

When Survival Remains in the Room

Recovering freedom and connection, and the therapist's participation in that work

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT

Personally, I disagree with their ideas.

Charlotte Perkins Gilman, *The Yellow Wallpaper*.¹³

In this invented clinical illustration, Bram has been describing a familiar difficulty with a colleague. His account is precise, but his voice has become unusually even. Asked what he is feeling, he says he does not know. The therapist invites him to notice his body. He looks toward the window and answers politely that he will try. The therapist feels the session beginning to deepen.

Then Bram says, "I am mostly trying to give you an answer."

There is a person here who wants help and is already working to be a person who can be helped. His effort to cooperate may make it difficult to discover what he actually needs. There is also a therapist who wants to reach him and may be taking his cooperation as evidence that the work is reaching somewhere important. A familiar arrangement can develop between two people with sincere intentions.

The question guiding this article is: *How does a person whose life has become organized around survival begin to experience greater freedom, connection, and trust in themselves-and what must the therapist understand about their own participation in that process?*

That second question includes the therapist's relationship to their own trauma, where such a history is present. How we have learned to live with fear, shame, grief, dependence, and uncertainty can shape what we are able to accompany in someone else. Our personal work matters through the attention and choices it makes possible in the encounter. It can also help us recognize when an understandable wish to help is beginning to serve our own need for relief, certainty, or usefulness.

What Survival May Have Asked of a Person

For some people, the past is recognizable as an event that changed the terms of life. There was a time before the assault, collision, medical emergency, or prolonged coercion. They remember sleeping more easily, enjoying company, or trusting their judgment. Others have less experience of a before. The capacities we ask an adult to use-knowing what they feel, asking for comfort, disagreeing without anticipating disaster-may have developed under conditions in which those capacities received little support.

A child depends on the relationships in which fear or deprivation may also occur. Watching an adult's face, becoming useful, keeping anger out of view, or making needs difficult to notice can become ways of getting through the day. Threat and deprivation deserve their own attention: repeated danger and the persistent absence of responsive care may coexist, but they are different developmental conditions. Their consequences vary, and neither permits us to reconstruct a particular childhood from an adult presentation.^{32, 28}

When the adult enters therapy, an invitation to speak freely may therefore carry more difficulty than the words suggest. What would it cost to disappoint the therapist? What happens if a need is visible and the response does not come? A person may want closeness while preparing for the humiliation of having wanted it. Attachment formulations help us listen to these expectations while checking the actual relationship in which they are occurring. Adult betrayal can also injure trust; a childhood explanation is not required for every relational wound.^{47, 24}

Understanding possible adaptation changes the quality of our attention. We become interested in what a response helped preserve and what it now costs. Yet the person must remain able to say that our account misses. Bram later explains that his precise speech also expresses something he values: he likes thinking carefully. If every capacity becomes a defense in our formulation, we may leave him with less of himself than he brought to therapy.

Maté's attention to social and developmental context is useful here. A person's difficulty may be sustained by precarious work, discrimination, inaccessible care, or a relationship in which dissent has real consequences. Clinical understanding must include the conditions the person returns to after the session. Emotional suppression should not become our explanation for an individual's medical illness, or an invitation to make them responsible for it.²⁷

The clinical task is to help an account become more accurate and more useful. Sometimes that reduces shame. Sometimes it brings grief: the person begins to recognize how much effort went into living, and how little room remained for play, rest, or being cared for. That recognition deserves company before we turn it into an assignment.

Meeting the Body That Arrives Before Explanation

A person may understand intellectually that the collision has ended while still preparing at the sound of brakes. They may know they are entitled to disagree and find their throat tightening when a therapist asks a question. Sleep, attention, bodily readiness, shame, and relationship can all belong in the formulation. Attending only to the story can miss how much of the difficulty occurs before a considered response becomes available.^{57, 34}

Van der Kolk's work and Rothschild's *The Body Remembers* helped bring these dimensions into clinical view. Damasio and colleagues' research gives bodily feeling a central place in emotional experience. Together, these contributions support taking embodiment seriously. They do not make the body a historical recording that the therapist can decode. A sensation can be vivid, meaningful, and deserving of care while its origin remains uncertain.^{57, 10, 40}

Schore's developmental account directs attention to the early relational conditions in which affect regulation takes shape. Siegel's integration framework helps us ask how feeling, thought, bodily experience, and perspective become available together. These are useful ways of thinking about a person's capacities in an encounter. They should increase our sensitivity to what is happening between us, rather than persuade us that we can read an individual's neural state from posture or expression. More detailed distinctions about hemispheres and autonomic models appear in the clinical notes.^{46, 42}

For Bram, the inward invitation makes the room feel farther away. The therapist can respond to that information before settling its mechanism. Would ordinary conversation be easier? Would he prefer a pause, a different seat, or less questioning? He chooses conversation. A useful beginning may be discovering that his account has an effect on what happens next.

This is also a moment for the therapist's own work. If quiet inward attention has been important in our healing, we may feel disappointed when the client declines it. If bodily experience has frightened us, we may retreat into explanation whenever feeling becomes available. Neither reaction settles what the client needs. Recognizing our pull gives us a chance to make a clinical choice instead of quietly reproducing our preferred way of managing distress.

When Being Helped Is Itself Difficult

There can be considerable loneliness in sitting with someone kind while still arranging oneself around what that person seems to want. Bram's correction makes that loneliness more visible. He is not simply failing to access an emotion. He is telling the therapist something about the relationship in which the emotion has been requested.

Fosha's AEDP perspective gives sustained attention to accompaniment and to how an intervention is actually received. The practical question is whether the person experiences

what we offer as helpful, intrusive, unfamiliar, or beside the point. Recognition may begin when the therapist remembers Bram's correction and allows it to change the pace. It may deepen when he can disagree without having to repair the therapist's feelings afterward.¹¹

The opening of *The Yellow Wallpaper* gives a literary form to the problem of benevolent authority. A woman's own sense of what might help is repeatedly overruled. We need not diagnose the narrator to notice the relational question: whose experience can alter the treatment? In therapy, the person who declines somatic attention, wants more structure, or questions a formulation should still be recognizable to us as a thoughtful participant.¹³

There is room for challenge within this stance. A client's fear may lead them to frighten a partner or make reassurance impossible to refuse. Understanding the fear does not remove the impact. We can remain interested in the vulnerability while helping the person take responsibility for conduct. Equally, we should not turn a client's account of current coercion into a lesson about tolerating discomfort. The quality of present conditions matters.

The Therapist's Own Trauma Enters the Room

For a therapist with a trauma history, a client's experience can touch places that professional language has not made fully available to personal understanding. A familiar humiliation may bring urgent recognition. A story of childhood neglect may evoke sadness that is difficult to bear. A client's anger may bring a sudden need to placate, explain, become certain, or withdraw. Sometimes the feeling is quieter: unusual fatigue, a wish to end the topic, or disproportionate satisfaction when the client agrees.

These responses need inquiry. They may involve personal history, the actual encounter, workload, current life, or several influences together. Naming countertransference should open investigation rather than become a way to explain away a client's complaint. The relevant question is what the reaction is asking us to do, and whether that action serves this person.

In the continuing fictional illustration, Bram's therapist grew up valued for making sense of everyone else's distress. Explanations could restore order in a household where uncertainty was unsettling. In the session, being unable to explain Bram's distance evokes an old pressure to become more useful. The therapist begins asking more questions just as Bram needs fewer. Their good intention and his accommodation begin to sustain each other.

Recognition of this pattern can be uncomfortable. The therapist may feel ashamed that their wish to help has become pressure. Here, their own trauma work can matter in a very practical way: can they acknowledge that shame without defending the intervention,

collapsing into self-criticism, or asking Bram to reassure them? Can they remain interested in his experience while recognizing their own?

Aponte and Kissil's Person-of-the-Therapist Training model offers a disciplined way to consider recurring personal themes in clinical work. It emphasizes knowing and purposefully using the therapist as they are now, including unresolved struggles, while continuing personal development. Its aim is not to wait for a completely healed self. The work involves recognizing personal patterns, differentiating one's experience from the client's, and examining their effects in supervision. This is a clinical training formulation, illustrated through examples, rather than proof that personal trauma produces clinical skill.³

The therapist who has suffered does not automatically understand the person who is suffering. Similar histories can conceal important differences in meaning, power, support, culture, and choice. Our own experience may help us ask a better question; it can also tempt us to answer the question before the client has spoken. Personal work is useful when it makes us more able to discover the difference.

What Our Own Healing Can Make Available

A therapist's work on their trauma can include mourning what was missing, recognizing familiar threats and expectations, receiving help, and becoming less compelled to secure worth through being needed. The particular work belongs to that therapist and the support they choose. In the consulting room, its relevance is visible in conduct: a greater capacity to listen, hold a boundary, tolerate uncertainty, recognize an error, and remain emotionally present when the client's experience differs from their own.

A therapist who has had room to encounter their own grief may find it easier to stay with a client's sadness without immediately offering meaning. Someone who has learned to receive appropriate support may be less inclined to equate dependence with failure. Someone becoming more familiar with their anger may be better able to hear protest without either suppressing it or encouraging retaliation. These are clinical possibilities to examine, not guaranteed benefits of having undergone personal therapy.

Personal healing can also change how we understand protection. We may know more intimately why giving up a familiar response can feel costly, even when the response creates suffering. That knowledge can bring patience. It must remain open to the client's correction: their hesitation may concern something we have not recognized, including a poor fit with our method.

Much of this work belongs outside the client's session. Personal therapy offers a place for the therapist's distress to receive attention in its own right. Supervision connects reactions to particular clinical decisions and effects. Collegial consultation, realistic workload, rest, and appropriate care can also matter. Repeated intrusion, detachment, avoidance, or

difficulty maintaining judgment deserves support and, where necessary, an adjustment of clinical responsibilities. Increased effort alone is not always an adequate response.

Research on countertransference management supports taking these processes seriously. Hayes and colleagues' meta-analyses found associations between better management and better psychotherapy outcomes, with substantial variation across studies. That finding does not establish that a particular form of therapist trauma work causes better care. It supports a modest clinical commitment: attend to reactions, examine their management, and evaluate what occurs in the work.¹⁷

For Bram's therapist, supervision can become specific. What did he say immediately before the questions accelerated? What happened in the therapist's body? What did the therapist assume, and what did Bram actually report? Their personal history helps explain the urgency. The next task is to change the response, then notice its effect.

A return might sound like this: "I kept asking you to look inward after you told me that it was making conversation harder. I would like to understand what that was like for you. We can work differently." The therapist need not disclose the childhood story behind the pressure. Bram should have room to say that the acknowledgment helped, that he remains wary, or that something else was the problem.

The boundary matters emotionally as well as professionally. The client has come to receive care. They should not need to admire the therapist's courage, protect their vulnerability, or demonstrate that the therapist's personal healing has been worthwhile. Selective self-disclosure requires its own clinical judgment about purpose, timing, burden, and likely effect. Shared experience is never a sufficient reason by itself.

There is no final state in which a therapist becomes free of personal history. The continuing task is to have enough relationship with that history that it can be recognized and worked with. A therapist can still be wounded, moved, uncertain, and in need of care while taking active responsibility for their participation.

Remembering, Dissociating, and Being Taken Seriously

When a person struggles to remain connected to a conversation, the task is to understand the experience accurately enough to help. Hyperarousal, dissociation, exhaustion, deliberate inhibition, and medical causes should not be collapsed into one state. Lanius and colleagues' work draws attention to differing presentations; individual assessment still concerns the person's actual experience, functioning, and circumstances. The clinical notes distinguish dissociative symptoms, disorders, and PTSD's dissociative subtype.^{22, 1, 61}

Memory brings a related demand for care. Explicit recollection can be involuntary. Learned expectations and conditioned responses can occur without a remembered scene. The distinction between declarative and nondeclarative memory helps us understand these

possibilities, while research challenges the idea that trauma always produces one fragmented pattern. A person's difficulty putting experience into words does not give us permission to supply the missing account.^{48, 41}

In a later invented session, Bram says an image appeared while reading about trauma. He is unsure whether it is a memory or something imagined. His uncertainty can stir the therapist's wish to establish reality for him. Their own experience of having been disbelieved might make immediate confirmation feel compassionate. A history of painful family conflict could instead make them too quick to question him. Both pulls need recognition.

We can begin with what he has actually said: an image appeared, and he does not know what it represents. What has that been like for him? What does he need in order to remain connected to the present? Supporting him through uncertainty is meaningful clinical work. He should not have to produce proof before receiving care, and the therapist should not offer historical certainty the encounter cannot provide. Detailed evidence and a non-leading stance are retained in the notes.^{20, 2, 59, 14, 12, 35, 44}

Calof's historical systemic writing adds a question about the relational world around an experience: what happens when a person's perception is denied, dissent is punished, or connection becomes conditional? That question can deepen inquiry without treating internal imagery as a literal family record. Schwartz's parts model offers a different language for competing impulses and protective concerns. Both require room for the person's authorship. Parts need not become a cast the therapist creates, and neither ordinary inner conflict nor a parts account establishes DID or verifies abuse.^{43, 6, 7}

Our work on our own trauma can support the capacity to remain with the person without making uncertainty an abandonment. We can be clear about the wrongness of abuse and attentive to current danger while remaining careful about an uncertain historical detail. Tenderness and discernment can coexist in the same response.

When a Different Experience Begins to Count

In the fictional illustration, Bram begins to say when the therapist has lost him. Sometimes the therapist understands; sometimes another correction is needed. The meaningful change is that disagreement becomes possible within a continuing relationship. We would still need to learn whether this experience matters to him and whether it changes anything beyond the session.

A helpful encounter may bring relief, but it can also bring grief. Receiving interest can make the years without it more visible. Being able to say no can reveal how often agreement was purchased at a personal cost. We can accompany that recognition without making sadness a milestone the client must reach. Fosha's emphasis on attending to the

experience of help invites us to ask what has become possible and how the person is receiving it.¹¹

Bowen-informed differentiation contributes the possibility of a position of one's own within relationship. Attachment work asks about the dependability and availability of the relationship itself. For Bram, both questions matter: can he tell the therapist what he wants, and what does the therapist actually do with that information? A clearer voice cannot compensate for persistent unreliability. Equally, a caring relationship need not require perfect agreement.^{47, 51}

Somatic approaches associated with Ogden and Levine can contribute chosen attention to sensation, movement tendencies, pacing, and resources. Their distinct methods and developing research should be presented honestly. Small controlled studies offer preliminary findings; they do not establish superiority to standard PTSD treatments or prove mechanisms of discharge. A useful result may be easier participation or more choice. Trembling, tears, stillness, and emotional intensity are not tests of whether recovery occurred.^{36, 9, 37, 5}

The therapist also needs room to receive a mixed result. If a client says an intervention helped only briefly, can we remain curious? If they need another approach, can we support that without making their choice a rejection of us? Our personal work becomes relevant again in the space between the outcome we hoped for and the experience the client actually reports.

Choosing Care With the Person

Herman places recovery within agency, relationships, and social recognition. Safety, remembrance and mourning, and reconnection remain meaningful clinical concerns. They call for individual judgment rather than one compulsory sequence. A person need not become perfectly calm before benefiting from treatment, and practical protection may require attention alongside inner work.^{18, 58, 19}

Current adult guidelines give strong support to CPT, prolonged exposure, and EMDR. Experiential, body-oriented, or parts-oriented formulations may contribute to a person's care, while their evidence and methods differ. The recent IFS/PARTS pilot found improvement in both study arms without establishing superiority or equivalence. The choice of treatment should involve competence, evidence, preference, present functioning, and ongoing review.^{21, 33, 52}

Research on MDMA-assisted therapy also belongs in an informed conversation. Promising short-term findings, uncertainty, and ethical responsibilities can be considered together. As of 24 October 2026, MDMA remains unapproved by the FDA for PTSD treatment. Detailed trial findings, limitations, candidate mechanisms, and regulatory status are

retained in the clinical notes. The hope involved in an investigational treatment should not eclipse existing care or the client's ability to choose.^{53, 29, 30, 55, 26, 56, 25, 45, 31}

A therapist's own powerful healing experience may make a method feel especially persuasive. Its personal significance deserves respect without becoming a prescription for the client. We can explain the options, acknowledge what remains unknown, and let the person's goals and response matter. Our authority is better used to support an informed decision than to secure agreement with our preferred path.

Making Room for a Life Beyond Survival

Bram's wish might eventually become more ordinary and more demanding than having an impressive therapy session. He may want to disagree with a colleague and still enjoy his evening. He may want to ask for help without spending the next hour apologizing. A client may also want better sleep, safer housing, companionship, or enough attention available to enjoy a meal. These goals help keep the clinical formulation connected to the life it is meant to serve.

BKL's five modules translate a bounded part of this work into education: finding present orientation and choice; understanding bodily learning; considering missing care and relational expectations; understanding memory and dissociation; and choosing support and ordinary participation. Each moves through noticing, an explanation open to correction, a manageable experiment, actual results, and revision. The optional ACE questionnaire does not assign a module or assess readiness. It includes neglect, with separate unscored reflection on missing care. Its childhood-exposure count cannot measure the person's whole history, present needs, or possibilities.^{8, 50, 4}

Therapists can use the material to support a conversation about what fits. A person can leave an exercise blank, stay externally focused, stop, or bring back a difficult result. These responses belong in the learning. The pathway supplies neither trauma processing nor individualized clinical monitoring, and its educational value remains open to clinical and learner review.

The therapist's own work accompanies this process. We continue learning how to remain present without taking over, how to recognize pain without assuming its meaning, and how to offer care whose fit can be questioned. The client's growing freedom may include becoming less dependent on our interpretation. A relationship capable of receiving that freedom has something important to offer.

Healing can make room for experiences that survival left little opportunity to develop: pleasure without an immediate debt, anger with a proportionate voice, grief with company, intimacy that includes choice. We cannot promise those outcomes. We can help build conditions in which they become possible, remain attentive to what actually happens, and keep revising the work with the person whose life is at its center.

Clinical Notes: Definitions, Dissociation, Memory, and Treatment Evidence

These notes retain diagnostic and research detail for consultation. The clinical essay above can be read on its own; the distinctions below support careful assessment and treatment planning.

Exposure, Effects, and Disorder Are Different Clinical Questions

SAMHSA's event-experience-effects framework offers a broad account suitable for trauma-informed services. PTSD diagnosis uses more bounded exposure and symptom requirements. DSM-5-TR Criterion A concerns specified routes of exposure to death, threatened death, serious injury, or sexual violence; ICD-11 refers to extremely threatening or horrific events. Neither framework makes intensity of current distress sufficient to establish qualifying exposure. Conversely, the absence of PTSD does not diminish the seriousness of an assault or the need to treat another disabling condition.^{49, 54, 61}

We can ask separately what occurred, how the person experienced it, what protection and repair were available, what difficulties developed, and what maintains them now. Such differentiation avoids circular reasoning: the client has symptoms because there was trauma, and we know there was trauma because the client has symptoms. A history, a symptom pattern, a working mechanism, and a treatment recommendation each require their own evidentiary support.

The big-T/little-t distinction has pedagogical value when it broadens recognition beyond a single catastrophe. It becomes unhelpful when it ranks suffering, diminishes chronic relational deprivation, or treats all discomfort as a trauma response. In this pathway, we use exposure descriptions, developmental context, duration, effects, current conditions, and the person's account. The resulting educational rubric is a synthesis, not a diagnostic scale.

Developmental trauma describes a clinical field of concern rather than one universally agreed DSM diagnosis. Severe, sustained deprivation can affect the development of regulation, learning, and relationships even when an individual exposure does not satisfy Criterion A. Research distinguishes threat from the absence of expected cognitive and social input; these dimensions may coexist and influence development through partly different pathways. Ordinary failures of attunement are not equivalent to pervasive neglect. Cultural forms of care, disability, poverty, inaccessible services, and caregiver capacities belong in the assessment.^{32, 28}

ICD-11 CPTSD requires PTSD plus disturbances in self-organization involving affect regulation, negative self-concept, and relationships. Childhood onset, repeated trauma, and dissociation may be clinically relevant, but none is a substitute for the requirements. Prolonged adult captivity or violence can produce complex presentations; childhood abuse does not invariably produce CPTSD. The term complex should identify a defined clinical concern rather than stand in for the depth or difficulty of the formulation.²³

Hemispheres and Autonomic Models

Rauch and colleagues' 1996 PET study examined eight selected physiologically responsive PTSD patients during script-driven provocation. Its regional blood-flow findings do not show that the entire left hemisphere turns off or trauma is stored in the right hemisphere. Schore's developmental right-hemisphere account and Siegel's integration framework operate at the level of clinical synthesis; neither identifies an individual's brain state or demonstrates neural repair in a session.^{39, 46, 42}

Porges's polyvagal theory offers an influential account of autonomic adaptation, but specific evolutionary, anatomical, and hierarchical claims are disputed. Arousal, immobility, welcome social contact, detachment, and consent need their own descriptions. Neither apparent calm nor heart-rate variability gives a simple readout of safety or a particular vagal circuit.^{38, 16}

Deliberate expressive suppression, dissociation, and theories of unconscious repression are distinct constructs. Gross and Levenson's experiment did not show that less expression necessarily means less felt emotion; mixed physiological effects in a laboratory do not establish PTSD causation or chronic illness. Medical, neurological, sleep-related, substance-related, and medication explanations also require consideration when bodily symptoms or altered awareness are present.¹⁵

Dissociation Is More Than One Phenomenon

Dissociation concerns disruptions in the ordinary integration or continuity of awareness, memory, identity, perception, bodily experience, and agency. The word is used across nonclinical experiences, symptoms, diagnostic specifiers, and disorders. The phenomenology, functional impact, duration, context, and alternative explanations need to be specified before deciding what treatment requires.^{1, 61}

Absorption and narrowed attention: immersion in reading, daydreaming, or routine action can occur without disorder. A quiet or inward-looking client is not thereby dissociating. Difficulty following a conversation needs inquiry rather than a label.

Depersonalization and derealization: detachment from self and unreality of surroundings respectively. In depersonalization/derealization disorder, reality testing remains intact; persistent or recurrent experiences must cause relevant distress or

impairment, with exclusions considered. These experiences can also occur in other contexts and do not automatically identify trauma as the cause.

Dissociative amnesia and fugue: autobiographical information is inaccessible beyond ordinary forgetting; fugue includes relevant identity disturbance and travel away. Blackouts, seizures, head injury, intoxication, sleep disturbance, and other explanations require consideration. Not recalling the ordinary details of a familiar journey is not sufficient.

DID: marked identity and agency discontinuity with recurrent memory gaps beyond ordinary forgetting, together with other diagnostic requirements. Conflict between wishes, self-states, roles, or IFS parts does not establish DID. Clinicians should not invent, name, or elaborate identities in order to fit a model.

Other specified and unspecified presentations: clinically significant dissociative symptoms may not meet another disorder's full requirements. DSM OSDD is not automatically interchangeable with ICD-11 partial DID, which has its own account of a dominant daily-life state and intrusions without recurrent executive control to the extent seen in DID. Local classification and diagnostic expertise matter.

PTSD's dissociative subtype: full PTSD plus depersonalization and/or derealization. Flashbacks can involve dissociative reliving, but their presence, emotional numbing, or an isolated memory gap does not alone establish the subtype. DID, CPTSD, and this specifier are distinct formulations, even where symptoms overlap.^{54, 1, 61}

This overview is deliberately not exhaustive of every cultural or dissociative presentation. Culturally accepted possession experiences, functional neurological symptoms, psychosis-spectrum phenomena, and effects of substances may require different assessment pathways. Screening measures can support inquiry but cannot independently establish or rule out a dissociative disorder. Severe time loss, identity discontinuity, disorientation, or impaired daily functioning warrants appropriately trained individual assessment.²⁰

Individualizing Preparation and PTSD Treatment

Adult PTSD guidelines support CPT, PE, and EMDR. Herman's recovery framework should not become a universal requirement for prolonged stabilization before trauma-focused care. A trial of STAIR followed by EMDR versus immediate EMDR for childhood-abuse PTSD found no significant outcome difference; exclusions, including ongoing abuse and acute crisis, limit generalization. Preparation should fit the individual rather than become compulsory for everyone or unavailable to anyone.^{18, 33, 52, 58, 19}

Dissociation should not automatically bar evidence-based PTSD treatment. A secondary analysis of a military-women trial found improvement in PTSD and dissociation across PE/PCT, with modest subtype-related differences. These findings concern PTSD's

dissociative subtype. They do not settle treatment planning for DID or every severe dissociative presentation. Competence, consent, present functioning, current danger, and monitoring remain relevant.⁶⁰

Childhood Abuse Memories: A Respectful, Non-Leading Stance

Few clinical situations make the tension between compassion and epistemic responsibility more immediate. A client may be frightened by an image, newly consider a longstanding memory abusive, doubt an established recollection, or ask whether the therapist believes them. We should not make emotional support depend on producing corroboration. We also should not provide historical certainty that the clinical encounter cannot establish.

Studies with documented childhood victimization show that some people report periods of forgetting or later recollection of events for which records exist. They also show that non-report cannot simply be equated with inaccessible memory. Research comparing recall contexts finds differences in corroboration, but the observational groupings cannot classify an individual account or prove that a particular therapy created it.^{59, 14, 12}

Experimental work also shows that repeated credible suggestion can produce autobiographical false recollections. Oeberst and colleagues studied plausible negative childhood events in young adults, not the population prevalence of false abuse memories. Their findings support careful attention to suggestion and source monitoring; they do not justify presuming that a survivor's allegation is false. Neither side of this evidence provides a clinical lie detector.³⁵

In a later invented session, Bram says that an image has appeared while reading about trauma. He is unsure whether it is a memory, something imagined, or an association. The therapist can begin with the experience actually described: "An image came up, and you are uncertain what it represents. What has that uncertainty been like for you?" That response recognizes distress without introducing an event, perpetrator, age, or causal story.

Useful inquiry distinguishes what the person directly recalls, what they infer, what others told them, and what arose during reading, dreaming, therapy, or an altered state. We can ask how an account emerged without interrogating the client. Repeated requests for more detail, leading alternatives, apparent approval for confirming material, or interpreting uncertainty as resistance can shape the encounter even when the therapist intends to remain open.

A statement such as "Your body would not react this way unless something happened" exceeds our evidence. So does "If it were real, you would have remembered it all along." Images, dreams, body sensations, dissociative experiences, parts narratives, and the felt relief of an explanation are clinical material. They are not independent corroboration. An account can be meaningful and distressing while its historical status remains uncertain.

Hypnosis, age regression, repeated visualization, and suggestive memory searches should not be presented as historical verification methods. Specialist guidance discusses how suggestion and hypnosis may influence confidence, while the empirical literature does not show that every hypnotic intervention necessarily creates false memories. A precise caution is preferable to an indiscriminate one. BKL contains no memory-retrieval exercise. 20, 2, 44

Documentation should preserve the client's wording and the emergence context, distinguish observation from interpretation, and record the questions and methods used. We should not convert a tentative image into a charted fact of abuse or a hypothesis into a diagnosis. If legal, safeguarding, or reporting questions arise, they require the relevant professional and jurisdictional guidance rather than conclusions generated by an educational module.

Therapy and forensic investigation have different purposes. Independent corroborating material, when relevant and obtained through appropriate channels, differs from a therapist's conviction. We should not recruit the family, direct confrontation, or pressure a client to seek certainty as a prerequisite to treatment. Current safety, consent, role clarity, and the person's preferences remain central. Supporting a person through uncertainty is an active clinical task.

The archived 1995 APA Q&A; and 2011 ISSTD guidance are dated sources, not newly issued 2026 consensus statements. Their non-leading, uncertainty-tolerant principles are compatible with the contemporary experimental and documented-history evidence considered here. Accurate consultation dates and source scopes matter particularly in a field where authority can be mistaken for proof. 20, 2, 35

MDMA, Psychedelics, and the Responsibility to Distinguish Hope From Certainty

Research initiated through MAPS and its later drug-development organizations has made MDMA-assisted therapy an important area of PTSD investigation. The 2021 and 2023 phase 3 trials randomized 90 and 104 adults respectively and reported greater short-term clinician-rated PTSD improvement in the MDMA-plus-therapy groups than in placebo-plus-therapy groups, with published between-group effects of approximately $d = 0.91$ and $d = 0.70$. These are package comparisons; neither trial directly compared the treatment with CPT, PE, or EMDR. 29, 30

Functional unblinding was substantial. In MAPP 2, 94.2 percent of surveyed MDMA participants and 75 percent of surveyed placebo participants correctly believed their allocation. Expectancy, prior interest, selection, therapist effects, and the psychological-support component complicate causal interpretation. Severe treatment-emergent events and formally serious events are different classifications; the absence of serious events in a selected small sample cannot establish rare-event safety. 30

The FDA's 2024 Complete Response Letter, publicly released in 2025, identified concerns about safety-data reliability, durability beyond the 18-week assessments, and selection/expectancy bias, and recommended additional blinded research and consideration of an independent data audit. The letter does not support reducing the decision to a simple objection about blinding.⁵⁵

As of 24 October 2026, MDMA remains unapproved for clinical use by the FDA. MAPS's 10 August 2026 statement reported that Resilient Pharmaceuticals, formerly Lykos/MAPS PBC, had resubmitted an application. That is an interested organization's account, not an FDA acceptance or approval record. MAPS also states that its nonprofit no longer has an active role in Resilient's drug-development program. Keeping the organizations distinct is part of accurate attribution.^{53, 26}

An October 2026 living synthesis reports short-term symptom benefit but rates the evidence low certainty, with sparse long-term data, functional unblinding, common research-program provenance, and safety-reporting limitations. This permits a position of serious interest without a claim of established durable recovery or superiority. The VA/DoD 2023 guideline's insufficient-evidence statement is also temporally bounded: its search ended in May 2022 and is not a review of all subsequent findings.^{52, 45}

MDMA is usually classified as an entactogen/empathogen, whereas classic psychedelics such as psilocybin primarily act through a different pharmacological profile. Candidate explanations involve emotional engagement, social connection, fear-related learning, and plasticity. Mechanistic plausibility is not clinical mediation evidence. A small healthy-adult extinction-retention experiment did not demonstrate its primary hypothesized group effect; additional analyses should not be promoted into proof of why PTSD recovery occurs.^{56, 25}

Psychedelic-assisted work also heightens questions of authority, dependency, suggestibility, consent, and boundaries. Reports of interpersonal harm and publication-integrity failures in earlier research are distinct from drug adverse events and should be investigated through appropriate independent systems. An altered-state narrative is not more historically authoritative because it feels revelatory. Preparation, medical assessment, monitoring, reporting channels, and supervision belong at the center of ethical research and any future clinical implementation.^{56, 31}

BKL offers no dosing, medication-change, substance-use, or self-processing instruction. Adults interested in investigational approaches need accurate current information and appropriately regulated clinical research or care. Findings from adult PTSD studies should not be extended to children or adolescents. Existing treatments and ordinary supportive care remain relevant while research continues.

Bibliography

Explore Trauma, Safety, and Recovering Choice

When the Past Arrives Before You Can Think

1. American Psychiatric Association (2024). What Are Dissociative Disorders?.
<https://www.psychiatry.org/patients-families/dissociative-disorders/what-are-dissociative-disorders>.
2. American Psychological Association (1995). Questions and answers about memories of childhood abuse. <https://www.apa.org/topics/trauma/memories>.
3. Aponte, H. J. & Kissil, K. (2014). If I can grapple with this I can truly be of use in the therapy room: Using the therapist's own emotional struggles to facilitate effective therapy. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 40, 152-164. https://higherlogicdownload.s3.amazonaws.com/AAMFT/ebc06b6c-aaf5-4c76-b41f-c59c4691665d/UploadedImages/aponte_kissil_2012.pdf.
4. Baldwin, J. R., et al. (2021). Population vs Individual Prediction of Poor Health From Results of Adverse Childhood Experiences Screening. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 175, 385-393.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33492366/>.
5. Brom, D., et al. (2017). Somatic Experiencing for PTSD: A randomized controlled outcome study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 30, 304-312. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22189>.
6. Calof, D. L. (1992). Adult children of incest and child abuse: Holograms of the trance generational family. *AFTA Newsletter*, 50, 34-40.
7. Calof, D. L. (1995). Dissociation: Nature's Tincture of Numbing and Forgetting. *Treating Abuse Today*, 5(3), 5-8.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2024). BRFSS Questionnaire, Module 13: Adverse Childhood Experiences, MACE.01-13.
<https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/questionnaires/pdf-ques/2024-BRFSS-Questionnaire-508.pdf>.
9. Classen, C. C., et al. (2020). A pilot RCT of a body-oriented group therapy for complex trauma survivors: An adaptation of sensorimotor psychotherapy. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15299732.2020.1760173>.
10. Damasio, A. R., et al. (2000). Subcortical and cortical brain activity during the feeling of self-generated emotions. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3, 1049-1056. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11017179/>.
11. Fosha, D. (2021). Introduction: AEDP After 20 Years. In *Undoing Aloneness and the Transformation of Suffering Into Flourishing: AEDP 2.0*. <https://www.apa.org/pubs/books/undoing-aloneness-transformation-suffering-flourishing-sample-chapter.pdf>.
12. Geraerts, E., et al. (2007). The reality of recovered memories: Corroborating continuous and discontinuous memories of childhood sexual abuse. *Psychological Science*, 18, 564-568.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01940.x>.
13. Gilman, C. P. (1892). *The Yellow Wallpaper*. <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/1952/1952-h/1952-h.htm>.
14. Goodman, G. S., et al. (2003). A prospective study of memory for child sexual abuse. *Psychological Science* 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.01428>.

15. Gross, J. J. & Levenson, R. W. (1993). Emotional suppression: Physiology, self-report, and expressive behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64, 970-986.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8326473/>.
16. Grossman, P. (2023). Fundamental challenges and likely refutations of the five basic premises of the polyvagal theory. *Biological Psychology*, 180, 108589. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37230290/>.
17. Hayes, J. A., Gelso, C. J., Goldberg, S., & Kivlighan, D. M. (2018). Countertransference management and effective psychotherapy: Meta-analytic findings. *Psychotherapy*, 55(4), 496-507.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30335461/>.
18. Herman, J. L. (1992). *Trauma and Recovery*. <https://www.hachettebookgroup.com/titles/judith-lewis-herman-md/trauma-and-recovery/9780465098736/?lens=basic-books>.
19. Herman, J. L. (1998). Recovery from psychological trauma. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 52(Suppl), S145-S150. https://cssh.northeastern.edu/pandemic-teaching-initiative/wp-content/uploads/sites/43/2020/10/1998.Recovery_Psychological_Trauma-compressed.pdf.
20. International Society for the Study of Trauma and Dissociation (2011). Guidelines for Treating Dissociative Identity Disorder in Adults, Third Revision. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 12, 115-187.
<https://www.isst-d.org/resources/adult-treatment-guidelines/>.
21. Joss, D., et al. (2026). A randomized controlled trial of online group-based IFS for PTSD: The PARTS study. *Psychological Trauma*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0002089>.
22. Lanius, R. A., et al. (2010). Emotion modulation in PTSD: Clinical and neurobiological evidence for a dissociative subtype. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 640-647.
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3226703/>.
23. Larsen, S. E. (2026). Complex PTSD: History and Definitions.
https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/treat/essentials/complex_ptsd.asp.
24. Makinen, J. A. & Johnson, S. M. (2006). Resolving attachment injuries in couples using emotionally focused therapy: Steps toward forgiveness and reconciliation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 1055-1064. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17154735/>.
25. Maples-Keller, J. L., et al. (2022). A randomized controlled trial of MDMA and fear extinction retention in healthy adults. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02698811211069124>.
26. MAPS (2026). MAPS Responds to Report of Progress for MDMA-assisted therapy for PTSD with FDA, 10 August. <https://maps.org/2026/08/10/maps-responds-to-report-of-progress-for-mdma-assisted-therapy-for-ptsd-with-fda/>.
27. Maté, G. & Maté, D. (2022). *The Myth of Normal: Trauma, Illness & Healing in a Toxic Culture*. <https://www.penguin.com.au/books/the-myth-of-normal-9781785042737/extracts/2795-the-myth-of-normal>.
28. McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., & Lambert, H. K. (2014). Childhood adversity and neural development: Deprivation and threat as distinct dimensions of early experience. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 47, 578-591. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4308474/>.
29. Mitchell, J. M., et al. (2021). MDMA-assisted therapy for severe PTSD: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. *Nature Medicine*, 27, 1025-1033.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01336-3>.
30. Mitchell, J. M., et al. (2023). MDMA-assisted therapy for moderate to severe PTSD: A randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Nature Medicine*, 29, 2473-2480.
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-023-02565-4>.

31. Multidisciplinary Association for Psychedelic Studies (2019, May 24). Statement: Public announcement of ethical violation by former MAPS-sponsored investigators. <https://maps.org/2019/05/24/statement-public-announcement-of-ethical-violation-by-former-maps-sponsored-investigators/>.
Psychopharmacology. (2024). [Retraction notice]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-024-06666-x>.
Psychopharmacology. (2024). [Retraction notice]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-024-06665-y>.
32. National Child Traumatic Stress Network (2022). Child Neglect and Trauma: A Fact Sheet for Providers. <https://www.nctsn.org/sites/default/files/resources/fact-sheet/child-neglect-and-trauma-a-fact-sheet-for-providers.pdf>.
33. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2018). Post-traumatic stress disorder, NG 116, current recommendations. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng116/chapter/recommendations>.
34. National Institute of Mental Health (2023). Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, NIH Publication 23-MH-8124. <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/post-traumatic-stress-disorder-ptsd>.
35. Oeberst, A., et al. (2021). Rich false memories of autobiographical events can be reversed. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118, e2026447118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2026447118>.
36. Ogden, P., Pain, C., & Fisher, J. (2006). A sensorimotor approach to the treatment of trauma and dissociation. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 29, 263-279. https://www.franweiss.com/pdfs/sensorimotor_ogden_et_al_2006.pdf.
37. Payne, P., Levine, P. A., & Crane-Godreau, M. A. (2015). Somatic experiencing: Using interoception and proprioception as core elements of trauma therapy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 93. <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00093/full>.
38. Porges, S. W. (2009). The polyvagal theory: New insights into adaptive reactions of the autonomic nervous system. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, 76, S86-S90. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3108032/>.
39. Rauch, S. L., van der Kolk, B. A., & Fisler, R. E., et al. (1996). A symptom provocation study of PTSD using PET and script-driven imagery. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 53, 380-387. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8624181/>.
40. Rothschild, B. (2000). *The Body Remembers: The Psychophysiology of Trauma and Trauma Treatment*. <https://www.norton.co.uk/books/9780393703276-the-body-remembers>.
41. Rubin, D. C., Dennis, M. F., & Beckham, J. C. (2011). Autobiographical memory for stressful events: The role of autobiographical memory in PTSD. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 20, 840-856. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2011.03.015>.
42. Schore, A. N. (2001). The effects of early relational trauma on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 22, 201-269. <https://www.allanschore.com/pdf/SchoreIMHJTrauma01.pdf>.
43. Schwartz, R. C. (2021). *No Bad Parts: Healing Trauma and Restoring Wholeness with the Internal Family Systems Model*. [https://ifs-institute.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/MKT-BK06046-No-Bad-Parts-Excerpt-2%20\(1\).pdf](https://ifs-institute.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/MKT-BK06046-No-Bad-Parts-Excerpt-2%20(1).pdf).
44. Scoboria, A., Mazzoni, G., & Kirsch, I. (2006). Effects of misleading questions and hypnotic memory suggestion on memory reports. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 54, 340-359. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16858906/>.
45. Sevchik, B. L., et al. (2026). A living systematic review, meta-analysis, and open data resource of trials of MDMA-assisted therapy for PTSD. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41386-026-02575-z>.

46. Siegel, D. J. (2020). The developing mind (3rd ed.).
https://www.guilford.com/excerpts/siegel_ch1.pdf?t=1.
47. Simpson, J. A. & Rholes, W. S. (2017). Adult attachment, stress, and romantic relationships. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 13, 19-24. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27135049/>.
48. Squire, L. R. (2009). Memory and brain systems: 1969-2009. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29, 12711-12716. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2791502/>.
49. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2014). SAMHSA's Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach.
<https://library.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/sma14-4884.pdf>.
50. Swedo, E. A., et al. (2023). Prevalence of Adverse Childhood Experiences Among U.S. Adults-BRFSS 2011-2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 72, 707-715.
<https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/72/wr/mm7226a2.htm>.
51. The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family (n.d.). Differentiation of Self.
<https://www.thebowncenter.org/differentiation-of-self>.
52. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs & U.S. Department of Defense (2023). Clinical Practice Guideline for Management of PTSD and Acute Stress Disorder, Provider Summary.
<https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/ptsd/VA-DoD-CPG-PTSD-Provider-Summary.pdf>.
53. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (2026). MDMA-assisted therapy for PTSD.
https://www.ptsd.va.gov/understand_tx/mdma_assisted_therapy.asp.
54. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (2026). PTSD and DSM-5.
https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/treat/essentials/dsm5_ptsd.asp.
55. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2024). Complete Response Letter, NDA 215455, 8 August 2024; publicly released 2025. https://download.open.fda.gov/crl/CRL_NDA215455_20240808.pdf.
56. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2026). Psychedelic Drugs: Considerations for Clinical Investigations, July final guidance. <https://www.fda.gov/media/169694/download>.
57. van der Kolk, B. A. (1994). The body keeps the score: Memory and the evolving psychobiology of posttraumatic stress. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 1, 253-265.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9384857/>.
58. van Vliet, N. I., et al. (2021). Phase-based treatment versus immediate trauma-focused treatment for PTSD due to childhood abuse: Randomised clinical trial. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2021.1057>.
59. Williams, L. M. (1995). Recovered memories of abuse in women with documented child sexual victimization histories. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 8, 649-673.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.2490080408>.
60. Wolf, E. J., Lunney, C. A., & Schnurr, P. P. (2016). The influence of the dissociative subtype of PTSD on treatment efficacy in female veterans and active duty service members.
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4830387/>.
61. World Health Organization (2024). Clinical descriptions and diagnostic requirements for ICD-11 mental, behavioural and neurodevelopmental disorders.
<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240077263>.