

When Doing Well Never Feels Like Enough

Achievement, belonging, and the clinical work of worth beyond performance

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT · Therapist companion
Published 22 October 2026

“I did most of what we talked about,” a client says, before the therapist has asked about the week. They describe a difficult conversation handled more carefully, a task completed, and an evening when they stopped working at the time they had intended. Then they mention the reflection they did not finish. Their explanation is longer than their account of anything that helped.

The therapist recognizes effort and wants to acknowledge it. There is much to appreciate. Yet something in the hour has already become an accounting of whether the client has used the help well enough. The unfinished reflection seems to need an apology, while the person’s experience of the week waits behind the report.

In this fictional encounter, the therapist asks what it was like to arrive with something unfinished. The client says, “I know you’re not grading me. I’m doing that myself.” A little later they add, “I don’t want you to have to tell me it’s fine. I want to be able to say I didn’t do it.”

That distinction deserves our attention. Reassurance might offer relief while leaving the therapist in the position of granting permission. The client is asking whether something incomplete can be described, examined, and understood without becoming a verdict about either their worth or the therapy. We can remain interested in why the reflection was not finished. We can also become curious about the pressure to settle what the omission says about them.

My client article, *The Life You Keep Trying to Deserve*, explores achievement, self-criticism, rest, responsibility, and the possibility of directing effort toward something other than proof of acceptability. This companion follows the therapist alongside that process. How do we recognize when a useful standard has become a condition for belonging? What do we preserve in the person’s competence? How might our own wish to produce progress join the demand they are trying to understand?

What is this standard being asked to do?

A client may bring an exacting standard that belongs to the work. They may also bring a standard whose requirements expand whenever it is met. These situations can look similar from a distance. Both involve concentration, revision, and concern about consequences. We need to understand the task closely enough to know what the additional effort is accomplishing.

Crocker and Wolfe's model of contingencies of self-worth directs attention to the domains in which outcomes become consequential for a person's self-evaluation. [1] Someone can feel confident in many areas and become profoundly unsettled by a small criticism in the area on which their worth depends. Asking whether a client has high or low self-esteem may tell us less than asking what an ordinary setback has come to mean.

Shafran, Cooper, and Fairburn describe a clinical model of perfectionism in which self-evaluation depends excessively on pursuing demanding standards despite adverse consequences. Failure invites self-criticism; success can lead to judging the standard insufficiently demanding. [2] This gives us a particular process to investigate, rather than a reason to regard every ambitious person as unwell.

We can begin with an actual requirement. Who needs the work, what must it accomplish, and what would count as a consequential error? A client may discover that a supervisor's request was specific while the imagined judgment was global. They may discover that the supervisor is indeed vague, punitive, or impossible to satisfy. The distinction changes what help is needed.

It also matters whether there is an ending. If the person meets the stated requirement, can the task be complete? Can satisfaction last long enough to be experienced? Or does attention immediately find another flaw, a more impressive comparison, or a reason the achievement should not count? The difficulty may lie less in the height of a standard than in its inability to release the person who has met it.

Some people respond by working harder. Others postpone beginning, abandon work that matters, or keep preparing until there is no time left to attempt it. An unfinished project can preserve the possibility that the person might have been excellent, had they really tried. We should explore whether that account fits. Confusion, insufficient skill, exhaustion, inaccessible support, and a task the client does not actually want remain other possibilities.

When usefulness becomes a condition of welcome

There are families and institutions in which performance receives much more attention than experience. The child's result is noticed; the strain, delight, loneliness, or uncertainty around it may have little audience. A person can become very capable at producing something others value while remaining unsure what happens to the relationship when there is nothing impressive to offer.

Assor, Roth, and Deci studied perceived parental conditional regard and its relationship to internal pressure and emotional costs. Their findings linked such experiences with internally compelled behavior, fluctuating self-esteem, and resentment. [3] These associations make conditional approval a useful question in formulation. They do not allow us to reconstruct a client's childhood from a crowded calendar or an impressive career.

Where the client recognizes the connection, the bargain can become more particular. Perhaps usefulness made a distracted parent available. Perhaps being exceptional protected a place in a competitive family. Perhaps requiring little seemed a way to remain close without adding to somebody else's burden. These experiences differ, and the adult may remember affection, pleasure, and encouragement alongside them.

We should also ask where the pattern does not appear. A client might feel free with a sibling, become evaluative around a colleague, and genuinely enjoy a demanding craft when nobody is watching. Those differences can keep us from turning an account of one relationship into an identity. They may also reveal conditions under which competence already has more room to be chosen.

Winnicott's discussion of the mind and the false self considers how intellectual accomplishment can coexist with distress that success does not answer. [4] Its usefulness here is clinical: a persuasive explanation of oneself may become another capable performance. The theory does not make intelligence counterfeit. It asks whether the person can participate in therapy in ways that do not require them to remain the most articulate observer of their own difficulty.

Sometimes the first unfamiliar act is saying, "I don't know what I think about that," without immediately repairing the uncertainty. Sometimes it is disagreeing with an interpretation that sounds generous. We need to be willing to learn that the client's account is less psychologically elegant and more accurate than ours.

Stay with the moment a result becomes a person

It can be difficult to find the transition because it happens quickly. A suggestion about a piece of work becomes an exposure. A request for help becomes evidence of inadequacy. The person begins explaining why the mistake occurred before they have had time to notice that they feel ashamed.

We can slow an ordinary encounter enough to distinguish what was said, what the client understood, what they felt, and what they did next. “When they asked you to change that part, what seemed to have been discovered about you?” may bring the meaning closer. Another client will need a simpler question about what happened after the conversation. There is no required route through bodily attention.

A sensation can help locate the moment: heat in the face, a held breath, a sudden heaviness, or the impulse to disappear. It cannot establish the source of the response. Some people notice only that they opened the document again or stopped answering messages. Those observations are enough to begin.

The feeling need not be reduced immediately. A client may need company in how humiliating an ordinary limitation feels. They may be angry that one criticism can erase a week’s work, or frightened that any admission of difficulty will be used against them. We can acknowledge that experience while leaving the present facts open to examination.

The client in the opening encounter already understands a great deal. Asking for another explanation might keep them in familiar territory. The more useful work may involve remaining with the discomfort of having something unfinished between us, without turning the hour into an exercise in tolerating discomfort correctly. They can ask a question, change the subject, or discover that they want to return to the reflection after all.

Let accomplishment become an experience

We can become so attentive to the costs of achievement that we leave little room for its pleasure. A client has learned a difficult skill, completed something important, or kept a commitment under strain. They may want us to recognize what they did. Immediately asking what insecurity drove it can make pride feel suspect.

Fosha’s account of acknowledging mastery invites attention to the experience of having overcome something difficult. [5] For this pathway, I would bring that attention to the difference between enjoying a capacity and briefly escaping a verdict. What did the person discover they could do? What was satisfying? What do they want to remember about their own participation? These questions should leave the achievement available to them rather than require an appreciative response to us.

A client might say they are proud of the work and relieved that nobody objected. Both can be present. We can help them distinguish the satisfaction of making something from the relief of having passed. The first may be quiet and easily overlooked when the second is urgent.

Our recognition can be specific. We noticed that they asked for clarification instead of pretending to understand. They completed a necessary check and then accepted that some uncertainty remained. They returned to a task after criticism rather than abandoning it. The

client may value something else entirely: the elegance of a solution, the pleasure of concentration, or a colleague's contribution.

There may also be no good feeling to deepen. The person is tired, the work was necessary, and it is finished. Respecting that answer can prevent the celebration from becoming another demand. A completed task does not owe the therapist an emotional demonstration.

A pause that leaves responsibilities visible

When rest feels guilty, it is tempting to prescribe permission. But permission cannot cover an understaffed shift, arrange care, pay a bill, or decide which household duty belongs to whom. Before exploring why the person cannot stop, establish whether stopping is presently feasible and who would carry its consequences.

Where a pause is possible, it may reveal more than fatigue. Without the next useful act, the client may feel unimportant, exposed, restless, or unsure how to be with another person. The therapist can help make these experiences recognizable without promising that rest will soon feel natural.

We also need to distinguish an unwanted demand from a commitment the client wishes to keep. A parent may choose a difficult evening of care. A student may decide that an examination deserves sustained preparation. A craftsperson may work slowly because the detail matters. Greater freedom can include wholehearted effort.

A modest experiment might be a brief interval after necessary work is covered, a request for help, or a conversation about what can realistically wait. The prediction could concern whether the client returns to the task more deliberately or notices a repeated urge to justify the pause. Feeling relaxed is not required.

Sometimes stopping exposes how much of life has been postponed. The person may feel sadness about an ordinary evening they did not believe they could have, or resentment about having been praised for an arrangement that left them exhausted. We can stay interested in that recognition without making grief the explanation for every difficulty resting. Another client may simply enjoy the quiet.

Compassion that can bear a necessary correction

The fear that less self-criticism will lead to neglect deserves a serious response. Pressure may have accompanied nearly every accomplishment the client remembers. An invitation to stop attacking themselves can sound like an invitation to lose the only force they trust.

Breines and Chen's four experiments found that self-compassion prompts could support motivation to improve after a weakness, transgression, or test failure. One experiment measured greater subsequent study time. [6] These findings challenge the assumption that

kindness must undermine effort. They do not establish lasting change in clinical practice, and motivation to make amends is different from having made them.

In the room, the distinction becomes concrete. The client missed an agreement. Can they hear what that cost another person, identify what they can honestly own, and take a relevant action? Self-condemnation may consume the conversation while the injured person waits. Reassurance may end the discomfort while the neglected responsibility remains. A more useful response stays with both the person and the act.

We might help the client say that a deadline was missed and the work now needs a revised arrangement. The reason for the failure may matter greatly. It does not have to establish innocence before repair can begin. Equally, a client need not accept a contemptuous description of their character in order to acknowledge an error.

This work extends into relationships. A partner may have lived with repeated cancellations, intrusive corrections, or an expectation that every shared activity be done exceptionally well. Understanding the client's fear should make those effects more discussable. It should also leave us able to recognize an environment that demands more than anyone could reasonably provide.

The therapist's wish to be effective

We bring our own relationship with achievement into this work. A client who reports change may help us feel competent. A thoughtful account can confirm that our language has mattered. An unfinished practice or an unchanged week may stir disappointment before we recognize how it shapes the next question.

Aponte and Kissil's person-of-the-therapist framework emphasizes learning to use one's existing vulnerabilities purposefully in clinical contact. [7] Here the particular task is recognizing what we need the client's progress to establish about us. Our reaction can become material for supervision and considered intervention instead of an expectation the client must manage.

We may reward the very pattern we hope to loosen. We praise disciplined reflection while missing the hours spent producing it. We express delight at a boundary without asking about the commitment it disrupted. Or we become so concerned about pressure that we offer few opinions, leaving a client who wants useful guidance to infer that asking for direction is itself a problem.

The alternative is responsible participation. We can give a reason for a practice, ask whether it fits, and review its effects. We can say that a repeated avoidance is limiting the work while remaining interested in what makes the task difficult. We can acknowledge that our own enthusiasm encouraged an unrealistic plan.

The opening client's wish does not require a therapist who never feels disappointment. It requires one who can examine an unfinished task without making the client responsible for the therapist's worth, confidence, or emotional recovery. They should not have to give an impressive account of feeling pressured before that pressure can be taken seriously.

Let the experiment change the standard

Consider another invented example. A client organizes volunteer meetings and spends several evenings revising a short agenda. The group values their reliability. The client also predicts that a rough edge will reveal that they are not capable enough to lead. Together with the therapist, they identify what the agenda needs to do and what the further revisions are intended to prevent.

The client chooses one meeting for a limited comparison. They will confirm the date, access, necessary materials, and decisions to be made, then stop polishing the appearance. They predict that participants will be confused and that they will spend the meeting feeling exposed. This is a change to optional presentation work; necessary arrangements remain.

The result is mixed. The meeting proceeds, nobody mentions the appearance, and the client has more time beforehand. But one participant asks who will open the building, a practical detail the agenda did not make clear. The client feels vindicated in the belief that stopping earlier was irresponsible.

The therapist needs to take the omission seriously without allowing it to settle the whole experiment. Was the problem caused by stopping the aesthetic revisions? Would another hour changing the layout have identified the missing arrangement? What kind of check was actually needed? The new information calls for a clearer responsibility, not necessarily the return of indefinite revision.

The client revises the plan: confirm the opening arrangement directly, include it in the next agenda, and keep the simpler layout if it still serves. They remain embarrassed. They can also see that the group asked a useful question and continued participating. A limited mistake has become information about the work rather than complete evidence about the worker.

Another result might show that the chosen standard was inadequate, that the client lacked needed training, or that the group expected more work than one volunteer could carry. Our hypothesis has to remain capable of losing. We are helping the person make a more accurate judgment, not arranging an experiment that must prove they worry too much.

What effort can begin to serve

Ryan and Deci distinguish forms of motivation that are experienced as controlling from those more fully endorsed by the person. Their account allows necessary or instrumental activity to become personally meaningful without becoming enjoyable in itself. [8] This helps preserve the pathway's direction: a chosen purpose can include earning a living, keeping a promise, developing skill, or supporting a shared project.

The question is what the person wants this particular effort to make possible. A client may continue an ambitious project with a different relationship to evaluation. They may decide a role has become too costly. They may want appreciation and begin asking for it more directly. None of these choices requires motives to become pure.

Worth beyond usefulness also needs ordinary opportunities to be experienced. A conversation can include an interest without requiring expertise. A client can receive a wanted kindness without making an immediate offer in return. They can contribute something small and allow other people to carry the rest. The actual response matters: some relationships welcome this change, some need negotiation, and some reveal how conditional the arrangement remains.

Therapy cannot guarantee a welcome elsewhere. It can help the client distinguish a feared rejection from an actual one and consider what each requires. It can also be a place where pleasure in their abilities coexists with interest in the person who sometimes cannot use them. Their competence remains theirs; it no longer has to introduce every other part of their life.

Bringing the five modules into clinical work

The Achievement, Worth, and the Need to Prove pathway carries these questions through five educational modules. Each can bring a chosen encounter back into therapy. What the client discovers in one module informs the next question and choice. The sequence supports learning; it does not prescribe a treatment order or require clients to disclose private notes.

When Accomplishment Becomes Identity separates a practical standard from the verdict around it. Help the client identify what the task required, how they knew, and what they believed the result would establish about them. A necessary additional check and a redundant one are different findings. The first account should be specific enough to be corrected.

The Inner Bargain: If I Perform, I Belong explores what participation appears to require. The client may offer a contribution deliberately, join without adding an optional service, or ask directly what is needed. Review the actual obligation, predicted response, and welcome or mismatch that followed. Avoid making reduced giving a secret test of another person's love.

Rest Without Guilt asks what a feasible pause reveals. Consider duties, support, access, and who carries the cost. The useful observation may be urgency, fatigue, pleasure, a practical limit, or the discovery that the proposed pause did not fit. Guilt can remain while a reasonable choice is made; its absence does not establish that an obligation was met.

Worth Beyond Usefulness widens participation through an ordinary interaction: sharing an interest, listening without immediately solving, enjoying company, or receiving welcome help. Ask what actually happened between people. A kind response can matter without proving permanent security. An unmet need can guide a different request or a more accurate assessment of the relationship.

A Life Organized Around Meaning Rather Than Proof returns to an area of effort with a clearer purpose and standard. The person may seek specific feedback, ask for help, continue valued work, revise an agreement, or choose no further experiment. Review quality, time, impact, available choice, and what remains wanted. These observations need not agree with one another to be useful.

Across the modules, preserve the full learning movement: an encounter, a possible explanation, the learner's correction, a manageable choice and prediction, the actual result, and a revised account. Therapy can help when an exercise evokes more than education can accompany. It can also help a client decide that a particular practice adds pressure and should be simplified or left alone.

An hour that does not need to prove the person

Return to the client who did not finish the reflection. The hour need not end with a promise to complete it or an insight that makes the omission worthwhile. Perhaps they discover that the exercise was useful but badly timed. Perhaps they did not want to do it and were afraid to say so. Perhaps avoidance is keeping something important out of reach. We remain able to consider each possibility.

There is room to ask what they want to carry into the week. They may choose the reflection again, make it smaller, or return to the conversation it was intended to support. The choice can include our honest view without becoming a request to restore our approval.

The person can keep caring about doing well. We can enjoy their accomplishment and take their limitations seriously. Over time, the work may become less occupied with securing a verdict and more available to the task, the people involved, and the life the effort is meant to support. Something unfinished can remain between us while there is still a person here to meet.

References and reading boundaries

- [1] Crocker, J., & Wolfe, C. T. (2001). Contingencies of self-worth. *Psychological Review*, 108(3), 593-623. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.108.3.593. Theoretical model; original abstract and publisher preview consulted, not the complete article.
- [2] Shafran, R., Cooper, Z., & Fairburn, C. G. (2002). Clinical perfectionism: A cognitive-behavioural analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40(7), 773-791. doi:10.1016/S0005-7967(01)00059-6. Clinical formulation; original abstract consulted. Complete article unavailable during preparation of this article.
- [3] Assor, A., Roth, G., & Deci, E. L. (2004). The emotional costs of parents' conditional regard: A self-determination theory analysis. *Journal of Personality*, 72(1), 47-88. doi:10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00256.x. Author-associated original paper; abstract, Study 2 discussion, and general discussion consulted. Research associations do not establish an individual history.
- [4] Winnicott, D. W. (1960/1965). Ego distortion in terms of true and false self. In *The maturational processes and the facilitating environment* (pp. 140-152). Hogarth Press. Original essay in a later reprint; sections on the mind, degrees of adaptation, and clinical application consulted. Historical psychoanalytic theory.
- [5] Fosha, D. (2000). Meta-therapeutic processes and the affects of transformation: Affirmation and the healing affects. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 10, 71-97. doi:10.1023/A:1009422511959. Institute-hosted original manuscript; opening sections on mastery, reflection, and affirmation consulted. Clinical theory, not an efficacy test of this educational application.
- [6] Breines, J. G., & Chen, S. (2012). Self-compassion increases self-improvement motivation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(9), 1133-1143. doi:10.1177/0146167212445599. Original paper; abstract, introductory framing, and general discussion consulted. Four experiments; long-term clinical effects were not established.
- [7] Aponte, H. J., & Kissil, K. (2014). "If I can grapple with this...": Using the therapist's own emotional struggles to facilitate effective therapy. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 40(2), 152-164. doi:10.1111/jmft.12011. Title opening abbreviated. Author-uploaded original text consulted, particularly the POTT model section. Clinical training framework and illustrations.
- [8] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68-78. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68. Author-associated original paper; basic-needs framing and differentiated forms of regulation consulted. Theory and research synthesis.

All clinical dialogue, encounters, and outcomes in this essay are fictional educational illustrations. They do not reproduce identifiable clients, demonstrate treatment effects, or establish the effectiveness of BKL. The applications and clinical judgments are an integrative argument offered for review. The historical Inner Critic manuscript remains a separate work.