

# When the Person Who Carries Everything Comes to Therapy

*An integrative formulation of stress, responsibility, and the conditions for change*

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT · Therapist companion

*“Who is nurturing you?”*

Henri Nouwen, *Spiritual Disciplines for a Busy Life*, Part 2 <sup>R19</sup>

The person sitting across from us is still functioning. Appointments are kept. Work is completed. Other people receive care. The difficulty may first arrive as irritability, a dwindling tolerance for interruption, an inability to sleep after the day has ended, or the sense that ordinary life has become an accumulation of demands. They apologize for complaining. Others have it harder. They should be able to manage.

We may quickly recognize a familiar clinical pattern: excessive responsibility, a harsh critic, an attachment bargain in which usefulness secures a place. That recognition can be helpful. It can also move us past the actual life. Before we know what is being carried, we have identified the person who cannot put it down.

I want the formulation to remain close enough to the encounter to be corrected. What is the work? Who depends on it? What resources, authority, and alternatives are available? What happens when this person asks for help? And what happens between us when I become another person with a plan for how they should live?

Overload can involve an interaction among demands, resources, health, relational arrangements, emotional learning, and meaning. The clinical task is to discover which of these requires attention now. We can recognize an adaptation without making it the explanation for every burden, and recognize a difficult environment without overlooking the person's conduct or possibilities for choice.

## **Distinguishing the Burden From the Way It Is Carried**

The distinction sounds simple until someone presents with great competence. Their continued output can conceal the cost of maintaining it. We may hear a coherent account and overlook how little recovery, assistance, or room to make mistakes is available. Conversely, a forceful account of being overloaded does not establish that every task is unavoidable or every distribution of responsibility fair to others.

A useful assessment begins with one recent interval. We can trace demands, interruptions, competing duties, sleep and health changes, the person's interpretation, what they did, and what followed. Include tasks of anticipating, remembering, monitoring, and being available. Ask who has decision authority and whether a refusal has brought ordinary disappointment, practical difficulty, punishment, or an uncertain consequence.

This is also a place for appropriate differential assessment. Fatigue, disrupted sleep, concentration changes, low mood, pain, and reduced participation can have overlapping psychological and medical contributors. A responsibility narrative should not replace clinical evaluation or relevant health care. Nor does the presence of depression make an unsafe workload irrelevant.

WHO's ICD-11 formulation confines burnout to the occupational context. Its dimensions include exhaustion, distance or cynicism toward work, and reduced professional efficacy. Overload, depletion, caregiver strain, and life stress need their own descriptions rather than being made interchangeable with this classification.<sup>R1</sup>

Maslach and Leiter's review broadens the work assessment through workload, control, reward, community, fairness, and values. This is useful when exhaustion has been treated as the whole problem. A person may need fewer demands, but may also need greater authority, reliable colleagues, a credible process for raising concerns, or an end to work they experience as ethically troubling. The research does not license a simple diagnostic division between burnout and depression.<sup>R3</sup>

## **Resources, Power, and the Limits of an Individual Formulation**

The job demands-resources framework asks what effort the work requires and what resources support it. It also allows meaningful challenge and strain to coexist. Engagement is important information about what the person values; it does not establish that the present amount or arrangement is sustainable.<sup>R4</sup>

Hobfoll's conservation-of-resources theory adds threatened and actual loss: money, employment, time, housing, health-related capacity, and dependable relationships. For a person with few reserves, an apparently modest experiment may risk something substantial. The therapist's sense of what would be liberating can be shaped by resources the client does not have.<sup>R5</sup>

We should distinguish at least three questions: can the person recognize a limit, do they feel permitted to express it, and do they have sufficient power to act on it? Each can require a different response. Emotional work may alter felt permission. It cannot confer managerial authority, supply a replacement caregiver, or guarantee protection from retaliation.

There is empirical reason to take external conditions seriously. Kelly and colleagues evaluated a group-randomized workplace intervention involving schedule control and

supervisor support. The study found modest improvements in work-family conflict and family-time adequacy, alongside changes in those supports. It concerned one US information-technology organization and a particular follow-up period. Its contribution here is to keep organizational change within the field of possible intervention, not to promise the same result elsewhere.<sup>R7</sup>

WHO's guidance similarly identifies understaffing, excessive demands, low control, insecurity, inadequate pay, discrimination, and conflicting work/home responsibilities. Asking about these conditions belongs within a psychologically thoughtful formulation. The person may need accompaniment in obtaining support or considering accommodations, without the therapist assuming expertise in employment law or making the decision for them.<sup>R2</sup>

## **The Reliable Role and the Person It Partly Conceals**

There can still be a meaningful history in how responsibility is carried. A person may have become highly alert to what needs doing, quick to anticipate displeasure, and uneasy when someone else takes charge. Those capacities may have supported attachment, competence, family continuity, or survival. They may also express chosen values. We need the person's account to understand their particular meaning.

Jung's persona offers one interpretive lens on the relationship between a socially valued role and the larger person. The capable worker or dependable family member is not necessarily a disguise. Difficulty can emerge when the role becomes the only admissible way to appear: competence without uncertainty, generosity without need, steadiness without grief. Persona is a historical depth-psychological concept, not an empirically measured cause of occupational exhaustion.<sup>R13</sup>

This lens can deepen an inquiry without creating an opposition between an allegedly false role and a hidden authentic self. The person may genuinely love the work. Their competence may be hard-won and satisfying. We can ask which capacities the role expresses, which experiences it excludes, and whether it remains flexible enough to accommodate changed circumstances.

Some history may come into view: a child praised for being no trouble, a family organized around an unpredictable adult, or a culture in which contribution carries enduring obligations. These possibilities require evidence and correction. The same adult behavior could reflect a present dependency, financial necessity, an inaccessible workplace, or preference. The wish to develop a coherent psychodynamic story should not outrun what is known.

There may be grief when an arrangement changes. Someone who has been indispensable may feel relieved and strangely unlocated when help becomes reliable. The loss is not simply the burden. It may concern a recognizable place in the family, a form of closeness,

or a way of knowing they matter. We can make room for that experience without interpreting it as proof that the person secretly wants to remain exhausted.

## **Attachment: What Happens When Need Becomes Visible?**

Bowlby's account of adult attachment gives support-seeking a legitimate place in capable functioning. Under illness, fear, or strain, turning toward a trusted person need not represent developmental failure. The clinical questions concern who is available, what the person expects, how support is sought, and what happens when it arrives. Current expectations do not establish early attachment classification.<sup>R15</sup>

Collins and Feeney's observational work with dating couples gives a more specific empirical contribution. The way stress was expressed and support sought was associated with the caregiving response. This supports examining the actual exchange while preserving an essential limit: a clear request cannot make an unreliable or coercive recipient responsive.<sup>R16</sup>

We can explore what makes a request difficult without assuming the obstacle is entirely internal. Does asking bring criticism, debt, a loss of control, or another task to supervise? Is the person asking someone who has repeatedly declined? Has “help” meant temporary participation while the exhausted person retains all planning and accountability?

The emotional and practical dimensions may need different interventions. A person may receive a useful reduction in work and still feel ashamed of needing it. Another may feel warmly understood while nothing about the load changes. Both are material for the next response. Neither should be used to dismiss the other.

## **Affect, Embodiment, and Receiving a Helpful Experience**

Fosha's AEDP framework gives close attention to accompaniment, moment-to-moment affect, and what happens when an experience becomes less lonely or a helpful response is received. In therapy, an adjustment in the relationship can become part of the work: the client says our advice is another demand, we understand the effect, and the pace changes.<sup>R8</sup>

The clinically important event is specific. Perhaps the client can stop explaining and notice tiredness. Perhaps being believed brings grief rather than relief. Perhaps help is unwelcome, or the invitation does not fit. We can ask what is happening without treating greater emotion as greater truth or a positive state as evidence of enduring change.

Damasio's somatic-marker hypothesis places feelings and bodily-state representations within evaluation and choice. It supports including bodily experience among the information considered. It does not make the body an infallible authority about a workplace, relationship, or developmental origin. A visceral response can prompt a question; it cannot settle the answer.<sup>R9</sup>

Schore's synthesis offers a developmental and relational perspective on affect regulation, especially in severe early trauma. Its historical clinical contribution should remain distinct from what is being inferred about an adult whose work exceeds their resources. We cannot read a damaged brain or a particular childhood from an episode of shutdown.<sup>R10</sup>

Siegel describes integration through differentiation and connection. Applied as a clinical framework, this invites us to distinguish needs, duties, feelings, and available capacities before asking how they can coordinate. A responsibility map may help a person think more clearly; it does not measure neural integration.<sup>R11</sup>

These lenses support attentive inquiry while preserving uncertainty. They should not become a stack of biological-sounding explanations placed between us and the person. Sometimes they will guide us toward a small experiential invitation. Sometimes the person needs a meal, medical assessment, a changed deadline, or a therapist willing to stop talking.

## Competing Pressures and the Function of Continuing

Schwartz's IFS framework offers an optional way to approach contradictory impulses without immediately selecting one as the healthy position. The response that works late, the protest against another demand, and the wish to disappear from everyone may be addressing different concerns. We can investigate their purposes and consequences without treating parts as literal entities or assuming they arose in trauma.<sup>R12</sup>

A clinically useful question is often more ordinary than a model's vocabulary: what becomes possible when this person continues, and what are they trying to prevent? Continuing may secure income, complete meaningful work, protect a dependent person, avoid disapproval, or postpone an uncomfortable feeling. Several functions can coexist, and the client may reject our first account.

If a person says they enjoy the work, we should be able to receive that information. We can remain interested in cost without converting pleasure into disguised avoidance. Likewise, resentment does not establish that a duty is illegitimate. It can indicate unfair distribution, depleted capacity, an unspoken expectation, a conflict of values, or an arrangement that needs renegotiation.

The therapeutic movement concerns an increase in usable choice. Sometimes that means approaching a fear. Sometimes it means preserving a valued commitment while changing its conditions. Sometimes there is no workable individual adjustment, and the treatment helps the person recognize this without turning the recognition into another defeat.

## Work and Fulfillment Without an Idealized Life

A person can become tired of work they still love. The loss of available attention may be especially painful because the work matters. They remember taking pleasure in a task that now seems to demand more than they can bring. We should not assume the earlier pleasure was false, or that greater fulfillment would make the demand sustainable.

Gagné and Deci's self-determination account distinguishes autonomous from controlled motivation. Personally endorsed duty can be meaningful even when its tasks are unpleasant. This gives us a more nuanced question than whether the client enjoys each activity: does the responsibility have a place in a life they can stand behind, and what conditions make that participation possible? Motivation quality and practical capacity remain separate considerations.<sup>R6</sup>

We may need to hear anger about the conditions under which worthwhile work is done. A person who cares about patients, teaching, craft, or community may feel implicated in standards they cannot meet with the time provided. Exhaustion can be accompanied by shame about disappointing people and by an accurate protest against an arrangement. These experiences should not be hurried into a decision to leave or stay.

Palmer's companion material for *A Hidden Wholeness* gives a distinct contribution to how we accompany this inquiry: reflection needs trustworthy conditions, clear time commitments, and room to hear one's own account without being managed. A therapist can offer that quality of attention while helping examine the external work. It is a contemplative educational perspective, not clinical-outcome evidence.<sup>R18</sup>

For some clients, employment is chiefly a means of maintaining a household, obtaining care, or preserving future options. We should be careful with an ideal of vocational fulfillment that makes necessary work seem spiritually deficient. A good-enough job, valued commitments outside employment, or a smaller role during illness may be the person's considered choice. The question remains theirs.

There can also be a difficult mourning: the profession they imagined, the recognition that has not come, the years of carrying something that would never become fairly shared. The therapist may need to stay with that loss before planning. Understanding its meaning does not remove the need for income, and practical advice does not complete the grief.

## The Therapist's Family of Origin and Participation

We can become another audience before whom a capable person performs. They arrive with a thoughtful account, accept our interpretation, promise to practice a boundary, and return ashamed that they have not done it. The therapy itself has joined the list of things they are meant to manage well.

Our own urgency deserves inquiry. Do I feel effective only when the client makes a change I can recognize? Am I drawn to their competence, uncomfortable with their anger, or impatient with a dependency that has no easy solution? Do I experience relief when they agree with me and disappointment when they complicate the account?

Family-of-origin inquiry brings these questions closer to the life we have lived. In our first family, who noticed distress, who was allowed to need something, and who was expected to keep going? Were we welcomed for being capable, asked to mediate, or relied upon to anticipate what others could not bear? We may have learned to recognize a change in mood before we could name our own response. These are possibilities to investigate, not a history to assign to every helper.

Such learning can carry tenderness, skill, loyalty, and cost. A therapist who watched a parent work beyond exhaustion may hear a client's wish for less responsibility with an unexpected loyalty to that parent's sacrifice. Someone accustomed to settling conflict may move quickly toward an agreement before discovering whether the client wants one. Another therapist may enjoy difficult, demanding work without an underlying wish to earn belonging. Our history needs the same openness to correction that we offer the client's.

Harry Aponte and Karni Kissil's Person-of-the-Therapist Training model, or POTT, makes the therapist's personal participation an explicit focus of professional development. It attends to enduring vulnerabilities, called "signature themes," and how they enter clinical relationships. Family history belongs in that inquiry alongside culture, social position, values, and present life. The immediate task is to recognize what becomes active and use that awareness deliberately in connecting, assessing, and intervening. Personal growth remains important; practice does not wait for a therapist to have resolved every struggle. Their article presents a training model and clinical illustrations, rather than controlled evidence that this approach improves outcomes for overloaded clients.<sup>R29</sup>

Applied here, the inquiry becomes specific. When a client says another person has let them down, do I begin arranging a better response before asking what happened? Can I hear their pleasure in a demanding role without admiring their endurance past the point of interest in its cost? Can I acknowledge a necessary duty without joining an old expectation that someone must always manage? Recognizing a familiar feeling may help me ask a more considerate question. The client's answer still has to matter more than the recognition.

An apparently gentle intervention can carry an implied assignment. "You need to put yourself first" may arrive as a demand to stop caring in the way the person understands care. "You are overfunctioning" may be heard before we know who else is available. "This is your childhood" can replace a current grievance with our preferred explanation. We need enough authority to offer distinctions and enough humility to examine their effect.

A useful supervision review can stay with one exchange: what the client said, what I noticed, what I wanted to do, what I did, and how the client responded. We can examine a recording where consent and professional arrangements permit, or describe the exchange

while acknowledging the limits of memory. Did I shorten a pause, explain a refusal, take over planning, or overlook a duty? What other reading fits? The next session offers a chance to ask, acknowledge my contribution where appropriate, and observe whether a different response gives the client more room. Personal history can remain private; the client need not become its witness or caretaker.

Nouwen's question about who nurtures the helper becomes particularly relevant here. In the selected talk, he considers deliberately arranged relationships in which the leader can relinquish that role and ask for care. For therapists, the fresh question is where our own need is allowed to exist without being addressed through the client's progress. His religious assurances need not become assumptions that support is easily available to every practitioner. <sup>R19</sup>

Supervision, consultation, personal therapy, professional relationships, and actual workload decisions can support this work in different ways. Reflecting privately is not equivalent to receiving another person's observation. Our capacity to tolerate a client's limited options may depend partly on whether we have a place to bring our own helplessness without making the client carry it. Caseload, time, health, financial pressure, and access to support also deserve attention; understanding our history does not make those conditions sustainable.

## A Literary Caution About Having the Wider Explanation

Chekhov's *A Doctor's Visit* offers a complicated physician, not an exemplary one. Korolyov begins by minimizing Liza's distress, then becomes more attentive to the factory setting and her wish to be understood. Yet he also assumes she shares his preferred solution, morally praises her sleeplessness, and leaves enjoying his own prospects while forgetting the workers. His widened awareness remains compromised by his position and certainty. <sup>R23</sup>

The story helps me retain a clinical caution: a systemic interpretation can also become an interpretation imposed on someone. We may see injustice and still need to ask what the client knows, wants, doubts, and can do. The heiress and workers do not occupy equivalent positions. Recognizing social conditions should sharpen attention to power and authorship rather than supply another universal answer. Literature can disturb our confidence in useful ways; it does not establish the cause of a patient's symptoms.

## An Invented Clinical Sequence: Let the Result Alter the Account

Consider Soren, an invented client who works in a laboratory with variable staffing. He completes his ordinary responsibilities and regularly absorbs unfinished work at the end of a shift. At home, agreed practical tasks have begun to go undone. His partner experiences his fatigue and withdrawal as a recurring absence. Soren reports that he cannot keep anyone satisfied.

The therapist initially wonders about a learned need to be indispensable. Rather than explaining it, she asks him to describe a recent shift. They find several distinct demands: necessary laboratory work, discretionary checking, an unclear handover process, and a supervisor's assumption that Soren will stay. There is also a cost to his partner, who has been absorbing the home tasks without an explicit agreement.

When the therapist asks what he fears would happen if he left on time, Soren says the main concern is not rejection. He worries about a specific task being left without a responsible person, and he needs the income. He also admits that he sometimes rechecks work beyond the agreed standard. The formulation becomes more accurate because the therapist has allowed a practical concern and a personal pattern to remain distinct.

In this invented sequence, the therapist notices how quickly she wants to organize an agreement. She recognizes something of her childhood role: anticipating conflict and finding an arrangement everyone could accept. That history does not tell her what Soren needs. It helps her notice a current choice. She can ask who has authority and what he wants before supplying a solution, then examine that moment in supervision.

They consider a bounded experiment. Soren will ask for a clear handover on one ordinary shift, identifying the remaining task and the person authorized to accept it. He will retain the required checking and omit one optional repetition only if it is consistent with the actual standard. They predict that the request may clarify responsibility without guaranteeing an earlier departure. They identify an alternative: if the supervisor refuses or the situation appears unsafe, he will preserve required procedures and seek appropriate private advice rather than force the experiment.

At the next session, Soren reports an uneven result. The handover is accepted once, but a later shift remains understaffed. He leaves earlier on the first occasion and still feels tense. At home, his partner appreciates the earlier return but says the practical work needs more dependable planning. No one result establishes that his problem is internal or external.

The therapist asks what he learned. Soren finds that clearer handover can matter and that omitting an optional check did not produce the feared problem. He also needs to discuss home responsibilities honestly and consider what support is possible at work. The next step is not simply a more difficult boundary. It is a revised account with several different responsibilities.

If the actual result had been retaliation, increased errors, or intolerable strain, the learning would require another direction. If he had not tried, the therapist would ask what made the proposal irrelevant, unsafe, inaccessible, forgotten, or too much. The model does not earn the right to remain unchanged by interpreting every outcome as confirmation.

## Recognition, Agreement, and Reliable Conduct

Bowen's differentiation framework contributes a considered position within continuing relationship. It can help the person distinguish what they feel, what they believe is theirs to do, what they can offer, and where another person's authority or responsibility begins. This is neither emotional cutoff nor a requirement to be unaffected by disappointment. <sup>R14</sup>

In practice, a sustainable agreement usually needs a clear object. "Help more" can leave the entire burden of noticing and managing with the same person. A defined responsibility includes initiating the task, obtaining what it requires, responding to problems, and reporting when the agreement needs revision. The allocation must also account for actual differences in capacity.

We should ask how the agreement affects everyone involved. A client may experience a justified limit while a dependent person loses necessary care. A partner may offer substantial work that remains unrecognized because it is done differently. Another person may use the client's distress as a reason to avoid their own responsibility. Clinical understanding depends on observable conduct and, where available and appropriate, more than one account.

Joint discussion is a choice, not a prerequisite for private learning. Where there is coercion or fear of retaliation, a request for better negotiation can expose someone to harm. Appropriate individual support and practical protection may be more fitting. We cannot infer safety from apparent calm or an agreement completed on a worksheet.

## Translating the Formulation Into Bounded Learning

The proposed BKL pathway preserves a distinction between experiential learning and psychotherapy. Its five modules begin with describing the load, then explore what keeps it in place, what can change around the person, how a more workable agreement might be made, and what would sustain a life. Each module includes the complete cycle of encounter, explanation, correction, experiment, actual result, and revision.

The first module should remain a usable beginning. A learner choosing a later concern can see where the sequence leads while starting at Module 1. Optional reflection is an aid to noticing, not a readiness test. Its questionnaire must not calculate burnout, infer trauma, or recommend employment or relationship decisions.

Every proposed experiment needs a prediction, relevant conditions, an observable question, a stopping choice, and an alternative. That alternative may be private rehearsal, practical assistance, seeking care, or no experiment. A real-world refusal should not be required to demonstrate learning. The right to disagree must change the account, not merely appear in an introductory disclaimer.

Review includes six possible directions: something helped, nothing changed, the experience became harder, the explanation did not fit, the result was mixed, or the step was not tried. Where something helped, the learner can identify the supporting condition without being assigned a larger challenge. A helpful interaction and persistent exhaustion can both remain true.

Therapists using the material can ask what the client wants to bring back, including nothing. A completed workbook may reveal a clearer account or simply that the person can complete a workbook. Its value lies in how it informs the next encounter and ordinary life. Private writing should not be assumed available for review or sharing.

## **What Would Count as a More Sustainable Life?**

For one person, useful change may be fewer unnecessary checks. For another, it is a genuine transfer of work. Someone may be able to receive care without immediately compensating. Someone may keep a difficult commitment because it expresses a value, with a clearer arrangement and better support. Another may recognize that a setting remains harmful and needs a different kind of response.

The changes should be described at the scale of the evidence. Did a task actually change hands? Did recovery time become available? Was a limit respected? Did the client become more able to correct us? Did they remain attentive to another person's legitimate need while recognizing their own? Feeling lighter can matter without becoming the only acceptable result.

Sometimes there will be no satisfying account of sustainability yet. The person may be waiting for an appointment, a benefit decision, help with care, or an opportunity that does not presently exist. Therapy can accompany that interval while refusing to turn limited options into a defect in character. We can still examine conduct, preserve worthwhile possibilities, and recognize the work of getting through a difficult week.

I would like the person who carries everything to find something different in the room with us: an accurate interest in the load, a willingness to hear its meaning, and a relationship in which competence does not make need invisible. From there, a small change can be considered honestly. We can observe its effect, acknowledge what remains, and let the next response be informed by the life rather than by our wish to have resolved it.

Explore Stress, Overload, and a More Sustainable Life

When There Is More to Carry Than One Life Can Hold

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

# Reading, scope, and limits

These readings inform educational learning. Research, clinical theories, literary works, and spiritual reflection contribute in different ways. The reading scopes below identify the original sections, excerpts, transcripts, or abstracts actually consulted. No full-book reading or evidence of BKL treatment efficacy is claimed. Clinical interpretation remains open to the person's correction; literary and spiritual language is optional.

**R1. World Health Organization (2019).** Burn-out an occupational phenomenon: International Classification of Diseases.

[Open source](#) · Classification.

**Actually consulted:** Full substantive announcement and definition read. Occupational classification; not a diagnosis or a definition for every form of exhaustion.

**R2. World Health Organization (2026).** Mental health at work.

[Open source](#) · Guidance.

**Actually consulted:** Work risks, organizational prevention and accommodations sections read. Guidance/synthesis; no jurisdiction-specific legal advice.

**R3. Maslach, C., and Leiter, M. P. (2016).** Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry.

[Open source](#) · Research review.

**Actually consulted:** Selected definition, Areas of Worklife, causes/outcomes and prevention sections of the original open article read. Review, predominantly correlational evidence; no BKL outcome evidence.

**R4. Bakker, A. B., and Demerouti, E. (2017).** Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward.

[Open source](#) · Research/theory.

**Actually consulted:** Author-institution full PDF available; demand/resource, dual-process and challenge/hindrances passages read, pp.274-278. Meaningful challenge can still cost energy.

**R5. Hobfoll, S. E. (1989).** Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress.

[Open source](#) · Theory.

**Actually consulted:** Original author-profile paper, selected model/resource passages, pp.516-517. A theory of threatened/actual loss and resource investment, not an intervention trial.

**R6. Gagné, M., and Deci, E. L. (2005).** Self-determination theory and work motivation.

[Open source](#) · Research/theory.

**Actually consulted:** Selected autonomy/controlled motivation and managerial-support passages, pp.333-335 and 350. Endorsed duty differs from enjoyment or complete independence.

**R7. Kelly, E. L., et al. (2014).** Changing work and work-family conflict: Evidence from the Work, Family, and Health Network.

[Open source](#) · Original research.

**Actually consulted:** Author manuscript abstract, framing, discussion and limitations read. Group-randomized workplace intervention in one US IT organization; modest six-month changes, limited transfer.

**R8. Fosha, D. (2021).** Introduction: AEDP after 20 years. In *Undoing Aloneness and the Transformation of Suffering Into Flourishing: AEDP 2.0*.

[Open source](#) · Clinical theory.

**Actually consulted:** Publisher sample introduction, selected pp.4-6,9-11. Relational safety, affect and attention to receiving change; not full edited volume or evidence for an educational derivative.

**R9. Damasio, A. R. (1996).** The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex.

[Open source](#) · Research/theory.

**Actually consulted:** Indexed abstract and bibliographic record read. Hypothesis/review drawing on neuropsychology; no claim that bodily signals are infallible or reveal the cause of overload.

**R10. Schore, A. N. (2001).** The effects of early relational trauma on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health.

[Open source](#) · Clinical synthesis.

**Actually consulted:** Author-hosted abstract and selected stress/regulation/development sections read, not entire 69-page paper. Historical synthesis focused on severe early trauma; no inference of childhood events from adult workload.

**R11. Siegel, D. J. (2020).** The Developing Mind, third edition.

[Open source](#) · Clinical/theoretical synthesis.

**Actually consulted:** Publisher sample, selected integration passages pp.35-36,48-49, not full book. Differentiation and connection within Siegel's framework; no measured neural-integration claim.

**R12. Schwartz, R. C. (2021).** No Bad Parts.

[Open source](#) · Clinical theory.

**Actually consulted:** Author-institute hosted publisher excerpt, selected opening passages on conflicting impulses and curiosity. Optional IFS model; no entire-book reading or literal-parts requirement.

**R13. Jung, C. G. (1921/1934-1954).** Selected passages from Psychological Types (§§717-718) and Collected Works9i (§43), reproduced in The Quotable Jung.

[Open source](#) · Depth theory.

**Actually consulted:** Original selected passages in Princeton publisher sample, pp.26-28; historical/environmental context and persona. Not full Jung volumes; theory rather than stress neuroscience.

**R14. Bowen Center for the Study of the Family (n.d.).** Differentiation of self.

[Open source](#) · Systems theory.

**Actually consulted:** Institute concept page read. Family-systems framework and clinical illustration; not a reader maturity ranking or validated explanation of a particular person.

**R15. Bowlby, J. (1977).** The making and breaking of affectional bonds. I. Aetiology and psychopathology in the light of attachment theory.

[Open source](#) · Attachment theory.

**Actually consulted:** Publisher summary and original university-hosted opening pp.201-203 read. Adult support-seeking within attachment theory; not classification of a reader's early attachment.

**R16. Collins, N. L., and Feeney, B. C. (2000).** A safe haven: An attachment theory perspective on support seeking and caregiving in intimate relationships.

[Open source](#) · Original research.

**Actually consulted:** Indexed abstract read; 93 dating couples observed discussing a problem. Observational associations; a direct request cannot guarantee helpful support.

**R18. Palmer, P. J., and Center for Courage & Renewal (2007).** Circles of Trust DVD transcripts, companion to A Hidden Wholeness (2004).

[Open source](#) · Contemplative education.

**Actually consulted:** Selected chapters 2-3 and 5, including inward/outward life, trustworthy listening and honored time commitments. Contemplative education; book not read and no clinical-efficacy inference.

**R19. Nouwen, H. (1992/2020).** Spiritual Disciplines for a Busy Life, Part2.

[Open source](#) · Spiritual/relational reflection.

**Actually consulted:** Original transcript's final self-nurture and accompaniment section read. Talk given in 1992; podcast released 22 March 2020. Spiritual reflection on receiving care; belief remains optional.

**R23. Chekhov, A. (1898/1917).** A Doctor's Visit, translated by Constance Garnett, in The Lady with the Dog and Other Stories.

[Open source](#) · Literature.

**Actually consulted:** Complete story read. Wider social formulation alongside the physician's assumptions and forgetting workers. Literature, not a clinical case or causal evidence.

**R29. Aponte, H. J., and Kissil, K. (2014 (first published online 2012)).** “If I Can Grapple With This I Can Truly Be Of Use In The Therapy Room”: Using the Therapist’s Own Emotional Struggles to Facilitate Effective Therapy. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 40(2), 152-164.

[Open source](#) · Clinical training model and illustrative cases.

**Actually consulted:** Publisher abstract/bibliographic record and selected original sections of the author-uploaded full text read: The POTT Model; The Signature Theme; training, familial/contextual inquiry and supervision passages; personal-benefits/conclusion. Not the complete article or 2016 book. Supports purposeful use of current personal experience, family/cultural context and ongoing professional responsibility; not controlled outcome evidence.