

When Your Inner Critic Says You Are Not Enough

Understanding Self-Criticism Without Losing Judgment, Care, or Yourself

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT

The meeting went reasonably well. You contributed, someone asked a useful question, and the work moved forward. Yet on the way home, one sentence begins replaying. You should have said it differently. You sounded uncertain. Perhaps everyone noticed. What began as reviewing an ordinary encounter becomes a judgment about whether you deserve to be there.

The voice can appear after a mistake, a moment of visibility, a request for help, or an unexpected pleasure. Sometimes it pushes you to do more. Sometimes it urges you to remain quiet. It can sound like discipline while making it harder to learn, connect, or rest. You may dislike it and still fear what would happen without it.

I use the phrase inner critic to describe this harsh relationship with ourselves. It is not a separate diagnosis or proof of developmental trauma. The question is what the response does in your particular life: what it notices, what it predicts, what it may be trying to preserve, and what its method costs. Understanding it should give you more choice, including the freedom to decide that a proposed explanation does not fit.

A standard and an attack are different experiences

You may have made an error that needs correcting. A promise may have been broken, a colleague inconvenienced, or a partner hurt. Accurate self-evaluation can help you recognize the impact and take responsibility. It is specific enough to guide a response. You know what happened, what belongs to you, and what might be done differently.

Self-attack is usually less precise. A mistake becomes evidence that you are incompetent; a disagreement becomes proof that you are unlovable. The conclusion expands beyond the event while the useful information becomes harder to find. You may spend hours condemning yourself and still avoid the apology, clarification, or practice that the situation requires.

The difference matters because compassion can otherwise seem like an invitation to lower standards. You need not abandon ambition, concern for others, or satisfaction in good work. A kinder response can be more demanding in a useful way: it asks you to face what happened without using humiliation to motivate the next step.

It can also help distinguish warranted feedback from someone else's contempt. Being told that a report missed a deadline is different from being told that you never do anything right. You can receive information without accepting the accompanying verdict about your worth. Conversely, harshness in the delivery does not always make every piece of information false. Discernment means examining both.

What self-criticism may be trying to prevent

One possible function of harshness is prevention. If I catch every flaw before someone else does, perhaps I will avoid embarrassment. If I demand more of myself, perhaps nobody can accuse me of being lazy. If I suppress the wish, perhaps I will not have to face a refusal. The response may organize around a threat that feels immediate even when you cannot explain it.

For some people, that urgency makes sense in light of earlier criticism, exclusion, or conditional approval. For others, it grew around competition, discrimination, a demanding institution, a recent failure, or a current relationship in which mistakes have real consequences. There may be several influences. A compassionate account should not replace them with one inevitable childhood story.

Bowlby's attachment framework makes expectations of availability and acceptance relevant [1]. The inquiry is whether speaking, needing, or making a mistake has come to feel costly to connection. This is a question to examine against your experience. You can have a loving childhood and still develop harsh standards, or have a painful history without responding chiefly through self-criticism.

Sometimes the protective explanation is wrong. The criticism may be a well-practiced habit rather than a purposeful strategy, or your language for a conflict between genuine obligations. You do not have to find a benevolent intention beneath every attack. Its consequences alone may be enough reason to change how you respond.

When blame offers the promise of control

There can be an odd security in making yourself the problem. If the difficulty is entirely your fault, then becoming better appears to offer a solution. The alternative may feel harder: another person has limits, an institution is unfair, the outcome is uncertain, or some things cannot be controlled through effort.

This can keep hope alive while narrowing the field of possible action. You perfect your wording instead of noticing that the other person will not listen. You work longer instead of questioning an impossible workload. You try to become less affected by discrimination instead of recognizing the need for support and change in the environment.

The reverse risk matters too. An account of self-blame can become a reason to refuse all responsibility. You may correctly recognize a harsh standard and still have neglected something important. The task is accurate responsibility: what did I do, what was beyond my control, what belongs to someone else, and what needs a shared response?

This distinction is explored in *The Life You Keep Trying to Deserve*. Meaningful effort can remain part of your life while worth becomes less dependent on proving yourself through every task.

The body participates, but does not settle the explanation

Self-criticism can arrive with a tightened jaw, heat in your face, shallow breathing, or an impulse to look away. You may notice the sensation before you hear a clear thought. Attending to it can reveal when an ordinary concern is becoming a whole-body demand to hide, defend, or perform.

Schore's developmental synthesis considers attachment and affect regulation [2]. Damasio's somatic-marker hypothesis addresses body-related signals within decision-making [3]. Neither establishes a location in the brain for your critic, or proves that a particular sensation is an early memory. These frameworks support taking embodied experience seriously while leaving its individual explanation open.

If your chest tightens during feedback, notice the current circumstances too. Is the person contemptuous? Are you exhausted? Is a real consequence at stake? Does the response differ with a trusted colleague? A reaction can carry history and still be responding accurately to the present. Looking for an old wound should not make you less able to recognize a current problem.

Nor do physical symptoms establish that the critic has caused an illness. Persistent changes in sleep, energy, pain, or bodily functioning may need medical attention as well as reflection. A psychological explanation should be a possibility to examine, not an obstacle to appropriate care.

The inner critic is not the whole of you

IFS offers one clinical language for approaching a critical response as a protective part rather than the whole person [4]. You might notice that one concern insists you must never rest, while another feels exhausted and wants everything to stop. Naming the conflict can create a little room between the impulse and the decision.

Parts language is optional. You can speak of a habit, an inner statement, a familiar feeling, or competing commitments. The useful question is whether you can notice what is happening without granting it exclusive authority. A critical thought can be present while you still check the facts, consult another person, and choose a proportionate response.

You do not have to thank a voice that is hurting you or imagine that it is a child. If curiosity is possible, ask what it expects would happen if it became less harsh. If the answer is simply that you might neglect a responsibility, consider how to preserve the responsibility with a more specific reminder. If no answer emerges, you can still choose not to rehearse the attack.

An inner conflict can also include criticism of the critic. You notice yourself judging and immediately decide you are failing at compassion. The same pressure has entered through a different door. Start smaller: recognize that harshness is here, and that you do not need to resolve your entire relationship with yourself before taking the next useful step.

Having room for more than the acceptable version of yourself

Winnicott's true-and-false-self formulation concerns, in part, accommodation and spontaneous expression [5]. I draw on it to ask whether the version of you that earns approval leaves enough space for uncertainty, anger, pleasure, or need. It does not mean your competence is fake or that there is one hidden identity you must uncover.

Perhaps you can be helpful but cannot ask for help. Perhaps you can perform confidence but cannot admit that you do not understand. Perhaps you permit yourself to be needed while treating your own dependence as weakness. The critic may patrol these limits, warning that a less polished version of you will lose its place.

The unfamiliar capacity might be smaller than you expect. It could be asking a question, saying you are tired, expressing a preference, or enjoying something without turning it into an achievement. Another person's response will matter. Sometimes being more visible is welcomed; sometimes a limit appears; sometimes the environment needs to change.

In *The Self You Became to Belong*, I examine how a valued role can become too narrow. The direction is to include more of your experience while remaining interested in others, rather than replacing cooperation with a rule that every spontaneous wish must be acted upon.

The feelings around the judgment

You may criticize yourself for sadness because it feels humiliating to need company. You may attack your anger because you fear becoming hurtful. You may call yourself foolish after a warm encounter because hope itself feels risky. The criticism can make a feeling harder to recognize, yet the feeling need not be forced into view.

AEDP distinguishes defenses, anxiety, and core affective experience [6]. This clinical framework helps make pacing important: approaching a feeling is not useful simply because it is intense. A therapist needs to remain responsive to what the person can actually notice and bear. Here, a manageable educational beginning may be acknowledging disappointment without demanding a full emotional encounter.

There may be grief about how long you have lived under a harsh demand. There may be anger at an unfair standard, or tenderness toward the effort you made. There may also be relief in attending to the practical task instead of exploring further. None of these responses is a required sign of depth. The right next step is the one that gives you more usable room in the actual situation.

You may find that company matters. A trusted person can help separate an event from the verdict you have made about yourself. They can also disagree with you kindly. Good support need not confirm every interpretation to be helpful. Being understood and being corrected can coexist when your dignity remains intact.

Compassion that can hear an uncomfortable truth

Neff's self-compassion framework emphasizes kindness, common humanity, and balanced awareness [7]. These offer an alternative to treating a difficult moment as proof that you are uniquely defective. The stance can recognize pain and still ask what needs to be learned, repaired, or changed.

A systematic review by Wakelin, Perman, and Simonds found promising reductions in self-criticism across self-compassion interventions, with limitations including heterogeneous studies and moderate study quality [8]. It supports exploring this approach; it does not show that one exercise will work for everyone or establish the effectiveness of BKL.

Compassion might sound less reassuring than you expect. You did interrupt someone. You were frightened of losing the conversation, and you need to hear what the interruption did. You can apologize, listen, and practice pausing without turning the error into a verdict that you are incapable of relationship. Accurate language creates a path forward that shame often obscures.

If kindness feels false or irritating, a neutral stance may be more accessible. Describe the event without embellishment. Identify one useful response. You need not make yourself feel loving toward yourself before you can act fairly. Sometimes fairness is the first believable form of compassion.

Standards, belonging, and another person's reality

The critic can affect how you receive others. Expecting judgment, you may defend before listening, withdraw before checking, or require reassurance that the other person cannot honestly give. You may also become critical of them for not protecting you from your own harshness. These consequences deserve attention without making you responsible for everyone's feelings.

Bowen's differentiation framework asks about maintaining a position while remaining open to influence [9]. In ordinary terms, can you acknowledge another person's concern without surrendering your whole account of yourself? Can you disagree without needing them to be wrong about everything? Can you remain connected while taking time to think?

A pause might help if it includes a return. You could say that you want to hear the concern, are becoming defensive, and need twenty minutes before continuing. The follow-through matters as much as the pause. Silence that never returns to the issue may protect you from discomfort while leaving the other person alone with a legitimate problem.

The principle in *Meet Me Before You Move Me* applies inwardly and between people: recognition can help an intervention fit. It does not make your experience the only reality in the room. A more generous inner relationship can increase your capacity to receive another person's experience as well.

Worth beyond the audition

Nouwen's *Life of the Beloved* offers a Christian perspective on worth that precedes performance [10]. This may resonate as spiritual language, or it may not. The philosophical question remains available without adopting a belief: what happens when being human is allowed to include limitation before excellence has earned permission?

You may still care deeply about doing good work. You may still want recognition and feel disappointed when it does not come. Resting from the audition does not require becoming indifferent. It means that praise, achievement, or rejection need not settle whether you are entitled to care.

Welwood's teaching on presence adds a different invitation: meet the experience before trying to improve it [11]. You can notice the ache for approval, the resistance to kindness, or the fear of becoming complacent. Meeting it does not mean obeying it. It may make the next decision less hurried and more accurate.

Spiritual language should not become a new standard. You have not failed because you cannot feel beloved, compassionate, or spacious today. You may need sleep, a more humane workplace, practical help, or a conversation with someone who takes your situation seriously. These belong to the work as much as inward reflection does.

Three fictional illustrations, with room for different outcomes

Fictional illustration: a mistake that needs repair. A person misses a deadline and spends the evening calling themselves unreliable. The next morning, they identify the actual impact, apologize without asking for reassurance, and make a workable revised agreement. The colleague remains disappointed. The learning is not that compassion removed every uncomfortable feeling; it is that a more precise account made responsibility easier to exercise.

Fictional illustration: visibility and anticipated judgment. Someone wants to speak at a meeting but predicts that an imperfect idea will expose them. They choose one question rather than a polished contribution. The response is ordinary and useful, yet they still replay their wording afterward. The new information is modest: they participated, the feared humiliation did not occur this time, and the critical habit remained. One outcome does not erase the habit or prove that every setting is safe.

Fictional illustration: the environment is part of the difficulty. A worker interprets exhaustion as laziness. Looking at the week reveals overlapping deadlines and duties added without consultation. Inward kindness helps a little, but the important change is clarifying priorities with a manager and seeking help. If the manager refuses, the issue does not become a failure to regulate. The worker needs to consider available support and options within the actual constraint.

These illustrations are invented teaching examples, not accounts of treated clients or evidence of therapy outcomes. Earlier treatment vignettes do not establish the effects of a combined model, a medication, or a particular emotional experience. The revised essay keeps the clinical questions while leaving those unsupported success claims behind.

An experiment in a more useful inner response

Choose an ordinary, manageable moment of self-criticism. You can use a fictional example, keep your eyes open, or skip inward attention. Begin by recording the event separately from the judgment. Then ask what is known, what you are predicting, and whether there is a concrete responsibility to address.

Consider more than one explanation. The response may fear rejection; it may reflect real feedback; it may be intensified by fatigue. Choose one small change that fits your best current understanding. Replace a global condemnation with a specific correction, ask for clarification, rehearse a request, or pause the repeated review and return to a planned activity.

Predict something observable. Perhaps you expect to make the apology sooner, spend less time rehearsing the mistake, or remain uneasy while completing a useful task. You do not have to predict calm. A worthwhile action can happen while the critical voice remains present.

Afterward, compare the prediction with the result. Did the alternative make the concern clearer? Did it help you act, leave you unchanged, or add pressure? Was the criticism partly accurate? Did your explanation miss a practical problem? Revise accordingly. If the exercise becomes another demand to be compassionate correctly, make it simpler or leave it for now.

If someone helps, pause long enough to notice what reached you. Fosha and Thoma's clinical writing emphasizes reflecting on helpful change itself [12]. The educational question here is whether receiving that help gave you anything you can use again: a fairer sentence, a clearer distinction, or the experience of disagreement without humiliation. This reflection is not proof of neural change.

A voice that leaves more room to live

The aim is greater freedom in how you relate to a concern. You may still hear the old demand, but you need not let it decide the whole meaning of the event. You can correct an error, receive support, acknowledge a limit, and remain interested in what is possible next.

Over time, you might notice that asking takes less preparation, feedback becomes more specific, or rest needs less justification. You may notice that another person's disappointment no longer requires an immediate verdict about your worth. These are possibilities to observe, not promises or compulsory milestones.

The longing beneath self-criticism may be the wish to have a secure place with others. It may also be the wish to do good work, live by your values, or feel less afraid. Listening carefully allows these wishes to become more particular. A more useful inner response can help you pursue them with discipline and care, while leaving enough room to be a person rather than an endless project of correction.

Sources and further reading

Research, clinical models, developmental synthesis, and spiritual philosophy contribute different kinds of understanding. The fictional illustrations and educational practice do not demonstrate treatment efficacy. These sources support the distinctions used here, rather than one universal cause of self-criticism.

- [1] Bowlby, J. (1988). *A Secure Base*. Basic Books. Attachment framework. Publisher record.
- [2] Schore, A. N. (2000). Attachment and the regulation of the right brain. *Attachment & Human Development*, 2, 23-47. Developmental synthesis. Abstract.
- [3] Damasio, A. R. (1996). The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 351, 1413-1420. Decision-making hypothesis. Abstract.
- [4] IFS Institute. *The Internal Family Systems Model Outline*. Optional parts framework; clinical theory. Official outline.
- [5] Winnicott, D. W. (1960; published 1965). *Ego Distortion in Terms of True and False Self*. Accommodation and spontaneous expression; psychoanalytic theory. Collected Works record and abstract.
- [6] AEDP Institute. *Essential Skills Agenda, Module 3*. Defenses, anxiety, and core affect; clinical model. Official agenda.
- [7] Neff, K. D. (2003). Self-compassion: An alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. *Self and Identity*, 2, 85-101. Conceptual framework. Author-hosted paper.
- [8] Wakelin, K. E., Perman, G., & Simonds, L. M. (2022; online 2021). Effectiveness of self-compassion-related interventions for reducing self-criticism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 29, 1-25. Pooled intervention evidence with quality and heterogeneity limitations. Abstract.
- [9] The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family. *Differentiation of Self*. Connected self-definition; clinical theory. Official account.
- [10] Nouwen, H. J. M. (1992). *Life of the Beloved*. Optional Christian perspective on worth. Official description and excerpt.
- [11] Welwood, J. *We Are Buddhas Becoming Humans as Well as Humans Becoming Buddhas*. Unconditional presence; clinical and contemplative teaching. Sounds True transcript.
- [12] Fosha, D., & Thoma, N. (2020). Metatherapeutic processing supports the emergence of flourishing in psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy*, 57, 323-339. Clinical primer and case illustrations. Abstract.

Revised for Brian Kennedy Learning, 14 October 2026. The clinical perspective, editorial judgments, and responsibility for the final writing remain mine. Educational reflection does not replace individualized care.