

Staying With What You Cannot Fix

How We Learn to Remain Available to Feeling Without Losing Judgment, Boundaries, or Ourselves

Brian Kennedy, MA, LMFT

Someone you love begins to cry. You care about what has happened, yet almost immediately your mind searches for something useful to say. You suggest a different perspective, offer a solution, or remind them that things will probably work out. When none of this helps, you feel helpless or irritated. You are trying to be supportive, but the person beside you begins to feel increasingly alone.

The same difficulty can arise within us. A sadness appears in the middle of an ordinary day, and we reach for work, a screen, or a reason it should not be there. Anger gathers, and we either swallow it or send it directly into the relationship. We may know a great deal about emotions while finding it difficult to remain with one long enough to discover what it asks of us.

Emotional presence begins in these moments. It involves noticing what is happening, allowing enough contact to understand it, and retaining the freedom to decide how to respond. Sometimes this leads to a conversation or a needed change. Sometimes it leads to a limit, a period of rest, or the recognition that something painful cannot yet be resolved. The capacity to stay matters because much of what we need to understand becomes available only after the first impulse has passed.

When Feeling Became Something to Manage

In some families, emotion had little room. Sadness was treated as weakness, anger as disrespect, and fear as an inconvenience. In others, emotion occupied so much space that a child became responsible for keeping everyone steady. A parent's disappointment might determine the atmosphere of the entire house. Being attentive meant anticipating trouble and finding a way to prevent it.

These experiences can teach different versions of the same lesson: feelings must be handled quickly. One person learns to suppress them; another learns to soothe everyone around them. Both may struggle when someone simply needs company in an experience that cannot be hurried. The person who withdraws may fear being overwhelmed, while the person who intervenes may fear being useless.

Attachment research provides a framework for considering expectations about seeking comfort and responding to distress (Mikulincer & Shaver, 2016). These expectations do not explain every difficulty with feeling. Temperament, exhaustion, illness, cultural practices, and the actual behavior of the person beside us also matter. Still, it can be useful to ask what we learned would happen if an emotion remained visible.

Let the Description Be Corrected

Sometimes an accurate explanation comes easily while a personal wish remains difficult to acknowledge. We understand why the plan changed; we have less room to say we wanted the company. That small sentence can make the event more knowable without turning the wish into an accusation. It can also reveal that the explanation has missed us: perhaps we were relieved rather than disappointed.

Gendlin's introduction to Focusing gives a place to checking whether words fit an experience and revising them when they do not (1996). His account concerns an experiential practice; it does not make bodily attention compulsory or establish historical truth. An everyday application is simply to ask whether "disappointed" fits, whether another word is closer, or whether we do not know. The person remains able to correct the account, including a warm and plausible one offered by someone trying to help.

Knowing About a Feeling and Being With It

A person may describe their childhood with extraordinary clarity while scarcely noticing what happens inside as they speak. Another may feel intensely but have little room to reflect. Understanding and feeling can develop unevenly. Neither intellectual sophistication nor emotional intensity, by itself, tells us how available someone is to their experience.

Being with a feeling often begins in ordinary language. "There is a lump in my throat." "My chest feels tight." "I feel disappointed, and I want to stop talking." These observations offer a starting point without requiring an immediate explanation. A tight chest could accompany fear, anger, grief, or a physical condition. Sensation helps us notice; it does not supply a diagnosis or settle the meaning of a moment.

The distinction becomes especially important during conflict. "I feel afraid" describes an experience. "You are going to leave me" interprets what another person will do. "I need to make you promise you will never leave" expresses an impulse. Emotional presence creates a little room among these experiences, so that fear can be acknowledged without requiring the interpretation to be accepted or the impulse to be acted upon.

The Urgency to Make It Better

When another person hurts, our wish to help may be mixed with our wish to stop feeling what their pain brings up in us. Their grief may awaken our helplessness. Their anger may recall a dangerous household. Their disappointment may feel like evidence that we have failed. We can begin responding to our own alarm while believing we are responding to their need.

This does not make helpfulness false. It makes helpfulness worth examining. "Would you like me to listen, help you think it through, or do something practical?" gives the other person a voice in the kind of care being offered. Sometimes they want a ride, a meal, or help making a difficult call. Sometimes they want us to understand why they are hurting before suggesting what they should do.

A rushed reassurance can miss this distinction. “It will be okay” may be comforting after someone feels understood and lonely before they do. A more available response might be, “You were counting on this, and losing it really hurts.” The words do not remove the loss. They communicate that the loss has reached another person.

What Our Presence Communicates

Connection is carried through more than the content of a response. Someone can say all the appropriate words while looking at a phone, speaking impatiently, or preparing a rebuttal. Someone else may say very little while conveying that they have time and are willing to listen. Tone, expression, timing, and responsiveness contribute to how an exchange is experienced.

Schore’s work emphasizes the relationship between attachment and emotional regulation, including communication beneath conscious awareness (2000). This perspective invites attention to how people affect one another without reducing a relationship to a story about a particular brain region. We cannot identify someone’s neurological state from a facial expression or assume that our own alarm accurately reveals their intention.

A useful response is to check rather than conclude. “You seem farther away; are you tired, upset, or taking this in?” leaves room for an answer. So does, “I notice I am getting frightened by the silence.” Presence becomes more reliable when observation and uncertainty can coexist.

The Concern About Feeling Also Deserves Attention

We may want to understand sadness and also fear what understanding will ask of us. Perhaps we expect that once we stop working, the day will become unmanageable. Perhaps we worry that needing company will make us difficult to love. The wish and the concern can both deserve a place. We do not have to make the protection disappear before choosing a small amount of contact, another form of attention, or no inward practice today.

Research by Krause, Mendelson, and Lynch found associations among recalled childhood emotional invalidation, emotional inhibition, and adult distress (2003). It gives a reason to consider how emotional expression was received, rather than a way to infer someone’s childhood from a present hesitation. A current shortage of sleep, privacy, or reliable company may explain much more. Presence becomes thoughtful when it notices those conditions as carefully as the feeling.

Having Company in an Experience

Diana Fosha’s approach to experiential psychotherapy gives particular importance to “undoing aloneness”: helping someone encounter difficult emotional experience within a responsive relationship (Fosha, 2021). In everyday life, a related possibility appears when someone discovers that a feeling can be shared without being dismissed, taken over, or made into a problem they must solve immediately.

A person grieving a parent may have already heard several explanations of the stages of grief. What they need that evening might be simpler: someone who can hear the story again and notice the affection within it. A person ashamed of a mistake may need both honest accountability and the experience that acknowledging the mistake does not end their belonging.

These moments do not turn partners or friends into therapists. Ordinary relationships have different responsibilities, limits, and resources. What they can offer is meaningful companionship: an interest in the person's experience, a willingness to be corrected, and enough steadiness to avoid making every difficult feeling a threat to the relationship.

Staying With Yourself While Staying With Another

Emotional presence becomes unsustainable when it requires one person to disappear. Listening does not require accepting every accusation, agreeing with another person's interpretation, or remaining available indefinitely. We can recognize that someone feels hurt while questioning their account of what happened. We can care about their fear while declining a demand for constant reassurance.

The Bowen Center's explanation of differentiation helps frame this challenge: remaining in relationship while retaining a capacity to think and act from one's own position (n.d.). In practice, this might sound like, "I want to understand how that affected you. I remember the conversation differently, and I want us to make room for both experiences." The response requires more effort than either surrendering our view or defending it so vigorously that the other person disappears.

Being emotionally present also involves receiving influence. Boundaries can become a language for avoiding any discomfort, just as closeness can become a demand for agreement. The question is whether there is room for both people to be affected, speak honestly, and retain choice.

When Feeling Becomes Too Much

There are times when continuing a conversation makes understanding less possible. Words become harder to follow. We repeat ourselves, grow numb, or feel an urgent need to attack or escape. Staying longer may produce more injury rather than more contact. A pause can support presence when it acknowledges what is happening and makes the next step reasonably clear.

"I want to continue this, but I am no longer listening well. Can we stop and come back after dinner?" communicates a limit and an intention. The proposed return needs to be realistic and followed through. Repeated promises to return that never become action can leave a partner more uncertain than an honest statement of what is presently possible.

Sometimes even a planned return cannot be agreed upon. Where there is intimidation, coercion, or fear of retaliation, the immediate task is protection and outside support. No one is required to remain emotionally available during mistreatment. A capacity for presence should increase freedom and discernment, including the freedom to leave an unsafe exchange.

Emotion Is Important and Interpretation Is Revisable

Research can help clarify the distinction without deciding the response for us. Gross reviews how regulation strategies can have differing consequences (2015); Ford and colleagues distinguish habitual acceptance of thoughts and feelings from acceptance of external situations (2018). Naming experience is one possible aid to reflection. Lieberman and colleagues' laboratory affect-labeling findings concern responses to emotional stimuli (2007), not a guarantee that finding a word will calm a person or repair an exchange. We can use a word when it helps, leave the meaning uncertain, or turn toward a practical concern. A useful practice increases the room to respond; it should not become another instruction about how to feel.

When we encourage people to trust their feelings, we can accidentally suggest that everything a feeling seems to tell them is true. Shame may say, "I am defective." Fear may say, "You cannot be trusted." Anger may say, "You did this deliberately." These meanings can feel inseparable from the emotion, especially when the experience is familiar.

Presence allows the feeling to be taken seriously while its explanation remains open. "I feel small when you correct me" deserves attention. It does not automatically establish that the correction was contemptuous. Perhaps the delivery was harsh; perhaps an ordinary correction touched an old humiliation; perhaps both happened. Understanding requires attention to the current exchange as well as personal history.

This matters equally for the listener. "You are just triggered" can dismiss a valid complaint by relocating the entire problem inside the other person. Their history may contribute to the reaction, but our behavior still needs examination. Emotional understanding becomes useful when it strengthens accountability rather than replacing it.

Different Ways of Being Present

Some people connect through sustained conversation; others through practical help, humor, shared activity, or quiet company. Family, culture, gender expectations, disability, and personal preference shape what expression feels possible. Direct eye contact or touch can comfort one person and unsettle another. Tears may come easily, rarely, or only in private.

It is easy to mistake our preferred form of intimacy for the standard everyone should meet. A verbally expressive partner may regard silence as avoidance. A quieter partner may experience repeated questions as pressure. Both can learn to ask what an action means to the other rather than assuming its meaning is obvious.

These differences do not excuse chronic dismissal or the refusal to address harm. They do invite a broader understanding of responsiveness. "Would you like company without talking?" can be an emotionally attentive question. So can, "I need us to put words to this because otherwise I cannot understand what happened."

Making Room for What Feels Good

Presence also involves allowing experiences we might ordinarily hurry past: relief after a difficult conversation, affection that reaches us, pride in something accomplished, or the pleasure of being welcomed. Some people can attend closely to pain while becoming uncomfortable when an experience asks them to receive something good.

Experiential approaches such as AEDP include attention to these emerging experiences and to what it is like to receive care (Fosha, 2021). An everyday version might be noticing, “I feel less alone now,” and allowing that recognition to remain for a moment. Nothing dramatic needs to happen. We are simply making room for an experience that may be unfamiliar.

This is different from requiring gratitude or steering someone toward positivity. A good moment does not cancel grief, injustice, or disappointment. It can exist alongside them. Emotional range grows when we do not have to deny one part of experience to permit another.

What Happens After a Moment of Contact

A person listens without rushing, and we notice that disappointment feels less lonely. Soon another response appears: embarrassment about needing them, suspicion that the attention will not last, or sadness about what has been missing. A welcome experience and caution can coexist. We need not interpret the caution as resistance or use the welcome moment to certify the relationship. Fosha’s experiential model includes attention to emerging experiences and their integration (2021); translating that concern into everyday learning means asking what this particular encounter made possible, without prescribing a sequence toward relief.

In a fictional example, a friend hears about a canceled plan. The listener first assumes reassurance is needed. The speaker corrects that guess: company matters more. They agree on a short walk. The speaker expects to feel foolish but finds the time partly comforting and partly awkward. Later, the useful account is specific: the friend listened, accepted the limit, and did not require cheerfulness. The next request can build on those conditions without assuming constant availability.

Support research also cautions against measuring care by its amount alone: Maisel and Gable’s daily study of couples linked benefits of received support to responsiveness (2009). The experiment here tests fit. If the walk adds pressure, the explanation and offer need revision; perhaps rest, practical help, another person, or solitude would have been more useful. A manageable result can become part of ordinary life through a factual note, a remembered sentence, or a later conversation. No stronger feeling or larger experiment is required.

Learning to Stay

The Emotional Presence pathway begins with noticing and proceeds toward choice: recognizing sensation, naming feeling, distinguishing what happened from what we think it meant, and considering an action we can take responsibly. These are capacities to practice in manageable moments. We do not need to begin with the hardest conversation in our lives.

Perhaps we notice the impulse to explain and ask one more question instead. Perhaps we acknowledge sadness before starting another task. Perhaps we say, “I do not know how to help, but I want to understand,” or identify a limit before resentment takes over. The value of these changes lies in what they make possible between people, not in how impressive they sound.

There will still be moments when we interrupt, withdraw, or try too hard. Presence includes noticing the miss and returning: “I went straight to advice. I think I missed how painful this was.” A relationship does not depend on uninterrupted attunement. It depends, in part, on what people do when they recognize that contact has been lost.

Much of life contains experiences we cannot fix: a death, a limitation, a difference that remains, a disappointment that deserves to be mourned. We can sometimes change the conditions producing pain, and we should not abandon that work. We can also learn to accompany what cannot yet change. To remain available without taking over, and to retain ourselves without retreating, gives another person somewhere to bring what is true.

Clinical Foundations and Further Reading

This article brings together research, clinical theory and educational interpretation. Access to informing works varies: some sources were read as original passages, others as abstracts or an institutional explanation. Examples are fictional or illustrative. BKL’s practices are educational adaptations; findings from the informing research and therapies do not establish their effectiveness.

Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2016). *Attachment in Adulthood: Structure, Dynamics, and Change* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press. <https://www.guilford.com/excerpts/mikulincer2.pdf>

Fosha, D. (2021). Introduction: AEDP After 20 Years. In D. Fosha (Ed.), *Undoing Aloneness and the Transformation of Suffering Into Flourishing: AEDP 2.0*. APA. <https://www.apa.org/pubs/books/undoing-aloneness-transformation-suffering-flourishing-sample-chapter.pdf>

The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family. (n.d.). *Differentiation of Self*. <https://www.thebowncenter.org/differentiation-of-self>

Gendlin, E. T. (1996). *An introduction to focusing: Six steps*. The Focusing Institute. https://focusing.org/gendlin/docs/gol_2234.html

Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26(1), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2014.940781>

Ford, B. Q., Lam, P., John, O. P., & Mauss, I. B. (2018). The psychological health benefits of accepting negative emotions and thoughts: Laboratory, diary, and longitudinal evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 115(6), 1075-1092. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000157>

Lieberman, M. D., et al. (2007). Putting feelings into words: Affect labeling disrupts amygdala activity in response to affective stimuli. *Psychological Science*, 18(5), 421-428. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01916.x>

Schore, A. N. (2000). Attachment and the regulation of the right brain. *Attachment & Human Development*, 2(1), 23-47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/146167300361309>

Krause, E. D., Mendelson, T., & Lynch, T. R. (2003). Childhood emotional invalidation and adult psychological distress: The mediating role of emotional inhibition. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(2), 199-213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(02\)00536-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(02)00536-7)

Maisel, N. C., & Gable, S. L. (2009). The paradox of received social support: The importance of responsiveness. *Psychological Science*, 20(8), 928-932. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02388.x>