Friendship, Loneliness, and Community · About 4 minutes

An Invitation That Leaves Room for an Answer

Making a wish visible without turning the reply into a verdict on you

On the way home from the repair café, Imogen realizes she told Ren that weekends were open and never quite asked to see them.

In this fictional scene, she has made a hint. She has not yet asked the question she wants answered.

That distinction can be uncomfortable. Hints allow us to hope without fully exposing the wish. A direct invitation makes the uncertainty harder to avoid. Someone else gets to answer, and we may care very much what they say.

Make the question answerable

“I'd enjoy seeing you outside the group. Would Saturday's exhibition interest you?” gives Ren an activity and a time to consider. It asks less of him than a complaint about why he has never asked, and it gives Imogen a better chance of learning what is actually possible.

Research on the “liking gap” found that people sometimes underestimate how much their conversation partners like them.[1] That finding does not tell Imogen what Ren feels. It simply cautions against treating her interpretation of his silence as established fact. He may have missed the hints, have little time, or prefer seeing her at the café.

A clear invitation cannot secure a yes. Its value lies in allowing an answer that belongs to the other person.

Read the reply that arrives

“I can't Saturday; could we go Sunday?” offers something different from “I'd rather keep meeting at the café.” Persistent silence is different again. So is repeatedly agreeing and failing to appear. The details should shape Imogen's next decision.

Research on intimacy emphasizes responsiveness alongside disclosure.[2] A relationship develops through what both people do. One person's willingness to ask or reveal more cannot create mutual interest alone.

There may already be a history of one-sided effort. In that case, another invitation may be more than you want to make. Waiting, stepping back, or putting your attention elsewhere can be considered responses. The problem arises when waiting becomes a test whose rules the other person has never heard.

Try comparing the two messages in the example. “If you actually wanted to see me, you'd suggest something” presents a conclusion and asks Ren to defend himself. The invitation to the exhibition leaves him able to respond to a particular possibility. You can consider that difference without sending anything yourself.

A refusal has a scale

If Ren declines, Imogen may feel embarrassed or sad. She can accept his answer without deciding that their conversations were false or that she is unwanted everywhere. She also need not remain in a connection that no longer suits her. Respecting a limit does not require hiding disappointment or asking for another chance.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.

Polite words can still exert pressure where there are unequal power or workplace dynamics. The invitation needs room for a genuine no, including freedom from retaliation.

Imogen brings that question to the moment she makes it possible for someone to answer her.

If she decides to ask, a day and a place will give Ren something to answer. Imogen can decide what she wants once she has heard the answer.

Companion reading · A Place Among Others

Full pathway companion · included with paid learning
Pathway introduction: Friendship, Loneliness, and Community (public introduction; full modules require access).

Sources and further reading

[1] Boothby, E. J., Cooney, G., Sandstrom, G. M., & Clark, M. S. (2018). The liking gap in conversations: Do people like us more than we think? Psychological Science, 29(11), 1742–1756. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797618783714 Source.

[2] Laurenceau, J.-P., Barrett, L. F., & Pietromonaco, P. R. (1998). Intimacy as an interpersonal process: The importance of self-disclosure, partner disclosure, and perceived partner responsiveness in interpersonal exchanges. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74(5), 1238–1251. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.74.5.1238 Source.

© 2026 Brian Kennedy. All rights reserved.