

The Life You Keep Trying to Deserve

Achievement, Self-Criticism, and the Permission to Be Human

Brian Kennedy, LMFT | Marriage & Family Therapy Associates
Olympia, Washington

Educational reading, not individualized diagnosis, treatment, or supervision. Using this resource does not establish a therapist-client relationship. No particular outcome is guaranteed.

Some people arrive at the end of a productive day with very little sense of having arrived anywhere. The work is finished, the problem solved, the people cared for. There may even be praise. But attention has already moved to what remains undone, what could have been better, or what someone else managed to accomplish. A moment that might have held satisfaction becomes preparation for the next demand. Rest is possible in theory. In practice, there always seems to be one more thing to do before it is deserved.

This can happen in an accomplished life or one that feels persistently stalled. The person polishing a successful project and the person avoiding a task for fear of doing it badly may be living under a similar judgment: What I produce will tell me whether I am enough. One keeps moving; the other cannot begin. Neither has much room to be a person attempting something difficult, with particular abilities, limitations, and circumstances.

Achievement itself is not the problem. Work can express love, imagination, competence, ambition, and contribution. Doing something well can be deeply satisfying. The difficulty begins when accomplishment is asked to settle a question it cannot settle permanently: Do I deserve a place here? A life organized around that question can become an ongoing audition for permission to live it.

When a Result Becomes a Verdict

There is a difference between evaluating your work and evaluating your right to feel okay. A report may need correction. A skill may need practice. A commitment may not have been met. These are specific matters that can be understood and addressed. But the conclusion I made an error can quickly become I am incompetent, I disappoint everyone, or I should not need this much help. The task has become evidence in a larger case against the person doing it.

Jennifer Crocker and Connie Wolfe's model of contingencies of self-worth examines how self-esteem can rise and fall around outcomes in domains on which people stake their worth. This perspective shifts attention from whether someone generally feels good about themselves to what their self-evaluation depends upon. A person can appear confident while remaining highly vulnerable to a setback in the area that makes them feel acceptable (Crocker & Wolfe, 2001).

Consider an illustrative example. Morgan takes pride in being thorough and has completed a presentation that meets the assignment. A colleague suggests revising one

section. Morgan hears the suggestion, but also feels heat in the face and a tightening chest. The thought is not simply that the section could improve. It is that everyone has discovered Morgan is not as capable as they believed. That evening, Morgan revises far beyond what was requested, misses a planned dinner, and remains unsettled after the work is done.

The colleague's suggestion may have been useful. Morgan's additional work may also have improved something. The question is what else the work was being asked to accomplish. Was it correcting a section, trying to eliminate any possibility of future criticism, or attempting to recover a sense of being acceptable? Those purposes can become tangled together. Separating them makes both the task and the distress easier to understand.

The Standard That Moves When You Reach It

Perfectionism is often described as having high standards, but that description is incomplete. The standard may be vague, the consequences of falling short exaggerated, and success difficult to retain. If the task goes well, the response is not necessarily satisfaction. It may be that the task was too easy, the praise uninformed, or the next performance more important. The threshold moves before the achievement can count.

Roz Shafran, Zafra Cooper, and Christopher Fairburn proposed a clinical model in which self-evaluation depends excessively on pursuing demanding standards despite adverse consequences. In their account, failure brings self-criticism, while success can lead to judging the standard insufficiently demanding. This is one influential model of perfectionism, not a complete explanation of every exacting person (Shafran, Cooper, & Fairburn, 2002).

Other research distinguishes perfectionistic striving from concerns about mistakes, evaluation, and discrepancy. A meta-analysis by Karina Limburg and colleagues found associations between both dimensions and several forms of psychological difficulty. This does not make every ambitious goal pathological or establish that perfectionism caused a particular person's distress. It does suggest that the costs of demanding standards deserve attention alongside their apparent benefits (Limburg et al., 2017).

In ordinary life, the distinction might be between wanting to make something excellent and feeling unable to tolerate a result that reveals you are human. Excellence permits learning, prioritizing, and revision. A demand for personal faultlessness can make every limitation an emergency. Even then, motives may be mixed: Morgan can love the craft, want recognition, need the income, and fear exposure at the same time.

The Bargain Beneath the Effort

For some people, usefulness and belonging have become closely linked. They may remember receiving the most attention when they succeeded, being relied upon when adults were overwhelmed, or learning that having needs made an already difficult situation harder. An unspoken bargain can emerge: If I perform, contribute, anticipate, or require very little, there will be room for me.

These are possible developmental pathways, not conclusions to draw from someone's work habits. A long workday can reflect necessity, enjoyment, discrimination, understaffing, or an important deadline. Someone who values service may be expressing a freely chosen commitment. Remembered childhood experience can inform an understanding, but present behavior cannot establish its origin. We can ask whether a bargain fits without requiring one to be there.

Where the bargain does fit, achievement may carry hopes larger than the task: Perhaps this will finally make someone proud. Perhaps being indispensable will protect me from being left. Perhaps no one will resent me if I never need much. Success may provide brief reassurance, but it is difficult for one completed project to answer a longing for dependable care. Another project soon becomes necessary.

Part of the work may therefore involve recognizing the wish within the effort. Wanting acknowledgment, tenderness, appreciation, or a place that does not depend entirely on usefulness is not evidence of laziness. Nor does recognizing that wish guarantee someone else will meet it. It makes possible a more direct question about the relationship, instead of asking performance to communicate a need it cannot reliably convey.

Listening to the Critic Without Giving It the Final Word

The inner critic may sound like urgency, disappointment, ridicule, or relentless instruction: You should be further along. Other people can handle this. Do not let anyone see you struggle. Sometimes its language resembles a familiar person's voice. Sometimes it reflects present expectations, comparisons, or our own attempt to enforce a standard. There is no single origin or purpose behind every self-critical thought.

Paul Gilbert and colleagues explored different forms and functions of self-criticism in a study of female students. Their findings distinguished experiences of inadequacy from self-hatred, and motives of correction from motives of punishment. The sample limits what can be generalized, but the distinction is clinically useful: criticism is not one process, and the wish to improve is different from the wish to attack oneself for falling short (Gilbert et al., 2004).

In parts language, a demanding Manager may believe pressure is necessary to prevent humiliation or failure. That can be a useful way to explore an experience, if it fits. It should not become an assumption that every cruel thought secretly knows what is best. Understanding a possible protective intention does not require accepting the method or believing its predictions. A harsh response can hurt even when the person experiences it as an attempt to prevent harm.

Rather than arguing endlessly with the critic or trying to silence it, ask what specific concern deserves a response. Is there an error to correct, a deadline to clarify, a skill to develop, or a need for help? You can take useful information seriously while declining the global insult attached to it. This section needs another example gives you something to work with. You are a fraud does not specify a repair.

Rest That Does Not Have to Prove Anything

For someone living under constant evaluation, rest can feel less like relief than exposure. With the activity paused, there may be anxiety, guilt, loneliness, or uncertainty about what makes the person valuable. A quiet evening can bring the accusation that time is being wasted. Even leisure may become another domain to master: exercise correctly, meditate effectively, enjoy the weekend enough to justify it.

Research on recovery from work offers a broader picture than simply doing nothing. Sabine Sonnentag and Charlotte Fritz distinguished psychological detachment from work, relaxation, mastery experiences, and a sense of control over leisure time. Their study concerned the development and validation of a measure; it does not prescribe one ideal form of rest. It helps explain why recovery may include a walk, an absorbing hobby, an unhurried conversation, or some freedom to choose what happens next (Sonnentag & Fritz, 2007).

But rest also deserves room without being defended solely as a way to improve future productivity. A meal, a conversation, or an afternoon of pleasure can matter as part of life. If every pause must make you more useful tomorrow, the underlying demand remains in charge. Permission to be human includes experiences whose value is not measured by how much work they later produce.

This permission does not create resources that are missing. A parent without childcare, a person working two jobs, or someone facing an unstable income may need concrete assistance. An unequal household arrangement may need renegotiation. When the opportunity to pause is scarce, more advice about relaxing can feel like another failure assigned to the exhausted person. The constraint may be outside them as well as inside.

Compassion With Accountability

A common fear is that without self-criticism, standards will collapse. If I stop pushing myself this way, will anything get done? For someone who associates pressure with nearly every accomplishment, that fear deserves respect. It may take experience, rather than reassurance, to discover whether a different form of motivation is available.

Kristin Neff's account of self-compassion includes kindness toward oneself in difficulty, recognition of shared humanity, and balanced attention to painful experience. It differs from declaring that everything we do is good. The point is how we relate to suffering and failure, not whether we accurately evaluate a result (Neff, 2003). You can acknowledge that an action was harmful without making your humiliation the price of taking responsibility.

Experiments by Juliana Breines and Serena Chen found that participants prompted toward self-compassion showed greater motivation to improve in several situations, including after a weakness, a moral transgression, or a test failure. These short-term findings do not promise lasting change for every person. They do challenge the assumption that treating yourself less harshly necessarily undermines effort (Breines & Chen, 2012).

For Morgan, compassion could sound like this: That feedback hurt more than I expected. I want to improve the section, and I also need to notice how quickly I made the suggestion

into a verdict. The dinner I missed matters too. This response preserves learning and accountability while making room for the person experiencing them. It neither dismisses the colleague nor requires Morgan to pretend the evening was harmless.

What the Pressure Asks of Other People

The inner demand does not always remain private. We may become impatient with another person's slower pace, interpret their rest as lack of commitment, or need repeated reassurance that our work was good. We may correct someone else's method because uncertainty feels intolerable. A partner can end up living beside an examination they never agreed to take.

Paul Hewitt and Gordon Flett's work distinguishes perfectionism directed toward oneself, toward others, and experienced as demanded by others. That framework helps keep the interpersonal dimension visible. A person can feel subjected to impossible expectations while also imposing demanding expectations elsewhere; the patterns need not be identical or equally strong (Hewitt & Flett, 1991).

Understanding our distress does not erase its impact. If Morgan repeatedly cancels shared time to pursue optional revisions, the partner may need a more dependable agreement, not simply a better understanding of Morgan's fear. Conversely, a partner who mocks mistakes or requires constant performance may be sustaining a genuinely punishing environment. We need to distinguish an internal expectation from an actual demand and consider what each person is doing.

Useful contribution and personal worth also remain different. Trust in a particular role may depend on keeping commitments or developing competence. An employer can require accurate work. A partner can ask for a fair share of household tasks. These requirements do not settle anyone's worth as a person, but they cannot all be dismissed as conditional love. A more humane life still includes obligations and consequences.

The Life Beyond the Evaluation

When worth has been concentrated in usefulness, broadening life can feel strangely difficult. Asked what brings pleasure or connection, a person may answer with another accomplishment. They may not know how to spend time with someone without organizing, advising, or solving. Being appreciated for ordinary companionship can feel unfamiliar, even less believable than being praised for work.

Begin with qualities that already appear in some part of your life: humor, curiosity, affection, patience, an interest in music, the capacity to notice something beautiful. None needs to become another credential. You might share an interest without teaching a lesson, receive help without immediately repaying it, or listen without turning the conversation into a plan. Doing for and being with can both belong in a relationship.

There may be grief in this expansion. You might recognize years spent postponing pleasure, friendship, or tenderness until the conditions were right. You might also feel sorrow about care that never arrived, despite everything you offered. That loss deserves attention without making every accomplishment a mistake. Work may have given you livelihood, agency, community, and satisfaction as well as carrying a painful demand.

The task is not to become someone who never seeks recognition. Appreciation matters. The task is to give recognition its proper scale: something welcome, informative, and sometimes necessary, but not the sole permission to exist. Other people's responses can affect us without becoming the final authority on whether our life is worth living.

Choosing What the Next Effort Will Serve

Choose one ordinary task with modest stakes. Before beginning, name its purpose and the standard it actually requires. Perhaps an email needs to communicate clearly, not impress everyone who reads it. Perhaps a household task needs to be completed reliably, not exactly as you would do it. Preserve necessary checks and consequential standards; a safety-critical task is not the place to experiment with reduced checking.

Then identify one proportionate variation. Ask for clarification, remove a redundant check, request help, or set a realistic stopping point. You may also decide your current approach is appropriate and keep it deliberately. Predict what you think will happen to the quality of the task and to your own discomfort. Those are separate outcomes. Feeling uneasy does not mean the work is inadequate, and feeling relieved does not prove that a necessary responsibility was met.

Afterward, review what occurred rather than grading your success at being less perfectionistic. Did the standard fit? Did something genuinely need more work? Was the additional effort serving the task or trying to eliminate every possibility of disapproval? Keep what you learned, revise what did not fit, and make the next step no larger than your circumstances allow. Learning to work differently can itself become another demand if there is only one acceptable result.

Meaning does not require pure motives or permanent satisfaction. You can want income, mastery, praise, and the pleasure of contributing. You can work hard on something you value and still feel anxious about how it will be received. The possibility is a little more freedom to decide what deserves your effort, and a little less reliance on effort to decide what you deserve. The life you keep trying to earn is also the life taking place while you are trying.

Clinical Foundations and Further Reading

This essay accompanies BKL's Achievement, Worth, and the Need to Prove pathway. Its foundations include research and clinical models concerning contingencies of self-worth, perfectionism, self-criticism, self-compassion, and recovery from work. The sources differ in design and scope: theoretical models, correlational research, a meta-analysis, measurement studies, and short-term experiments do not establish the same kinds of conclusions. The illustrative example of Morgan and the everyday practices are educational applications, not descriptions of an identifiable client or a validated treatment protocol. Neither a reflection exercise nor a work habit establishes a childhood cause, a diagnosis, or a person's worth.

Breines, J. G., & Chen, S. (2012). Self-compassion increases self-improvement motivation.

Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 38(9), 1133-1143.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212445599>

Crocker, J., & Wolfe, C. T. (2001). Contingencies of self-worth. *Psychological Review*, 108(3), 593-623. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.108.3.593>

Gilbert, P., Clarke, M., Hempel, S., Miles, J. N. V., & Irons, C. (2004). Criticizing and reassuring oneself: An exploration of forms, styles and reasons in female students. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 43(1), 31-50. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466504772812959>

Hewitt, P. L., & Flett, G. L. (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts:

Conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60(3), 456-470. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.60.3.456>

Limburg, K., Watson, H. J., Hagger, M. S., & Egan, S. J. (2017). The relationship between perfectionism and psychopathology: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 73(10), 1301-1326. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22435>

Neff, K. (2003). Self-compassion: An alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. *Self and Identity*, 2(2), 85-101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309032>

Shafran, R., Cooper, Z., & Fairburn, C. G. (2002). Clinical perfectionism: A cognitive-behavioural analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40(7), 773-791. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967\(01\)00059-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(01)00059-6)

Sonnentag, S., & Fritz, C. (2007). The Recovery Experience Questionnaire: Development and validation of a measure for assessing recuperation and unwinding from work. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 12(3), 204-221. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.12.3.204>