

The Parts of You That Disagree

Understanding your inner system and learning to respond with more choice

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT

You want to say no, but you hear yourself saying yes. You want closeness, but when someone reaches toward you, you pull away. You know you need rest, yet something inside insists that you have not done enough to deserve it. Later, another part of you refuses to do anything at all. You may wonder why you keep undermining your own intentions, or why understanding a problem has not made it disappear.

One way to make sense of these experiences is through the language of parts. A part is a recognizable pattern of feeling, thinking, bodily experience, and responding. You might call one your critic, another your caretaker, and another the side of you that goes quiet when conflict begins. These names can help you notice what happens without turning a passing state into a verdict about who you are. “A part of me feels inadequate” leaves more room than “I am inadequate.”

Parts language can help you understand inner disagreement, recognize what different responses need, and choose what to do next. You do not have to see an inner child, hear a voice, or imagine a group of people living inside you. You can begin with the simple recognition that different aspects of you sometimes want different things.

Why different parts of you disagree

Imagine receiving a request from someone you care about when you are already exhausted. One response says, “Of course. They need me.” Another says, “Why does everyone assume I am available?” A third warns, “If you disappoint them, they will stop caring about you.” You agree to help, then feel resentful, then criticize yourself for being resentful. What looked like a straightforward decision has become an argument about love, responsibility, limits, and whether your needs matter.

Each response has something to say. Your wish to help may express real generosity. Your resentment may point to a limit you have not acknowledged. Your fear may reflect previous experiences of losing connection when you asserted yourself. Your critic may be trying to keep you acceptable, or simply repeating a familiar judgment. None of these possibilities tells the whole story, and you do not need to settle their origins before responding thoughtfully.

The difficulty often lies in how these responses treat one another. The responsible side may regard your need for rest as laziness. The exhausted side may experience responsibility as captivity. The worried side may treat every disagreement as an emergency. The harder one pushes, the harder another resists. Working with parts means becoming interested in this interaction, rather than selecting a favorite response and trying to silence the others.

A useful language for your inner system

Internal Family Systems, developed by Richard Schwartz, offers several names for recurring roles. Managers try to prevent difficulty through planning, achieving, pleasing, monitoring, or controlling. Firefighters seek immediate relief when distress breaks through; that relief might involve distraction, withdrawal, arguing, overeating, or other urgent responses. Exiles describes vulnerable experiences that have been pushed out of attention, including shame, loneliness, fear, and grief. These are roles in a therapeutic model, not diagnoses or fixed personality types (Schwartz and Sweezy, 2019).

The same behavior can have different meanings. Planning may express enjoyment and competence, not fear. Taking space may be a thoughtful boundary rather than avoidance. Anger may be a proportionate response to mistreatment. A response can also do several things at once. Ask what is happening in this particular situation before assigning a category.

IFS uses Self to describe a way of relating internally with qualities such as curiosity, compassion, and perspective. In everyday practice, you might recognize this as being able to hear your fear without letting fear make every decision, or acknowledge anger without having to act it out. You do not need perfect calm to begin. A little willingness to listen can be enough.

Other traditions use terms such as ego states or self-states. They offer different explanations of how experience becomes organized and how change occurs; they are not interchangeable theories. For this article, parts is a practical language for exploring experience. It does not require accepting one explanation of the mind, and having inner conflicts does not establish a dissociative disorder.

How relationships can become patterns inside us

Our inner responses develop in relationship with the world around us. A family may welcome accomplishment but become uncomfortable with need. Anger may be permitted in one person and punished in another. A child may be praised for being easy, mature, or helpful while learning that disappointment and protest threaten belonging. Over time, “Do not upset anyone” can become an instruction you give yourself, even when no one is saying it aloud.

An inner response may echo a relationship rule: do not make trouble, keep everyone comfortable, never need too much. We can consider that possibility without making it a formula for reconstructing childhood. IFS explicitly asks clinicians to assess both internal and external systems and their constraints (IFS Institute, n.d.). Here we also ask whether the rule is being enforced now, what the response helps preserve, and what cost or resource is missing.

You might feel hurt and immediately hear, “They did their best. You have no right to complain.” Both appreciation and pain can be real. Recognizing an injury does not require denying every good experience. The question is whether you are allowed to know what you felt without losing your right to belong.

Culture, gender expectations, religion, racism, economic pressure, and unequal power can also shape what seems safe or permissible. A habit of keeping quiet may have developed in circumstances where speaking

carried actual consequences. Before asking someone to change that response, we need to understand those consequences - and whether they are still present.

Recognizing a part in everyday experience

Start with a recent moment that was uncomfortable but not overwhelming: an unanswered message, a request you struggled to refuse, a small mistake, or a disagreement that left you preoccupied. Notice what happened just before your response changed. Did your partner look away? Did someone question your competence? Did you realize you would have to ask for help?

Then notice whatever is available. In your body, there may be a pit in your stomach, a lump in your throat, tightness in your chest, heat in your face, cold hands, a clenched jaw, heaviness, or restless energy. There may be no distinct sensation. Body signals are clues to your experience, not proof of a particular meaning or history. New or concerning physical symptoms also deserve appropriate medical attention.

Listen for the sentence that arrived with the reaction: “I am not good enough.” “Nobody cares what I need.” “I have to fix this.” “My partner is impossible.” “If I explain it perfectly, they will understand.” Next, notice the urge: to argue, apologize, pursue, withdraw, make a joke, check your phone, or become exceptionally reasonable. A feeling, a statement, and an urge together can help you recognize a recurring pattern.

Give it a name only if that helps. “The part that needs to get it right” may be more useful than a formal label. You can also say, “I notice pressure to explain,” or “I become very quiet here.” Identification means recognizing experience; it does not mean inventing an identity.

A gentle way to work with a part

Choose a few minutes when you have enough privacy and can stop freely. Keep your eyes open if that is more comfortable. Feel the chair supporting you and notice the room around you. You are exploring a present response, not trying to reach your deepest wound.

First, name what you notice. Try, “A part of me is afraid they will be disappointed,” or “I notice an urge to disappear.” This creates a little room around the reaction. If that language feels artificial, use ordinary words: “I am feeling afraid, and I want to understand it.”

Second, notice your attitude toward the response. Are you curious, or trying to get rid of it? If you hear, “This is ridiculous. I should be over it,” include that reaction too. You might say, “I am frustrated with being afraid.” You do not have to manufacture tenderness. Begin with a willingness to understand before criticizing.

Third, ask one open question. “What feels difficult about this situation?” “What am I worried could happen?” “What matters to me here?” You may recognize a fear of rejection, humiliation, losing control, being trapped, or being left alone. You may simply notice fatigue or a practical problem. An answer does not have to come as an inner voice; it can be a few words, a feeling, or nothing clear yet.

Fourth, consider what would help now. Perhaps you need rest, information, reassurance, a boundary, time to think, or someone to listen without advising. Ask what is possible in the current situation. The need may be understandable even when the first strategy that comes to mind would cause harm.

Finally, choose a small action and check its effect. You might delay a reply, request ten minutes to finish speaking, decline one task, or step away from an escalating conversation with a clear plan to return. Notice afterward: did the response settle, intensify, or stay the same? What did you learn? A modest, repeatable action is often more useful than a dramatic internal breakthrough.

You can acknowledge a response without agreeing with its conclusions: “I understand why this feels frightening. I do not yet know that rejection is happening.” Compassion and reality checking can work together. Likewise, understanding an urge to lash out does not make lashing out acceptable.

When another part objects

Suppose you decide to rest and immediately feel guilty. That does not mean the exercise failed. You have encountered another concern: perhaps resting feels like letting people down, losing momentum, or becoming someone you were taught to dislike. Ask what makes this particular change difficult.

This is especially important when two responses are locked in opposition. A relentless worker may fear that without pressure, nothing will happen. A rebellious response may fear that cooperation means surrendering all freedom. If you simply intensify discipline, rebellion may increase. If you abandon every commitment, the worried worker has more reason to panic.

Try identifying an arrangement that addresses both concerns. “I will work for twenty minutes, take a real break, and stop at six.” Or, “I can help today, but I will not take responsibility for the whole project.” You are developing an agreement you can keep. Trust may grow through experience: effort does not always end in exhaustion, and rest does not always end in abandonment of responsibility.

A small change also deserves a specific review. Suppose you finish the bounded task and notice some pride, then guilt about leaving the rest. You can ask what each feeling tells you without making either the verdict on the arrangement. Fosha’s inquiry into receiving affirmation and reflecting on a helpful experience contributes a clinical lens here (2000). Did you recognize what you did, or dismiss it because the critic remained? Was the limit workable for the people affected? The aim is to let an actual experience refine the next choice, rather than use kindness to persuade every concern that the plan was correct.

If many responses appear, keep the exploration simple. Write down two or three rather than trying to map your entire personality. “I want connection; I am afraid of rejection; I am angry about waiting.” That can be enough information to choose your next step.

A worked example of saying no

Consider a fictional example. Maya's sibling asks for help with a move on the only day Maya has available to rest. She feels chest tightness and hears, "A good sister would say yes." Another response thinks, "Nobody ever considers me." She begins drafting an angry message, then deletes it and agrees to help.

Looking back, Maya notices that she wanted both connection and a limit. Her fear predicts that saying no will make her selfish and unwanted. Her anger wants someone to recognize how tired she is. Instead of deciding that one response is good and the other bad, she asks what she can honestly offer.

She sends a different message: "I cannot help Saturday. I need that day to rest. I can help you find a mover tonight." Her sibling is disappointed. Maya still feels guilty, but she does not automatically reverse her decision. She notices that disappointment and rejection are different possibilities, and waits to see what actually happens.

If her sibling responds with threats or punishment, that is important information about the relationship. Parts work should not teach Maya to reinterpret mistreatment as merely her own sensitivity. It can help her remain aware of herself while assessing another person's actual behavior.

Bringing parts awareness into relationships

Parts language is most useful when you apply it to your own experience. "A part of me gets scared when we stop talking" communicates something different from "Your avoidant part is taking over." The first offers information. The second assigns your partner an explanation they may not recognize.

You can name both an internal response and its outward impact: "I was afraid you were pulling away, and I kept interrupting. That made it harder for you to speak. I want to listen now." Fear helps explain the interruption; it does not remove responsibility for it.

Ask for something specific: "Could you listen for five minutes before offering solutions?" "I need some space, and I can return at seven." "Would touch feel welcome right now?" Your partner retains the right to answer. Neither person needs to become the other's therapist, and neither must surrender a boundary to prove compassion.

Working together is inappropriate when there is violence, coercion, intimidation, or fear of retaliation. In those circumstances, confidential support and attention to safety take priority over mapping a shared conflict.

When nothing happens or exploration feels difficult

You may not find words, images, or a clear feeling. That is ordinary. Begin with what you can observe: "I changed the subject," "I stayed up late," or "I said yes before thinking." Curiosity about the sequence is already useful. You do not have to produce an emotional experience to do this correctly.

If looking inward makes you increasingly panicked, unreal, disconnected, or flooded with distress, stop the exercise. Turn toward your surroundings, move, and contact someone supportive if helpful. Repeated experiences of losing time, marked disconnection, or difficulty staying oriented are reasons to seek professional assessment. This article is not a treatment protocol for trauma or dissociative disorders.

Images, dreams, sensations, and impressions can be meaningful without establishing historical facts. You do not need to search for forgotten events or assign an age to a feeling. Professional dissociation guidance emphasizes avoiding suggestive questioning and premature certainty about memories (ISSTD, 2011). “This feels familiar” is enough to explore what you need in the present.

What progress can look like

Progress may be noticing sooner that you are about to agree against your wishes. It may be recognizing fear beneath the urge to send another message, or letting yourself rest without spending the entire break arguing internally. You might still feel anger and choose not to insult someone. You might ask for comfort directly rather than testing whether another person will guess.

The aim is greater cooperation and choice. Some responses will remain strong because your circumstances remain difficult. Others may become less urgent as you develop dependable boundaries, support, and ways of meeting your needs. You can appreciate what helped you survive while evaluating what it costs you now.

You do not need to know every part of yourself. Begin with one ordinary moment, one response you can recognize, and one action that treats your experience seriously. Over time, that practice can help you become less divided against yourself - and more able to bring your whole experience into the life you are living.

Clinical Foundations and Further Reading

This article offers an educational integration of several clinical traditions. Their concepts overlap but differ, and the sources below do not establish this particular exercise as a validated treatment. The examples are fictional. These materials do not create a therapist-client relationship or replace individualized care.

IFS Institute. (n.d.). The Internal Family Systems Model Outline. Model-specific source for roles, strengths, polarizations, pace, external context and responsibility. Institute text. Its assumptions about the mind are not requirements for this educational pathway.

Schwartz, R. C., and Sweezy, M. (2019). *Internal Family Systems Therapy* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press. The source for the IFS language of Self, Managers, Firefighters, and Exiles. Publisher information.

Watkins, H. H. (1993). Ego-state therapy: An overview. *American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis*, 35(4), 232-240. Describes an approach to conflicts among ego states, contributing a distinct historical perspective

on internal cooperation. Hypnotic techniques discussed in this clinical tradition are not included in the self-reflection exercise. Article record.

Bromberg, P. M. (1998). *Standing in the Spaces: Essays on Clinical Process, Trauma, and Dissociation*. Analytic Press. Offers a relational account of self-states and the capacity to remain connected with differing aspects of experience. Publisher information.

Bowlby, J. (1988). *A Secure Base: Clinical Applications of Attachment Theory*. Routledge. Provides an attachment framework for understanding expectations of care and the tension between connection and acknowledging difficult experience. Publisher information.

Gendlin, E. T. (1980). Imagery is more powerful with focusing: Theory and practice. In J. E. Shorr, G. E. Sobel, P. Robin, and J. A. Connella (Eds.), *Imagery: Its Many Dimensions and Applications* (pp. 65-73). Plenum Press. Informs gentle attention to bodily experience and checking whether words fit what is felt. Author text.

Neff, K. D. (2003). Self-compassion: An alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. *Self and Identity*, 2(2), 85-101. Describes self-kindness, recognition of shared humanity, and balanced awareness of painful experience. Article.

International Society for the Study of Trauma and Dissociation. (2011). Guidelines for treating dissociative identity disorder in adults, third revision. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 12(2), 115-187. Provides specialist guidance relevant to the distinctions concerning dissociation, therapist influence, and uncertainty about memory. It is not evidence that ordinary inner disagreement constitutes DID. Guidelines.

Fosha, D. (2000). Meta-therapeutic processes and the affects of transformation. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 10, 71-97. Receiving affirmation and reflecting on a helpful experience. Source. Educational use does not import treatment outcomes.