Divorce, Separation, and Finding Your Footing Again · About 4 minutes

When Contact Has a Practical Purpose

Keeping an arrangement clear while making room for the recognition you also want

The draft begins with a collection time. By the fourth paragraph you are finally saying what you have wanted to say for years.

This can happen when practical contact with a former partner also carries a hope for acknowledgment. You want the key returned, the bill settled, or the belongings collected. You also want the other person to understand how much of the arranging has fallen to you.

Both concerns may be legitimate. They do not necessarily benefit from being addressed in the same message.

Eden, in this fictional example, is arranging access to a shared storage space. While drafting the request, Eden adds, “Perhaps you could finally consider how much arranging everything has fallen to me.” The burden deserves attention. The sentence also gives the exchange another job: it asks for recognition as well as a collection time.

What needs an answer here?

That question can help before sending. If the immediate task is access to the storage space, a message might ask which of two times works and how the key will be made available. It leaves the practical issue easier to answer.

A shorter message does not settle the history. Eden can seek support about the burden elsewhere, raise it separately when appropriate, or choose not to discuss it with this person. Every legitimate hurt need not become another conversation with the person involved.

There is no universal rule against including feelings in practical exchanges. Some former partners can address both well. The decision depends on the actual relationship, the urgency of the task, and what usually happens when the subject widens. Imagining a satisfying response is not evidence that one will arrive.

Let new information change the plan

Bowen's account of differentiation includes having a position of one's own while remaining open to relevant information and realistic dependence.[1] A proposed time may turn out to be impossible. An access detail may be wrong. Changing a plan in response can be a considered choice, rather than a failure to hold a boundary.

The same applies to your own limitations. If you cannot keep an existing agreement, someone may need an update and another arrangement. Emotional difficulty deserves care, while the practical effect of a missed commitment remains.

Consent, protective restrictions, and legal requirements must be respected. This article does not interpret or change them. Where communication involves threats, coercion, or repeated violations, seek suitable confidential advice about safe ways to manage essential coordination. Greater openness with an unsafe person is not required.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

To examine Eden's draft, separate its purposes. What information would complete the collection arrangement? What acknowledgment is Eden hoping for? Then ask whether this exchange is a suitable place to seek both. You can stop with that fictional example; no personal message needs composing or sending.

Sometimes a limited exchange stays frustrating and still accomplishes something. The time is confirmed. The key is returned. You may continue to feel unseen.

A message about a key can do one practical job while the larger hurt finds a different place to be heard.

The key can be collected at the agreed time. What the longer letter was trying to say still deserves somewhere it can be heard.

Companion reading · When the Life You Shared Comes Apart

Full pathway companion · included with paid learning
Pathway introduction: Divorce, Separation, and Finding Your Footing Again (public introduction; full modules require access).

Sources and further reading

[1] The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family. (n.d.). Differentiation of self. Source.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.