Couples: Understanding ourselves, understanding each other · About 4 minutes

Hearing Your Partner Without Losing Your Own Account

Acknowledging an impact does not require confessing an intention you did not have.

“You knew I had to get up early. Your plans always matter more.” The first sentence might be something you can hear. The second is already pulling you into a defense.

Leon is angry about the late cinema tickets Asha booked as a surprise. In this fictional exchange, he feels forced to choose between sleep and wasting the money. Asha thought he would enjoy the film. She wants to explain that, but worries any explanation will sound like refusing responsibility.

There is something she needs to own: she made a plan involving him without checking. There is also something she need not confess: an intention to make her wishes matter more than his. Taking his experience seriously does not require accepting every part of his account.

Separate what happened from what it meant

The booking is conduct. Feeling pressured is Leon's reported experience. The claim that Asha wanted to put herself first is an interpretation of motive. These belong in the same conversation, but they are not interchangeable.

Asha might say, “I booked without asking, and the late time leaves you with a difficult choice. I thought it would be enjoyable. I should have checked.” Her intention is included without being used to cancel the effect.

Leon could then explain that the larger problem is a pattern of being committed to plans without consent. That would require more attention than the ticket price. Asha needs to consider what she has missed, even while keeping her own account available.

Bowen's description of differentiation helps frame this balance: retaining a considered position while remaining open to relationship and influence.[1] You may change your understanding after hearing your partner. You may also continue to disagree about a fact or intention. Agreement is not the only measure of responsiveness.

Put repair where the problem is

Asha can check the cancellation options rather than leaving Leon to solve the practical problem her booking created. He remains free not to attend. They may need an agreement about checking before buying tickets in the future.

None of this requires a final judgment about whether Asha is a selfish person. Specific accountability is often more useful: this booking was made without consent, it created pressure, and she has a responsibility to address it.

At the same time, “I didn't mean to” cannot close the conversation. An effect can matter even when it was unintended. Nor can Leon's hurt give him exclusive authority over what she privately wanted. Both need room to speak accurately.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

Recognition cannot be forced by requiring particular words or immediate closeness. Leon may still feel unheard, and Asha may need to consider why. Repeating the discussion indefinitely is not necessarily helpful. Neither person owes continued participation in threats, intimidation or an unwanted exchange, and leaving does not require first winning agreement that leaving is justified.

If you stay with the cinema scene, ask what Asha can acknowledge without inventing either a worse motive or a kinder one. The answer already includes a meaningful responsibility.

A truthful apology has room for complexity. You can care about what happened to your partner, correct what you can and still speak honestly about yourself. The repair becomes more credible when it rests on an account you can actually stand behind.

The longer essay looks at what happens when people try to stay present with each other. Here there is an additional difficulty: hearing the hurt while saying what you remember.

Start with the morning they had to get up early. There is room there to hear what your choice cost them, and to say what you remember doing.

Companion reading · Meet Me Before You Move Me

Full pathway companion · included with paid learning
Pathway introduction: Couples: Understanding ourselves, understanding each other (public introduction; full modules require access).

Sources and further reading

[1] The Bowen Center for the Study of the Family. (n.d.). Differentiation of self. Source.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.