

What Remains When We Cannot Make It Different

Grief, continuing bonds, and the clinical work of meeting an altered life

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT

“I miss him,” Miriam says. “And I’m still waiting for him to ask how I am.”

Her older brother died two years ago. He remembered birthdays. He arrived when something broke and knew how to fill a room with a story. At his memorial people spoke about his generosity, and Miriam recognized the brother they were remembering. There was also another experience she carried home, one that seemed to have no place among the warm accounts of his life.

In this fictional encounter, the therapist listens and begins to wonder whether Miriam is having difficulty saying goodbye. Miriam shakes her head.

“I know he’s gone. I think I’m trying to admit that he wasn’t coming toward me in the way I kept expecting. Even before.”

I want to stay with that correction for a moment. The therapist had begun moving toward an ending. Miriam is describing a long history of preparing for a meeting that seldom happened. When she tried to tell her brother something difficult about her own life, he would change the subject. She misses him. She is also beginning to feel the years in which she kept making another approach, choosing something he might want to hear, hoping he would become curious.

How easily an explanation can take us past the person who is trying to tell us something. We hear grief and begin thinking about acceptance. We hear continued longing and wonder about letting go. Meanwhile the person is here, trying to tell us what they are actually missing.

In *The Conversation That May Never Come*, I approach this territory from the client’s side: the death of someone loved, the reach toward an unavailable person, the feelings we have learned to keep from view. Here I want to consider what happens between a grieving person and a therapist. How do we accompany what cannot be repaired while remaining available to what can still change? What do we bring to that meeting, and what do we begin needing it to become?

A death has a different reality from a changed body, a home that must be left, a marriage, a hoped-for child, or a future that has become unavailable. Each arrives within a particular life. Miriam brings two losses into the room together: her brother’s death and the relationship she kept hoping might happen while he lived. We have to listen closely enough to let her tell us how they meet.

Knowing the Fact and Encountering the Absence

Something amusing happens, and the hand moves toward the telephone. A decision needs making, and the thought arrives: *I'll ask her*. Then the knowledge returns. The person is gone. The movement toward them has already taken place.

What interests me here is the distance between knowing a fact and encountering its meaning in the ordinary moments of a day. The person may have told us the story accurately many times. Yet the house, the weekend, the arrival of good news keep offering another place in which the loved person was expected. Each of these places now has an absence in it.

Mary-Frances O'Connor and Saren Seeley describe grieving as a form of learning. In their attachment-informed model, enduring knowledge of a person's presence in our world comes into conflict with autobiographical knowledge of the death. A relationship has formed expectations that do not change simply because new information has arrived. Their account gives time and experiential feedback a place in adaptation. [1]

"I should know this by now," a client says. Perhaps they do know. Perhaps we are meeting the pain of another evening in which the knowledge has become real in a different way. Who would have heard this news? What happened when the person remembered? What is the rest of tonight like?

There is a resemblance to the living relationship in which someone knows a parent's pattern and still hopes for a different call. That resemblance can open an inquiry, provided we remain accurate about the difference. Death brings an irreversible absence. A living person's future response remains uncertain. We can take demonstrated limits seriously while continuing to notice present conduct and evidence of change.

When the Death Arrives Without Warning

Sometimes a person comes to us before the story has found any shape at all. A telephone rang. Someone appeared at the door. An ordinary morning became an event that cannot yet be held together in memory or language. There may have been no goodbye. There may be something witnessed, an image, a notification, a detail about the manner of death that keeps pulling the person back.

At such a time I want our interest in grief to include the person's ordinary needs. Has the person slept? Can they eat? Who will be there after the session? There are children, arrangements, decisions that seem to demand attention from a mind that cannot take in one more question. Someone helping with these things is participating in emotional care. When the distress is intense, we need to assess functioning, safety, resources, and co-occurring difficulties. We can do that while recognizing how much this person has lost. [8, 12]

In another fictional encounter, a client says, "I know she died. I just keep waiting for her to get home." The therapist wonders whether the waiting is comforting.

"No," the client says. "It happens before I remember. Then I lose her again."

The therapist's idea changes. This is the repeated shock of encountering an absence. We can ask about the evenings, the sound that starts the expectation, the company that might help. There is no need to take the person further back in time before we have understood the particular pain arriving now.

Grief and traumatic stress may occupy the same life. Longing for someone, fear surrounding the circumstances of their death, and a pervasive loss of interest or worth need careful attention to their differences and overlap. Assessment includes symptoms, exposure, duration, impairment, culture, and history. Suddenness alone does not establish PTSD, and acute grief is not prolonged grief disorder. We can offer appropriate support and treatment while these distinctions are being considered; a diagnostic time threshold need not become a waiting period for care. [8, 12]

Recognizing Responses Without Assigning a Stage

“Where am I in this?” The question can carry a wish for orientation. It can also carry the voice of someone who has told the person they should be further along. Before we answer it, I want to know which of these conversations we are entering.

Kübler-Ross and Kessler's familiar language gives names to responses such as denial, anger, bargaining, depression, and acceptance. Kessler describes overlapping experiences that are neither universal nor sequential. Stroebe, Schut, and Boerner make a stronger criticism: the stage approach lacks the empirical and practical basis needed to allocate treatment or identify complications. We can recognize someone's use of these words without treating them as a clinical staging instrument. [9, 10]

An “if only” deserves to be heard in its own terms. Is the person imagining how the ending might have been prevented? Asking a genuine unanswered question about care? Feeling remorse about something they did? The same phrase can lead us toward different work. Tears, anger, composure, and productivity offer something to notice, but the person's account has to help us understand what we are seeing. Returning sorrow does not establish that progress has been lost.

Our questions can remain close to what is most difficult today, what support is available, what the person feels able to approach and wants to explore, and what needs practical action or assessment. Stroebe and Schut's dual process model makes room for attention to loss, attention to changed daily life, and respite. Sorting a bill or laughing with a friend may belong to this movement. We do not need to turn the break into unfinished emotional work. [13]

The Reservoir and the Person Beside It

In the client article I use the image of a reservoir. A small stone enters the water and sends widening circles through earlier sorrow. A sudden death falls like a boulder, moving through the depth and shaking what had seemed still. The image may recognize something a person has struggled to describe: this feeling belongs to what happened today, and it seems to touch more than today.

An image can help someone find words. I also want to notice when we have become attached to it and are expecting the client to recognize something that may not be there for them.

“Does this feel connected with earlier losses,” we might ask, “or am I taking us away from the person you are missing?” One client recognizes that the person who died was also the companion who helped them through earlier bereavements. Another says, “This is about him. I don't need to go further back.” Can we accept that answer and stay interested in the loss they are telling us about?

The water is metaphor. It cannot tell us how much affect has been stored, establish a hidden memory, or prescribe a cathartic emptying. To speak of sorrow being alchemized is to wonder about its changing place within a life. Perhaps a loss becomes speakable. Perhaps a remembrance feels welcome, or a person receives company without immediately concealing the need. We have to look beyond the amount of crying to understand whether anything has become more livable.

Earlier suppression may matter. So may cultural restraint, a needed pause, present shock, or the current death in its own right. When old sorrow does arrive, I want us to remain interested in the person who is feeling it: can they orient to the room, choose, pause, receive us, ask us to move back? What will help after the session? There is no requirement to explore every layer the image suggests. If the image does not help this person, we can leave it.

Mortality in the Room, Including Our Own

“I thought we would grow old together.” We can hear the expected life in that sentence, the years that had seemed to wait ahead. “If I could lose him, how can I let myself love anyone?” carries another difficulty: love has revealed how much can be lost, and the wish to remain untouched begins to compete with the wish to live.

Frank Tallis's discussion of Ernest Becker's immortality projects offers a way to think about the continuity we seek. Legacy can enrich a life. It can also become constricting when we ask it to defeat finitude. The distinction leaves room to value children, creativity, work, or spiritual belief while asking what happens when they are made responsible for keeping death away. The source here is Tallis's own interview about *Wise*. [11]

These questions may come close after a sudden death. They may also be far from what the client needs to speak about. We have to let the person find words for what has changed, including words that do not lead toward an existential understanding. We do not need to find a lesson that justifies what happened.

And we are in the room too. Our bodies, our attachments, our own remaining time. Someone else's loss can disturb the bargain we have made with competence: if we care well enough, perhaps the people we love will remain safe. We may become unusually busy, reach for a consoling philosophy, press for acceptance, or seek a moving session that gives us back a sense of usefulness.

Through the person-of-the-therapist lens, these responses become something we can examine in supervision and our own reflective work. I find the question exacting: *Am I making room for this person's encounter with death, or asking them to make mine more bearable?* We need our own support and regulation so that our steadiness does not become the client's responsibility. Sometimes that reflection helps us return from the large question of existence to the evening ahead, and to the person who might come over and sit through it.

The Loss Within the Relationship

Miriam starts to speak about her brother's lack of curiosity. Almost immediately a generous memory follows. He drove a long way to help. He never forgot a birthday. She wants the therapist to know that he was good. Before long she is defending a person the therapist has not accused.

What does it cost her to bring her own experience into the room? Does speaking about what she missed threaten to make his kindness unreal? Has she begun protecting the therapist from a view of her brother, or protecting herself from an anger she does not yet know how to hold? We will need her help to understand what is happening.

Death can make complexity harder to speak. A family gathers around an idealized memory, and the person carrying a different experience finds that even a modest account of hurt can sound disloyal. Someone who spent years making their needs small may now become responsible for preserving everyone else's preferred version of the relationship.

I would want Miriam to discover whether her hurt could be heard without the brother she loved disappearing from the room. "When you tell me what you missed, I don't lose the brother who mattered to you. Do you find yourself worrying that I will?" She may agree, or she may correct the question. Perhaps she wants to tell both kinds of memory. Perhaps the risk is in hearing her own anger spoken aloud. We should make room to find out.

There is also a difficult question about the attention that remains directed toward the wished-for meeting. In the evening Miriam rehearses what she would tell him. It may offer a sense of closeness, keep a hope near, or leave her agitated and unable to sleep. "What becomes possible while you're composing the conversation?" the therapist might ask. "And what becomes harder?"

We can respect the longing and examine its cost in the same encounter. The person need not abandon the original wish before present care can begin to reach them. I want us to understand what these imagined conversations do for her, and whether she would like anything to change. She may understand their meaning differently than we do.

When Grief Seems to Threaten the Person Who Feels It

"I can talk about him," Miriam says. "I don't know whether I can feel how much I wanted."

There is a hesitation here that deserves more than the familiar phrase *fear of being overwhelmed*. What is she expecting? That the tears will continue into the night? That the therapist will become uncomfortable? That anger will arrive and change her view of herself? That she will need more company than anyone can give?

There may be very specific reasons for holding back. A family may have taught someone that tears end a conversation. A recent experience may have left them frightened by the intensity of their own distress. There may also be a shift beginning at six in the morning, no paid leave, a child who cannot be left, a caregiver with no one available to take over. To understand the wish to keep going, we have to understand the day into which the person must return.

With Miriam, the therapist might ask whether she would like to say one thing she wishes her brother had asked. They can agree to try just that much, and check whether she wants to continue. She can look at the therapist, look away, keep her eyes open, ask for quiet, stop. We attend to whether she can remain present and participate in what is happening between them.

Sometimes being able to stop is the new experience. The person begins a sentence, asks for a pause, and discovers that care remains available. Or they ask the therapist to move back, and the request changes the encounter. Someone who has imagined vulnerable feeling as a loss of all control may learn something important from having a little influence while the feeling is here.

The Sorrow That Becomes Possible When Help Arrives

There is a grief that approaches through the very experience of being helped. A person feels our interest and grows quiet. Relief is present, and then an ache. Being listened to now can bring us close to all the times we needed that interest and did not receive it.

Diana Fosha calls attention to *mourning-the-self*: therapeutic experience can awaken awareness of what was lost, missed, or never available, bringing grief toward one's own suffering. [2] I find this useful when helpful contact brings sorrow that seems, at first, surprising. We are being invited to attend to what receiving the care has made possible.

Return to the fictional encounter. Miriam tells the therapist about a difficult decision. The therapist remembers something from an earlier conversation, asks a question, and waits while she thinks. Miriam becomes quiet.

“What happened just then?”

“You actually wanted the answer,” she says. “I’m trying to work out why that makes me so sad.”

There is room for the question. Miriam looks down and folds the tissue in her hands. “I used to choose things to tell him on the way over,” she says. “Things he might want to hear.”

“And you were hoping he would want to hear about you?”

She nods. “I made it easy. I didn’t want to be a burden.” Her voice grows quieter. “There was so much I never told him.”

The therapist wants to tell her she was never a burden. I can understand that impulse. Something in the care between them reaches toward reassurance. Yet Miriam is only now beginning to say how carefully she prepared what she would tell him, hoping he would want to hear. A quick reassurance might pass over the very experience beginning to arrive.

“You were finding a way to keep coming toward him,” the therapist says. “And there were things about your life you kept to yourself.”

Miriam begins to cry. The therapist asks whether quiet would help or whether she would like a response.

“Just stay.”

There are no further questions for a while. When Miriam looks up, the therapist is still attentive.

“I thought you'd want to move on.”

“What is it like that we haven't?”

“Sad.” Then, after a pause: “I don't have to make it interesting.”

That last sentence draws my attention. It tells us something more specific than our impression of a deep session. Miriam has spoken about her wish without entertaining the listener or managing the listener's discomfort. She has asked for company and had some influence over how it was offered. The sorrow remains. For now, she does not have to work so hard to make her sorrow acceptable to someone else.

The therapist can ask what would make the remaining time useful. She may want to stay, talk about the evening, or return to the decision she brought. Had the quiet felt lonely, or a question intrusive, that would call for a change. Our account of what helped has to remain open to her experience.

The care has real boundaries as well as real value. We can offer something meaningful without promising to replace the brother or make every unmet need available. When receiving care is powerful, I want us to remember both how much the care may mean and what we can actually offer.

Words That Give Grief a Form

Sometimes the person brings a phrase so carefully made that we begin to wonder what the words are keeping away. The account is clear, composed, perhaps even beautiful. We listen for the body beneath it, for what has not yet been felt. That interest can help us hear more. It can also make us impatient with the form through which the person has found a way to speak.

In the fifth section of *In Memoriam A. H. H.*, Tennyson pauses over the difficulty of putting sorrow into language:

“For words, like Nature, half reveal
And half conceal the Soul within.” [3]

Later in the section, language becomes a covering against the cold. I am moved by the place the poem gives to both the wish to speak and the shelter of speaking in this particular way. A sentence may allow a person to remain in relationship with grief at a distance they can bear.

Repeated explanation can keep us apart from feeling. It can also be the most available bridge toward it. We have to discover what happens while the person speaks. Someone may want help finding the bodily meaning of a sentence. Someone else may first need the words to be heard accurately, without another invitation to go deeper.

Miriam chooses a sentence: “I wanted him to wonder about my life.” It has fewer explanations than the account she was rehearsing. The therapist leaves it in her language. For a moment the wish can stand

without a defense of her brother, a verdict, or a plan for what should happen next. I want us to hear what she has said before deciding where to go next.

The Wishes We Find Within Grief

In David Whyte's *The Well of Grief*, dark water carries the possibility of a hidden source. Beneath it are coins left by “those who wished for something else.” [4] The image brings me close to the wishes within sorrow: the future we expected, the reunion, the meeting with a person who never quite turned toward us.

For Miriam the wish was small enough to be easy to overlook and persistent enough to organize years of her life. She wanted her brother to wonder about her. She chose stories on the way to his house, made her concerns brief, gave him another chance to ask. The depth of the wish is not measured by how unusual it was. Its ordinary human character is part of what makes the absence hurt.

We can approach that through one sentence, one remembered visit. The poem's movement into the water offers an image for attention; the client's capacity and choices determine the amount. No poem can tell us how far someone should descend.

I am also interested in where the wish can live now. What would Miriam like someone here to know? What does she still want to ask of people who are able to respond? Her brother cannot provide the meeting she wanted. The wish to be known remains alive in her, reaching toward a world that still contains possible listeners.

A Bond That Can Change Its Place in a Life

Henri Nouwen writes, “Remembering the dead is choosing their ongoing companionship.” [5] In *The Companionship of the Dead*, his Christian understanding gives those who have died a continuing place in a spiritual community. Their presence can still influence how the living find their way.

What might companionship mean for the person before us? Someone hears a parent's phrase in their own voice. Someone carries a form of courage, an affection for a place, a story they want a child to know. The relationship changes its form as the surviving person changes, and something of it continues to accompany a life.

Miriam brings a complication into this consoling image. Her brother accompanies her in painful ways too. A listener's brief silence can become the beginning of his old change of subject. She starts to shorten what she is saying before she knows whether this person is interested. What would it mean to carry his delight in storytelling while allowing her own life to take more time in the conversation?

A continuing bond can be selective. There are things to keep, things whose place can change, influences from which someone may want distance. Spiritual language can bring comfort or leave a person untouched. We can be interested in remembrance without deciding that preserving a bond is the task. The person living with the loss needs room to decide what they want to carry forward.

When Joy Renews the Absence

Wordsworth's *Surprised by Joy* begins with a turn toward another person. Delight has arrived, and the impulse is to share it. Then absence returns. The person toward whom the speaker turns has died, and the moment of pleasure becomes tangled with self-reproach for having briefly forgotten. [6]

There is a particular loneliness in having something good to tell and no longer having the person who would have wanted to hear it. When a client describes happiness followed by pain, I want to slow down before reassuring them that they are allowed to enjoy their life. That reassurance may eventually help. First we need to hear what happened in the turn.

“I felt happy, and that means I'm forgetting” brings a rule about loyalty into the room. “I had something wonderful to tell her, and she wasn't there” brings the loss of a recipient. They can be present together, but they ask different things of us. One may need examination of the judgment. Another may need company in a renewed encounter with absence.

Sometimes there is a living person with whom part of the experience could now be shared. Their presence need not replace the original person, and the wish to tell the one who died can remain. We can help someone discover the forms of contact available now without making people interchangeable.

A good afternoon may end in tears. The pleasure can have mattered, and the tears can belong to what mattered about it. We do not have to choose one feeling to speak for the whole day.

When Sorrow Leaves Room for Something Else

There is another movement between grief and joy, one I consider in *The Conversation That May Never Come*. After sorrow has been met, something welcome may become available. In therapy we have to discover the shape of that movement as carefully as we discover the grief.

Later in the fictional session, Miriam remembers an afternoon when her brother helped repair a crooked shelf. She had measured badly. He had brought the wrong drill bit. Between them they made a small task last most of the day. The shelf is still leaning slightly, and as she describes it, she smiles.

The therapist listens. Miriam notices the smile herself.

“That was a good day,” she says. “I don't have to take that away.”

Earlier, a generous memory had arrived to protect her brother from the hurt she was trying to express. This memory seems to have a little more freedom. It can be a good afternoon without being required to defend the whole relationship. Perhaps naming the absence has allowed affection to come near without immediately being put to work.

That is a way of understanding the illustration. Miriam's own experience would still have to guide the next response. What is it like to remember this now? There may be tenderness, sadness, or no clear difference. The important possibility is that both accounts can have a place without either having to silence the other.

I do not want us to begin listening for the smile as proof that the crying worked. Some sessions end in sorrow or fatigue. Interest may return later while gardening, receiving a meal, or spending time with someone who does not need an explanation. Crying cannot guarantee joy, and the absence of joy cannot tell us that a person has grieved badly.

When something welcome does arrive, we can become curious about receiving it. Is there guilt? Fear? A wish to share? Would the person like to stay with the warmth or turn elsewhere? Our interest in a helpful experience has to leave it free to be what it is. A smile can be met with the same attentiveness, and the same lack of demand, as a tear.

The Therapist Who Is Eloquent About Resignation

In chapter XI of Jane Austen's *Persuasion*, Anne Elliot meets Captain Benwick, whose fiancée has died. Poetry gives them a way to speak. Concerned about his immersion in sorrowful verse, Anne recommends reading that might strengthen him. Then Austen turns the light upon the adviser. Anne reflects, with uncomfortable humor, on the authority with which she has recommended patience and resignation when her own conduct would scarcely bear the same scrutiny. [7]

I value that second movement. Someone cares, offers something thoughtful, then catches sight of the person doing the offering. There is room for both the usefulness of the advice and the questions the adviser is still living with.

We can understand grief and still be waiting for our own impossible conversation. A client's longing can make us feel ineffective, or bring us close to the person from whom we are still hoping to hear. We may press for acceptance because uncertainty hurts us. We may preserve hope because acknowledging a limit would bring our own loss too near. Or we may admire the depth of emotional work and miss the client's growing wish to discuss a practical matter.

The difficulty begins when these needs become hard for us to recognize. Supervision and our own reflection can help us ask what we have started needing the client to discover. Noticing that can help us return our attention to what the client is trying to tell us.

Think back to Miriam correcting the therapist's idea that she is struggling to say goodbye. The therapist can say, "I was moving toward an ending before I understood what you were telling me." There is a small risk in that acknowledgment: the risk of giving up a helpful-looking explanation and returning to a place where we do not yet know.

Miriam then has room to tell us more. Keeping the therapist's interest does not depend on accepting the therapist's account. The correction becomes part of how the relationship finds its way.

Bringing the Learning Into the Week

Before the session ends, I want to think with the person about the week ahead. What has become possible in the room has to find some relationship to the evening, the friend, the ordinary conversation in which an old expectation appears again.

The five modules of *Grief, Loss, and What Cannot Be Repaired* offer places to continue when the questions fit the client's goals. They explore grief within protest, a particular person's limits, unfinished words, acknowledgment, and continued participation. This is an organization of learning, with several possible places to begin. It gives us no sequence by which to judge someone's mourning.

For Miriam, a useful distinction is emerging between the conversation she wanted with her brother and something she could ask of a person who is here. She would like a friend to hear that she misses him and remains hurt. She predicts that the friend will defend him. Together, she and the therapist consider a small request, with room for the friend to agree or decline.

“Could I tell you something complicated about missing him? I'm looking for someone to hear it, rather than help me feel differently.”

The friend agrees. Before long, though, the conversation has become reassuring: her brother loved her, he did his best. Miriam changes the subject. She comes back disappointed, and the therapist could easily hear confirmation of another person's unavailability.

I want to pause before that conclusion. What do they actually know? The friend agreed to talk, misunderstood the response Miriam wanted, and offered reassurance. Miriam did not say that it missed. The exchange has hurt, and it has also left a question about what might happen if the misunderstanding were named. It does not yet settle the friend's capacity.

Miriam decides she would like to clarify once, at a time she chooses. “I know you were trying to help. When we went straight to how much he loved me, I lost the part I was trying to tell you.”

The friend apologizes and listens for a while. Miriam feels relief, then sadness. She has received some company in the present. The reply she wanted from her brother remains unavailable. They arrange another short conversation, leaving room between the two meetings rather than trying to carry everything into the first.

A different response would ask for a different plan. The friend might decline, become defensive, or remain unable to stay with what Miriam wants to say. Then there could be another listener, a smaller request, practical help, or a pause. We review what happened, how it fitted the prediction, and what conditions made the amount manageable or unhelpful. What happened helps us decide what to try next.

The module on unfinished relationships can help Miriam distinguish a sentence she wishes to express from a reply she wishes she could receive. Later modules offer acknowledgment and ordinary participation. A private sentence can remain unsent. An activity can be brief. We can use one task and return to it with care, without making completion of all five modules the price of having learned something.

The Losses That Require More Than an Invitation to Feel

There are times when a person needs more immediate or specialized care. Basic routines may be falling apart. Distress may be persistently overwhelming. Depression, trauma-related difficulties, substance use, or risk may need assessment. The fact that suffering followed a loss has not yet told us what kind of help will fit.

The American Psychiatric Association describes prolonged grief disorder in relation to bereavement following a death, with persistent disabling symptoms and attention to cultural and religious expectations. [8] It cannot be extended into a label for every unfinished relational disappointment. Continuing to miss a person does not establish the diagnosis; severe impairment deserves a careful assessment and access to treatment.

There is equal reason to keep our eyes on the material life. A person grieving a home may need housing. The family's caregiving may remain unfairly distributed after its history has been understood. Financial and parenting duties do not disappear when someone accepts a former partner's limitations. We can accompany sorrow and help identify action, protection, resources, and advocacy. These needs deserve our attention alongside the sorrow.

A Life With Room for What Remains

Miriam does not leave with a final account of her brother. On some days she hears something funny and simply misses him. On others she catches herself preparing for another person's interest to fade. She can begin looking at the person who is actually here, wondering whether the old expectation fits, while understanding something about how she came to have it.

At a family gathering, someone asks about a project. She starts to shorten the answer. The person is still listening. Miriam notices and says a little more.

Later she wishes her brother had been there to ask. The welcome interest and the missing belong to the same evening. There is room for both.

What draws me toward this work is the chance for someone to speak more freely about what has mattered to them, with less need to hide it or make it acceptable to the listener. The person can tell us what was lost and what never arrived. They can receive something now without having to make it repair the whole past. We can remain interested when a good moment is followed by grief and when our explanation is followed by a correction.

The conversation that never came may always matter. Alongside it, there can be other conversations: a little more of a life spoken aloud, an imperfect response, a request made clearer, someone still listening. We meet the person there, with what remains and with what is beginning to become possible.

References and reading boundaries

1. O'Connor, M.-F., & Seeley, S. H. (2022). Grieving as a form of learning: Insights from neuroscience applied to grief and loss. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 43, 317-322. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.08.019. Attachment-informed theoretical synthesis; publisher and indexed abstract/excerpts consulted, not the complete paper. The full author manuscript remained inaccessible during this revision.
2. Fosha, D. (2000). Meta-therapeutic processes and the affects of transformation: Affirmation and the healing affects. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 10, 71-97. doi:10.1023/A:1009422511959. Author-hosted manuscript, opening sections on mourning-the-self and therapeutic experience, reread for this revision. Clinical theory; the example of Miriam is independent fiction.

3. Tennyson, A. (1850). *In Memoriam A. H. H.*, section V. Text at the Academy of American Poets. Literary attention to the revealing and protective functions of language; the section, not the entire sequence, grounds this discussion.
4. Whyte, D. (n.d.). The well of grief. In *River Flow: New & Selected Poems*. Many Rivers Press. Author's reproduction of the complete poem. Poetic image considered alongside the clinical limits of prescribing emotional descent.
5. Nouwen, H. J. M. (1997). The companionship of the dead, August 29. In *Bread for the Journey: A Daybook of Wisdom and Faith*. HarperSanFrancisco. Henri Nouwen Society newsletter, August 29, 2013. Complete short meditation consulted; Christian spiritual reflection, not an empirical claim about death.
6. Wordsworth, W. (1815). Surprised by joy. Complete sonnet at the Academy of American Poets. Literary exploration of joy, remembered absence, and self-reproach. The later illustration of Miriam's warm memory is the author's clinical synthesis, not a finding established by the poem.
7. Austen, J. (1818). *Persuasion*, chapter XI. Primary text, Project Gutenberg. Anne Elliot's encounter with Captain Benwick and her subsequent self-questioning; this episode supplies the literary comparison, not a clinical finding.
8. American Psychiatric Association. (2025). *Prolonged grief disorder*. Physician review, August 2025. Clinical overview. Death-related diagnostic scope, cultural context, impairment, and treatment; not a substitute for individualized assessment.
9. Kessler, D. (n.d.). *The five (and six) stages of grief: The Kessler perspective*. Author's explanation. Introductory clarification and selected response descriptions consulted; no full-book reading claimed.
10. Stroebe, M., Schut, H., & Boerner, K. (2017). Cautioning health-care professionals: Bereaved persons are misguided through the stages of grief. *OMEGA - Journal of Death and Dying*, 74(4), 455-473. doi:10.1177/0030222817691870. Author-institution abstract consulted for the empirical and clinical limitations of stage assignment; full paper not read.
11. Tallis, F. (2026, July 28). *Finding meaning and living wisely* [Interview with James Kennedy]. Host-published transcript. Opening discussion of Becker, mortality, and legacy; not a full reading of *Wise or Becker*.
12. Shear, M. K. (2012). Grief and mourning gone awry: Pathway and course of complicated grief. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 14(2), 119-128. Open-access article. Introduction, kinds of bereavement, and selected differential-diagnosis sections consulted. Historical complicated-grief criteria are not current DSM-5-TR criteria.
13. Stroebe, M., & Schut, H. (1999). The dual process model of coping with bereavement: Rationale and description. *Death Studies*, 23(3), 197-224. doi:10.1080/074811899201046. Indexed abstract/record and the existing BKL discussion consulted for loss-related and restoration-related coping and respite; full article not read in this revision.

Source note: Research-informed theory, clinical interpretation, spiritual reflection, and literature make different contributions here. The poems and Austen episode offer ways of attending, not evidence of treatment effects or instructions for how a client should grieve. Miriam and every dialogue and outcome involving her are fictional educational illustrations. No particular emotional sequence is required, and these illustrations do not demonstrate BKL's effectiveness. The proposed use of BKL is an educational integration, not an evaluated grief treatment.