Co-regulation · About 4 minutes

What You Can Offer, and What Happens Next

Making a limit part of dependable support

The shelves need two people. Luis has been counting on Friday, and now he needs to know how much of the plan he can still count on.

An explanation for a change of plan can matter to both people. Luis also needs a way to get the shelves moved.

Hana and Luis are fictional. She has agreed to help him move the shelves on Friday evening. On Thursday, he asks whether she can spend Saturday unpacking as well. She wants to help him settle in and needs Saturday to recover from work. The Friday agreement and the Saturday request deserve separate answers.

“I can help on Friday as planned. I can’t unpack on Saturday” makes the arrangement clear. Hana can say it warmly without apologizing for having a limit or treating Luis as unreasonable for asking.

Listen without promising beyond your capacity

Luis may explain that Saturday is the harder part or that he has no other help. That information deserves consideration. It does not create energy Hana lacks. She may freely revise her offer, or decide the original answer remains right.

The Bowen Center’s account of differentiation includes realistic dependence and a thoughtfully held position.[1] We can listen to somebody we care about without making their disappointment the sole author of our answer. We can also change our minds without treating change as a loss of independence.

An offer to help find another arrangement should be just as honest as the original promise. “I can think through some options with you” means something only if Hana has time to do that and Luis wants it. It does not mean replacement help has already been found.

Look beyond immediate relief

Butler and Randall describe emotional co-regulation as reciprocal processes that contribute to stability for both partners.[2] Their framework is more specific than calling every helpful act co-regulation. One useful implication is that the person who feels better first does not tell the whole story. Hana might feel relieved after saying no while Luis faces a real practical gap. Luis might feel reassured by a large promise that Hana cannot sustain.

Money, disability, transport and illness can make these situations difficult. A need may be essential and still exceed what a willing friend can provide. Clear language helps reveal that gap; additional practical resources may be needed to address it.

If you are considering a limit, separate what you already agreed to from what is being newly requested. Then ask what the other person can reasonably rely on after your reply. You can use Hana’s situation rather than a personal one.

Friday help remains a commitment. Saturday help remains something she has declined. If circumstances change, an early update gives Luis more room to respond. If she misses Friday, the missed promise needs attention rather than being folded into a general explanation about boundaries.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

Luis needs to know what Friday will actually look like.

Hana's answer gives Luis something he can plan around. On Friday he will know whether the shelves have actually moved.

Companion reading · You Can Help Me Without Taking Charge of Me

Full pathway companion · included with paid learning
Pathway introduction: Co-regulation (public introduction; full modules require access).

Sources and further reading

[1] The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family. (n.d.). Differentiation of self. Source.

[2] Butler, E. A., & Randall, A. K. (2013). Emotional coregulation in close relationships. Emotion Review, 5(2), 202–210. Source.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.