL homework.