

Remaining Yourself in the Presence of Someone You Love

Slowing the moment, tolerating difference, and recovering the capacity to choose

Brian Kennedy, LMFT · 2026

The boundary was spoken, but the person was still waiting for permission

A client tells us they finally said no. They sound pleased, and we may feel pleased with them. Then they describe what followed: their partner became quiet, disappointment entered the room, and the client spent the evening explaining why their refusal was reasonable.

The boundary was spoken, but something in them still needed the other person to approve it.

This is often where the deeper work of differentiation begins. Can I know that you are disappointed without immediately deciding I have done something wrong? Can I remain interested in your experience while allowing my own to matter? Can I reconsider when you offer something important, without experiencing that reconsideration as surrender?

The BKL Differentiation pathway and its client companion, Close Enough to Love, Separate Enough to Be Yourself, explore these capacities through ordinary encounters. This therapist companion considers what helps when understanding the ideas is easier than remaining oneself in the moment that calls for them.

Being connected and being oneself

Bowen's concept of differentiation concerns functioning amid the emotional pressures of relationships. It includes distinguishing thoughtful judgment from pressure to secure approval or compel agreement, while recognizing our real dependence on others. Reflexive opposition can be as organized around another person as reflexive compliance. A considered position can include generosity, compromise, and changing one's mind. ^[1]

For a client, however, the distinction may first become available as a bodily and emotional discovery: I can feel your displeasure and still hear myself. The words they need may be quite simple. Finding enough room to say them can require considerable work.

I am interested in the place where connection begins to require disappearance. A person may remain physically present, attentive, and apparently agreeable while losing access to their own preference. Another remains certain of their position but can no longer take in the person across from them. Both have narrowed their participation in the encounter.

The clinical question is what would make fuller participation possible. Sometimes that requires support for speaking. Sometimes it requires help listening. Often it requires attending to a feeling the person has learned to escape before they can recognize it.

How belonging becomes conditional

If being agreeable helped preserve connection, disagreement may carry more than its present meaning. A small refusal can feel like becoming a bad daughter, an ungrateful partner, or someone who no longer deserves care. If closeness was intrusive, another person's need may instead feel like the beginning of captivity.

Winnicott's distinction between spontaneous self-expression and defensive compliance offers a useful developmental lens. The protective organization he called the false self can help us consider how functioning acceptably may coexist with difficulty feeling personally real. This is a theoretical perspective on adaptation, rather than a label we need to assign to a compliant client. ^[2]

We still need the person's account. Family loyalty can express love and chosen identity; dependence can be realistic and welcome. Culture, disability, finances, caregiving, and shared commitments affect what is possible. We learn little by calling all accommodation self-abandonment.

The question becomes more specific: "When you agreed, did you know what you were offering? Could your own concern have a place? What happened when you imagined saying something different?" These questions dignify the adaptation while examining its cost.

Slowing down to the moment choice becomes difficult

A client may describe an argument in a few sentences: "She wanted me to go. I didn't want to. Then I got irritated and said fine." The narrative has already passed through several clinically important moments. We can help unfold them.

"Before you said fine, what happened when you heard that she wanted you there?" Perhaps the client recalls a tightness in the sternum, an urgent need to explain, or the sudden conviction that the evening will be ruined unless someone gives in. Sometimes there is blankness. A preference that was clear a moment earlier becomes strangely inaccessible.

We are looking for the transition from feeling a difference to experiencing the difference as something that must be eliminated. The person may move toward accommodation, anger, argument, or withdrawal to escape that pressure. Their response can have protective logic while also leaving someone hurt or a responsibility unfinished.

Slowing down helps us find where accompaniment is needed. We might say, "Could we stay near that tightening for a moment, with me here, before deciding what it means?" Or, "Would it help to look around the room and come back to this more slowly?" Inward attention remains an invitation. If it brings flooding, distance, or confusion, we adjust rather than insist.

The window of tolerance is useful here as a clinical description of the range within which the person can remain engaged enough to feel, reflect, and respond. It is not a physiological measurement we can read from appearance. Tears can accompany presence; quietness can accompany disconnection. We ask what the client can actually notice and choose.

Schore's account of implicit affective communication directs attention to tone, timing, expression, and the regulation occurring between therapist and client. Its relevance here lies in examining how our manner of being with someone affects the encounter. We cannot identify a particular neural process from a softened face or a deeper breath. ^[3]

Fosha's moment-to-moment approach similarly asks us to follow the unfolding experience. Undoing aloneness gives the therapist an active role in accompanying what has been difficult to bear; metatherapeutic processing invites attention to the experience of change itself. A small new capacity deserves time to become recognizable. ^[4]

The person may discover, "I am scared she will think I do not care." We can stay with that fear and the longing beneath it before practicing a sentence. Being accompanied may make the next choice more available. Regulation supports differentiation in the encounter; differentiation also involves the considered position and conduct that follow.

Six movements toward differentiation

The following sequence brings the experiential and relational tasks together. It is a proposed clinical scaffold, not a validated treatment protocol or a ladder of maturity. A person may return to an earlier movement, rehearse privately, or decide the present situation requires practical help before further reflection.

1. Recognize the vulnerability and notice the shift

Before an anticipated encounter, ask: What am I hoping to receive? What am I afraid might happen? The wish may be to feel understood, appreciated, chosen, or free to disagree. Awareness does not require relinquishing the longing. It helps the person recognize why a brief exchange may carry considerable emotional weight.

During the encounter, notice the first available signal: tightening, heat, sinking, urgency, numbness, losing the thread, or wanting to say, "Okay, fine." The initial recognition can be simple: Something important is happening in me. I do not have to solve it immediately.

Help separate the observable event from its anticipated meaning. "He sighed" and "He thinks I am selfish" are different kinds of information. Either may lead to a useful question, but they should remain distinguishable.

2. Regain enough ground to remain present

Pause before explaining, agreeing, defending, or leaving. The person might feel the chair, look toward an ordinary object, move, or take a comfortable, unforced breath. Some need the therapist's steady company before a solitary strategy becomes useful. The aim is enough room for thought and feeling to participate together.

A reminder might be: I can take time before answering. Where appropriate, a relational pause includes a return: "I want to understand this. I need a little time to settle, and I will come back after dinner." The return matters because distance can otherwise leave another person carrying the concern alone.

A pause is not always possible or sufficient. An urgent care need may require action; intimidation may require protective distance. We adapt to the situation rather than require a calming exercise before every decision.

3. Find your own experience before negotiating it away

Ask: What am I feeling? What do I want? What matters here? What can I offer, and what would exceed my capacity? We can also ask what the person might choose if they were less occupied with managing the other's reaction.

For someone accustomed to accommodation, these questions may first reveal uncertainty. Give that uncertainty time. Pressure to identify an authentic wish can become another demand to perform. A provisional answer belongs in the conversation too.

Knowing a preference does not establish that it should determine the outcome. The client also considers promises, practical constraints, and other people's legitimate needs. The purpose is to arrive at negotiation with a self present.

4. Make room for the other person's experience and clarify responsibility

Someone may be disappointed, hurt, frustrated, or anxious when we differ. Their feelings matter without automatically deciding what we must do. The person can practice staying interested without immediately surrendering their position to restore ease.

We also ask whether the other person's response points to something requiring acknowledgment. Was an agreement broken? Was a burden overlooked? Did the client speak harshly? Caring about impact can include apology, repair, and a changed plan.

The working position becomes: Your experience matters, and mine matters. I can take responsibility for my conduct without assuming responsibility for resolving everything you feel. No one has to remain available for threats or mistreatment to demonstrate this capacity.

5. Become curious about the difference and allow influence

Once enough steadiness is available, explore both positions: This is what I want. Help me understand what matters to you. Listen for the concern beneath the proposed solution. A demand for a whole weekend together may contain loneliness; a request for time alone may contain exhaustion rather than rejection.

Neither explanation should be assigned from outside. Each person has the opportunity to describe themselves. Understanding may reveal a workable alternative, or clarify a difference that remains unresolved.

The client can also receive a correction. Changing one's mind because new information matters is different from changing it only because displeasure feels unbearable. The process includes being affected without losing all access to judgment.

6. Choose deliberately, then review with honesty and kindness

Decide whether to hold a position, compromise, accommodate, postpone, or reconsider. The outward choice may resemble an earlier compliant response, yet have a different quality: the person knows what they are offering and why.

Afterward, ask: Did I remain in contact with myself? Did I listen? Did my conduct reflect my values and responsibilities? What happened, and what needs another attempt? Review the other person's conduct as well. Learning includes discovering what the relationship actually offers.

The review should leave room for mixed results. A fair limit can bring sadness. A thoughtful yes can bring fatigue. A needed apology can feel exposing. None of these feelings, by itself, determines whether the choice was sound.

One couple, two ways of losing the encounter

Consider a fictional couple, Alex and Rowan. Rowan asks Alex to spend Saturday helping with a family event. Alex wants rest, but sees Rowan's disappointment and agrees. Later, Alex becomes irritable and unavailable. Rowan, confused by the withdrawal, presses for reassurance. Alex experiences the pressure as evidence that there is never room to say no.

In therapy, we slow the moment before agreement. Alex notices a stomach drop and the thought, "If I matter to you, I should want to do this." Rowan explains that help was genuinely needed but that a shorter commitment could have been discussed. Neither person knew the full shape of the other's experience.

The next experiment is limited: two hours of help, then time alone, with transport arranged so the limit is feasible. Alex speaks before resentment accumulates. Rowan can express disappointment without using it to demand a longer commitment. Both remain responsible for the practical arrangement.

The experiment may expose further difficulty. Alex might still need Rowan to look pleased; Rowan might initially hear a limit as rejection. These moments become material for the next encounter. We examine whether each can represent a concern, hear an answer, and follow through.

Schnarch's account contributes an important distinction: a solid sense of self includes flexibility, the ability to consider being wrong, and grounded responding under pressure. His Four Points of Balance also address self-soothing and tolerating meaningful discomfort. The six movements offered here are a separate integration, rather than a restatement of his method. ^[5]

Becoming freer in a relationship that remains limited

A fictional adult daughter, Robin, approaches each call with her mother hoping to feel understood. When her mother changes the subject, Robin explains more carefully, then becomes hurt and angry. Later she decides she should stop wanting anything. Longing and self-reproach take turns organizing the relationship.

We begin before the call: "What are you hoping she will understand today?" Robin can acknowledge the wish without being told she should already have relinquished it. After a disappointing exchange, we help her notice the hurt before it becomes either another campaign to be seen or a verdict that she is foolish to hope.

Over time, Robin may choose a different kind of contact, a clearer request, or more distance. She may also grieve what remains unavailable. Her mother's current conduct still matters; it is more than a trigger for old material. The work includes deciding what relationship is possible with the person who is actually there.

Welwood's writing on relationship as a spiritual crucible invites us to encounter the vulnerable places that closeness brings forward and cautions against bypassing ordinary

human needs through spiritual ideas. This offers a language for growth through relationship, while leaving us responsible for judging the conditions of a particular relationship. Suffering does not, by itself, establish a growth opportunity. ^[6]

For Robin, becoming freer might mean caring without repeatedly auditioning for a different mother. It can also mean allowing grief, anger, and a protective limit to coexist with love. We need not make an open heart into a requirement for continued access.

The therapist's capacity to remain in relationship

Our own differentiation enters the room whenever the client disappoints an expectation we have for them. We may want them to leave a relationship, speak a boundary, soften toward a partner, or become less dependent on us. The direction may be clinically thoughtful. Our urgency still deserves examination.

Do I celebrate a refusal before understanding who will carry its consequences? Do I become impatient when a client accommodates again? Can I hear that my intervention felt intrusive without persuading them that it was intended to help? Can they remain close to me while disagreeing with me?

The Person-of-the-Therapist Training perspective makes our enduring emotional themes relevant to clinical usefulness. Aponte and Kissil describe learning to work with those themes as resources for connecting, assessing, and intervening. Our own unfinished places can inform us when we know them well enough to take responsibility for their participation. ^[7]

A client says, "You seem disappointed that I went back." Perhaps we are. We can examine that honestly without making the client care for us: "I can see that my response felt like a judgment. I want to understand what returning meant for you." If there is a risk requiring attention, we can address it directly while still making room for their experience.

Our own therapy and supervision matter here. Learning to remain with shame, uncertainty, longing, and frustration can make it less necessary to organize the session around escaping them. The client needs our judgment and our capacity to be corrected.

Connecting the process to the five modules

The six movements can be revisited within the pathway's five modules. They give a way to slow an encounter; the modules give time to examine, rehearse, act, and review. They do not require a separate assessment or a new sequence of assignments.

Module 1: Locate yourself in the pressure

Explore the wish, vulnerability, observable event, and first shift. Distinguish facts, feelings, values, and assumed approval rules. The learner may discover a genuine wish to help as well as a need for rest. Both belong in the account.

Module 2: Keep thought available under activation

Return to the precise moment the person lost their footing. Find an accessible way to pause, remain accompanied, and recover choice. Review whether the pause supported a credible return or left an important concern unattended.

Module 3: Speak an I-position

Help the learner express what they think, want, or will do in language that includes practical consequences. Rehearse hearing a disappointed response without immediately explaining the position away. A clear statement remains open to relevant information.

Module 4: Care without taking over

Examine who is carrying which task, what was agreed, and what support is available. The appropriate change may involve more help, less intervention, or a clearer arrangement. Unequal assistance can be appropriate; less involvement is not the required result.

Module 5: Maintain a position and a relationship

Review repeated conduct: kept promises, a responsible limit, a return after a pause, a correction received, or repair made. Ask what new information should change the arrangement. Neither calm presentation nor firmness certifies progress.

Remaining present enough to choose

A later fictional encounter may look ordinary. Alex notices Rowan's disappointment and feels the familiar urge to reverse the plan. This time Alex pauses: "I care that this is hard for you. I can help until noon, and then I need to rest." There is sadness, but no long argument for permission. Rowan asks a practical question. The conversation continues.

Something has become possible in the space between feeling and action. Alex can be affected without disappearing. Rowan can matter without having sole authority over the decision. Their remaining disagreement can be addressed by two people who are more available to participate.

This is the direction I would want the work to serve: a person increasingly able to turn toward their own experience, tolerate enough of its feeling to understand it, and bring it into relationship with care. Our accompaniment helps them discover that they can remain present even when the moment is unfinished.

I can care about your experience while remaining present to my own. I can listen, be influenced, and take responsibility without giving myself away.

References

- [1]** The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family. (n.d.). Differentiation of self. Institutional account of Bowen's concept. See also Bowen, M. (1978). Family therapy in clinical practice. Jason Aronson.
- [2]** Winnicott, D. W. (1965). Ego distortion in terms of true and false self. In *The maturational processes and the facilitating environment: Studies in the theory of emotional development*. Hogarth Press and the Institute of Psycho-Analysis. (Original paper published 1960.) Publisher listing for the collected volume.
- [3]** Schore, A. N. (2022). Right brain-to-right brain psychotherapy: Recent scientific and clinical advances. *Annals of General Psychiatry*, 21, Article 46. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12991-022-00420-3>.
- [4]** Fosha, D. (n.d.). Wired for healing: Thirteen ways of looking at AEDP. *Transformance: The AEDP Journal*. See also the AEDP Institute glossary. For the window of tolerance framework, see Siegel, D. J. (1999). *The developing mind: Toward a neurobiology of interpersonal experience*. Guilford Press.
- [5]** Schnarch, D. (2011, January 17). The Crucible 4 Points of Balance. Crucible Institute. The Four Points of Balance are Schnarch's model; this essay's six-movement scaffold is a distinct clinical synthesis.
- [6]** Welwood, J. (2017, November 9, website publication date). Intimate relationship as a spiritual crucible. *Lion's Roar*.
- [7]** Aponte, H. J., & Kissil, K. (2014). "If I can grapple with this I can truly be of use in the therapy room": Using the therapist's own emotional struggles to facilitate effective therapy. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 40(2), 152-164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmft.12011>. Author institute's summary.