Repair After Hurt · About 4 minutes

Responsibility Without Condemning Your Whole Self

Specific accountability leaves more room for the person who was affected.

“I ruin everything.” The mind can leave the person it hurt very quickly when it takes up a sentence like this.

It can sound like taking full responsibility. But what can anyone do with that sentence? The person who was hurt may find themselves arguing that you are not terrible, while the missed commitment remains unaddressed.

After causing harm, shame can spread quickly from an action to an identity. Tangney and colleagues distinguish guilt focused on behavior from shame organized around a negative judgment of the whole self.[1] Actual feelings may overlap, and guilt can itself be misplaced. The useful question is how accurately you can name your responsibility.

Petra, a fictional example, agrees to bring the printed scores to a neighborhood music rehearsal. She forgets. The group loses part of its booked time. When Jules explains the frustration, Petra says she should never volunteer again.

That verdict reaches far beyond what the scene tells us. We know she missed a commitment. We know other people lost time. Those facts are enough to begin: “I said I would bring the scores, and I didn't. You couldn't start as planned.”

Petra may still feel ashamed while saying this. She does not need to settle her opinion of herself before responding to the group. She can ask what practical options remain and make copies available reliably. If another booking would help, she needs to consider what she can afford before promising to pay for one. Remorse does not make an unrealistic promise more dependable.

The others may appreciate her response, remain frustrated or decide to give the task to someone else. They do not owe her reassurance. She can seek support from someone who is not also carrying the immediate consequence.

Being precise also protects against accepting too much. Suppose Jules says Petra deliberately wasted everyone's evening. She can disagree: “I forgot. I didn't intend to inconvenience you, and I understand that I did.” That correction need not cancel the apology.

Likewise, one missed rehearsal cannot account for every difficulty the group has ever had. A clear acknowledgment leaves room to discover further impact without making you responsible for anything another person feels or alleges.

If self-condemnation takes over, try bringing the account back to an observable action and its consequence. In Petra's case: the scores were not there, and the rehearsal lost time. The next question is what can responsibly be done now. There is no need to rehearse a personal incident to understand the distinction.

Petra gets closer to repair when she can bear to look at what the other person experienced.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

There is someone on the other side of what happened. A specific apology gives them something they can recognize, question, or ask you to do differently.

Companion reading · An Apology Is a Beginning, Not a Repair

Full pathway companion · included with paid learning
Pathway introduction: Repair After Hurt (public introduction; full modules require access).

Sources and further reading

[1] Tangney, J. P., Stuewig, J., & Mashek, D. J. (2007). Moral emotions and moral behavior. Annual Review of Psychology, 58, 345–372. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070145 Source.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.